135 Dudley Street, Roxbury <u>Mixed-Use Residential / Commercial Development</u>



PROJECT NOTIFICATION FORM

September 27, 2019

Submitted Pursuant to Article 80B of the Boston Zoning Code

SUBMITTED BY:

Cruz Development Corporation One John Eliot Square Roxbury, MA 02119

PREPARED BY:



Mitchell L. Fischman ("MLF") Consulting LLC 41 Brush Hill Road Newton, MA 02461

SUBMITTED TO:



Boston Planning and Development Agency One City Hall Square, 9th Floor Boston, MA 02201

IN ASSOCIATION WITH:

The Architectural Team Micheal Washington Architects Verdant Landscape Architecture McKenzie & Associates, PC Bryant Associates Howard Stein Hudson Tech Environmental, Inc. Soden Sustainability Consulting GEI Consultants John B. Cruz Construction Company RWDI





September 27, 2019

VIA HAND DELIVERY

Mr. Brian Golden, Director Boston Planning and Development Agency One City Hall Square, 9th Floor Boston, MA 02201 Attn: <u>Mr. Michael Sinatra, Project Manager</u>

RE: <u>Project Notification Form ("PNF")</u> Article 80 - Large Project Review <u>135 Dudley Street, Roxbury</u>

Dear Director Golden:

Cruz Development Corporation ("Cruz"), a Massachusetts corporation, (the "Proponent" or "Cruz"), is happy to file a Project Notification Form ("PNF") with the BPDA pursuant to Article 80B, Large Project Review requirements of the Boston Zoning Code (the "Code").

The Proponent's Proposed Project involves an approximate 233,016 gross square feet of floor area (excluding the below-level parking garage) for a multi-use development at 135 Dudley Street in the Dudley Square and Roxbury neighborhood, including 160 housing units, 15,512 square feet of street level /commercial space, including office space for the Boston Chapter of the NAACP (with the Proponent providing this space rent free for 10-years) and a restaurant flexible space-tenant amenities / art space, and a parking garage for approximately 270 vehicles (the "Proposed Project"). One of the buildings will be a six-story building containing 105 condominium units, providing homeownership opportunities to 50 households beginning at 70% of AMI, with the remaining 55 units proposed as market-rate. There will be a landscaped plaza between the two buildings, and one to the east of the retail affordable building adjacent to the Dudley BPL Branch Library, both opening on Dudley Street. In addition, the Proposed Project will further the objectives of Mayor Martin J. Walsh's Housing Plan, Housing a Changing City: Boston 2030.

In addition to Dudley Street, the approximate 69,835 SF (1.6-acre) project area is bordered by the Boston Police District B-2 Roxbury Station, The Roxbury Municipal Court, and the Dudley Literacy Center (the "Proposed Site").

The Proposed Site is within a highly commercialized area and is in the midst of a very active transportation node that includes major MBTA bus lines and the Dudley Square MBTA station across Dudley Street.

The Proposed Project exceeds the 50,000 square foot total build-out size requirement for a project in a Boston neighborhood and therefore requires preparation of filing(s) under the Large Project Review regulations, pursuant to Article 80 of the Code. A Letter of Intent to File a Project Notification Form was filed with the BPDA on August 27, 2019 (attached hereto as **Appendix "A"**). *Cruz Cares!*

www.CruzCompanies.com Cruz Development 1 John Eliot Sq. Roxbury, MA 02119 *T. (617) 445-6901* John B. Cruz Construction 1 John Eliot Sq. Roxbury, MA 02119 © @CruzCompariese | 1 Cruz Management 434 Mass Ave. #300 Boston, MA 02118 In support of the Article 80 Large Project Review process, the Proponent has conducted, and continues to conduct, community outreach, neighbors, the Planned Dudley Project Review Committed, and abutters of the site, including meetings and with elected representatives and other officials.

On behalf of the entire project team, we would like to thank you and the BPDA staff assigned to the 135 Dudley Street Project, particularly the Project Manager Michael Sinatra and Dana Whiteside, the reviewing BPDA Urban Designers, Michael Cannizzo, and Matt Martin for their invaluable assistance to date in assisting the development team in shaping the Proposed Project and in completing this PNF filing.

We believe that the Proposed Project will constitute a significant positive addition to the Roxbury neighborhood, by revitalizing this underutilized site with much-needed new housing and commercial space in two attractive and thoughtfully designed buildings. We look forward to continuing the Large Project Review process and advancing the Proposed Project through public review with the cooperation of the BPDA, other City officials, members of the Planned Dudley Project Review Committee, and the Roxbury community.

In accordance with BPDA requirements, please find attached ten (10) copies of the PNF plus a CD containing the electronic PNF file to be uploaded to the BPDA's online portal for public review.

Thank you for your time and attention on our Proposed Project. Our team looks forward to working with you towards a successful outcome. We look forward to receiving any questions or comments that you may have regarding our Proposed Project.

Very truly yours, CRUZ DEVELOPMENT COPORATION

ohn B. Cruz III, President

cc: Jonathan Greeley, BRA, Director of Development Review and Policy Dana Whiteside, Deputy Director, Community Economic Development Kim Janey, District 7-City Councilor Chynah Tyler, State Senator – 7th Suffolk District Daniel Joseph Ryan, State Representative – 2nd Suffolk District Jessica Thomas, Mayor's Office of Neighborhood Services, Roxbury Daniel Cruz, Jr., Cruz Development Corporation



PUBLIC NOTICE

The Boston Redevelopment Authority ("BRA"), d/b/a Boston Planning & Development Agency ("BPDA"), pursuant to Article 80A and Article 80B of the Boston Zoning Code ("Code"), hereby gives notice that Cruz Development Corporation (the "Proponent") has submitted a Project Notification Form for Large Project Review ("PNF") on September 27, 2019, to the BPDA for a mixed use multi-family residential / commercial development at 135 Dudley Street in the Roxbury neighborhood of Boston. The proposal is for construction of two mixed-use structures with approximately 346,716 gross square feet of floor area (including an at-grade parking garage for 270 vehicles), and includes 160 housing units, 15,512 gross square feet of street level /commercial space, including office space for the Boston Chapter of the NAACP (with the Proponent providing this space rent free for 10-years), and a restaurant flexible space-tenant amenities / art space (collectively, the "Proposed Project"). The Proposed Site includes an approximately 69,835 SF (1.6-acre) project area bordered by the Boston Police Department B-2 Station, the Roxbury Municipal Court, and the Dudley Literacy Center (the "Proposed Site"). Approvals are requested of the BPDA pursuant to Article 80. In the required Scoping Determination for this PNF, the BPDA may waive further review pursuant to Section 80B-5.3(d), if, after reviewing public comments, the BPDA finds that such PNF adequately describes the Proposed Project's impacts. The PNF may be reviewed at the Office of the Secretary of the BPDA, Room 910, Boston City Hall, One City Hall Square, Boston, MA 02201. between 9:00 AM and 5:00 PM, Monday through Friday except legal holidays. A copy of the PNF has been placed on reserve and available for review at the Dudley Branch - Boston Public Library, 65 Warren Street, Roxbury, MA 02119 during scheduled business hours. Public comments on the PNF, including the comments of public agencies, should be submitted by email to: michael.a.sinatra@boston.gov or in writing to: Mr. Michael Sinatra, Project Manager, BPDA, One City Hall Square, Boston, MA 02201 by October 28, 2019, at the close of business.

BOSTON REDEVELOPMENT AUTHORITY Teresa Polhemus, Executive Director/Secretary

September 27, 2019

Table of Contents

1.0	EXEC	UTIVE SUMMARY	1-1				
	1.1	Introduction	1-1				
	1.2	Project Details	1-2				
		1.2.1 Existing Conditions Plan	1-2				
		1.2.2 Detailed Project Description	1-2				
	1.3	Summary of Project Impacts and Mitigation	1-11				
		1.3.1 Urban Design	1-11				
		1.3.2 Landscape Design	1-12				
		1.3.3 Sustainable Design / Energy Conservation					
		1.3.4 Pedestrian Wind Analysis					
		135 Shadow Impact Analysis	1-14				
		1.3.6 Davlight Analysis	1-14				
		1.3.7 Solar Glare	1-14				
		1.3.8 Air Quality Analysis	1_14				
		139 Noise Analysis	1_14				
		1.3.10. Stormwater Management and Water Quality	1_15				
		1 3 11 Solid and Hazardous Waste	1_15				
		1 3 12 Geotechnical / Groundwater Impacts Analysis	1_16				
		1.3.12 Construction Impacts Analysis	1 16				
		1.3.17 Wetlands / Flood Hazard Zone	1_17				
		1.3.15 Wildlife Habitat	1 17				
		1.3.16 Historic Posourcos Component	1 17				
		1.3.17 Infrastructure Systems Component	1 10				
		1.2.19 Transportation Component					
		1.3.10 Paspansa to Climate Change Questionnaire					
		1.2.20 Response to Citru of Reston Accessibility Cuidelines					
		1.2.21 Response to RDDA Proodband Questionnaire					
		1.2.22 Response to PDA Broadballu Questioninaire					
		1.3.22 Responses to BPDA Smart Otilities Checklist	1-19				
2.0	GENE	RAL INFORMATION	2-1				
	2.1	Applicant Information	2-1				
		2 1 1 Project Proponent	2-1				
		2.1.2 Project Team	2 1 2 - 1				
		2.1.2 Legal Information	2-5				
	22	Public Benefits	2-5				
	2.2	Regulatory Controls and Pormits					
	2.5	2.3.1 Dreliminary List of Dermits or Other Approvals Which May be Sough	+ 28				
	21	Public Poview Process and Agency Coordination	2_10				
	2.4	Development Impact Payment ("DIP") Status	2-10				
	2.0						
3.0	URBA	AN DESIGN AND SUSTAINABILITY COMPONENT	3-1				
	3.1	Urban Design Overview	3-1				
	3.2	Building Design, Streetscape, Materials and Massing	3-1				
	3.3	Landscape Design	3-2				
	3.4	Sustainable Design/Energy Conservation	3-3				
		3.4.1 Introduction	3-3				
		3.4.2 Overview	3-4				

		3.4.3	Integrative Process	3-4
		3.4.4	Location and Transportation	3-4
		3.4.5	Sustainable Sites	3-5
		3.4.6	Water Efficiency	3-5
		3.4.7	Energy & Atmosphere	3-6
		3.4.8	Materials & Resources	3-7
		3.4.9	Indoor Environmental Quality	3-7
		3.4.10	Innovation and Design Process	3-8
	3.5	Urban	Design Drawings and LEED Checklist	3-9
4.0	ENVIR	ONMEN	ITAL PROTECTION COMPONENT	4-1
	4.1	Shado	w Impacts Analysis	4-1
		4.1.1	Introduction	4-1
		4.1.2	Vernal Equinox (March 21)	4-1
		4.1.3	Summer Solstice (June 21)	4-1
		4.1.4	Autumnal Equinox (September 21)	4-2
		4.1.5	Winter Solstice (December 21)	4-2
		4.1.6	Summary	4-2
	4.2	Daylig	nt	4-18
	4.3	Air Qu	ality	4-18
	4.4	Noise	Impacts	4-18
	4.5	Storm	water Management and Water Quality	4-18
	4.0		Solid Waste	4-19
		4.0.1	Solid Waste	4-19
	47	4.0.2 Gooto	chnical/Groundwater Impacts Analysis	4-19
	4./		Groundwater Control	4-23
		4.7.1	Probable Project Impacts and Mitigation Measures	4 -24 <i>A</i> _24
	48	Const	ruction Impact	4-25
	4.0	481	Construction Management Plan	4-25
		482	Proposed Construction Program	4-25
		483	Construction Traffic Impacts	4-26
		4.8.4	Construction Environmental Impacts and Mitigation	
		4.8.5	Rodent Control	4-28
5.0	HISTO	RIC RE	SOURCES COMPONENT	5-1
•••	5.1	Histor	ic Resources on the Proposed Project Site	5-1
	5.2	Histor	ic Resources Within the Vicinity of the Proposed Project Site	5-1
	5.3	Archa	eological Resources	5-3
			5	
6.0	INFRA	STRUC	TURE SYSTEMS COMPONENT	6-1
	6.1	Overv	iew of Utility Services	6-1
	6.2	Sanita	rv Sewer Service	6-1
		6.2.1	Existing Sanitary Sewer Services	6-1
		6.2.2	Estimated Proposed Project Wastewater Generation	6-2
		6.2.3	Sanitary Sewer Connection	6-2
		6.2.4	Wastewater Flow Mitigation	6-4
	6.3	Water	Supply System	6-4
		6.3.1	Existing Water Supply	6-4
		6.3.2	Estimated Proposed Project Water Consumption	6-4

		6.3.3	Water Supply Conservation and Mitigation	6-6
	6.4	Storm	Drainage System	6-6
		6.4.1	Existing Stormwater Drainage system	6-6
		6.4.2	Proposed Storm Drainage System	6-6
	6.5	Utility	Protection During Construction	6-6
7.0	TRAN	ISPORT	ATION COMPONENT	7-1
	7.1	Overv	iew	7-1
		7.1.1	Project Description	7-1
		7.1.2	Study Area	7-1
		7.1.3	Study Methodology	7-3
	7.2	Existi	ng Condition	7-3
		7.2.1	Existing Roadway Conditions	7-3
		7.2.2	Existing Intersection Conditions	7-4
		7.2.3	Existing Parking and Curb Use	7-4
		7.2.4	Car Sharing Services	7-6
		7.2.5	Existing Traffic Data	7-6
		7.2.6	Existing Pedestrian Conditions	7-9
		7.2.7	Existing Bicycle Conditions	7-9
		7.2.8	Bicycle Sharing Services	7-9
		7.2.9	Existing Public Transportation	7-11
	7.3	No-Bu	(2026) Condition	7-15
		7.3.1	Background Traffic Growth	/-15
		7.3.2	Specific Development Traffic Growth	/-15
		7.3.3	Proposed Intrastructure Improvements	/-1/
	7.4	7.3.4	NO-Build (2026) Condition Traffic Volumes	
	1.4		(2026) Condition	
		7.4.1	Site Access and Circulation	
		7.4.2	Project Parking	
		7.4.3	Loading and Service Accommodations	
		7.4.4	Trip Constation Methodology	
		7.4.0	Trovel Mede Share	
		7.4.0	Project Trin Constant	7 24
		7/8	Trip Distribution	7 24
		7.4.0	Build (2026) Traffic Volumes	7 26
	75	Traffi	Canacity Analysis	
	7.5	751	Existing Condition Traffic Operations Analysis	7_30
		7.5.2	No-Build (2026) Condition Traffic Operations Analysis	7-30
		753	Build (2026) Condition Traffic Operations Analysis	7_30
	7.6	Trans	portation Demand Management	7-32
	7.7	Evalu	ation of Short-Term Construction Impacts	
8.0	COOF		ON WITH GOVERNMENTAL AGENCIES	8-1
	8.1	Archit	ectural Access Board Requirements	8-1
	8.2	Massa	achusetts Environmental Policy Act	8-1
	8.3	Bosto	n Civic Design Commission	8-1
	8.4	Massa	achusetts Historical Commission	8-1
9.0	PROJ	ECT CE	RTIFICATION	9-1

Appendices

- Appendix A Letter of Intent to File PNF, August 26, 2019
- Appendix B Response to Climate Change Questionnaire
- Appendix C Response to COB Accessibility Guidelines
- Appendix D- Response to BPDA Broadband Questionnaire
- Appendix E Response to BPDA Smart Utilities Checklist
- Appendix F Transportation Appendix

List of Tables

Table 1-1. Approximate Project Dimensions of Proposed Project	1-2
Table 1-2. Proposed Residential Units by Bedroom Size for Building: Condominiums	1-10
Table 1-2. Proposed Units by Bedroom Size for Building 1: Affordable Rental	1-11
Table 2-1. 135 Dudley Street - Overall Rental and Home-Ownership/Condominium Buildings	
- Use and Dimensional Requirements	2-7
Table 2-2. Preliminary List of Permits or Other Approvals Which May be Sought	2-9
Table 5.1. Historic Resources in the Vicinity of the Project Site	5-1
Table 6-1. Projected Sanitary Sewer Flows	6-2
Table 7-1. 135 Dudley Street Development Program	7-1
Table 7-2. Existing Public Transportation – Rapid Transit and Commuter Rail	7-13
Table 7-3. Existing Public Transportation – Bus Routes	7-14
Table 7-4. Parking Space Allocation	7-20
Table 7-5. Travel Mode Shares and Vehicle Occupancy Rate	7-23
Table 7-6. Project Trip Generation	7-24
Table 7-7. Vehicle Level of Service Criteria	7-29
Table 7-8. Capacity Analysis Summary, a.m. Peak hour	7-31
Table 7-9. Capacity Analysis Summary, p.m. Peak hour	7-31

List of Figures

Figure 1-1. Project Locus	1-3
Figure 1-2. USGS Map	1-4
Figure 1-3. Existing Site Photos	1-5
Figure 1-4. Existing Site Photos	1-6
-igure 1-5. Existing Site Photos	1-7
Figure 1-6. Existing Site Photos	1-8
Figure 1-7. Existing Site Photos	1-9
Figure 3-1. Proposed Development Aerial View3	-10
Figure 3-2. Aerial Perspective - Existing Conditions	-11
Figure 3-3. Existing Land Plan	-12

Figure 3-4. Proposed Landscape Plan	3-13
Figure 3-5. Proposed Landscape Precedent Images	3-14
Figure 3-6. First Floor Plan	3-15
Figure 3-7. Second Floor Plan	3-16
Figure 3-8. Third Floor Plan	3-17
Figure 3-9. Fourth Floor Plan	3-18
Figure 3-10. Fifth Floor Plan	3-19
Figure 3-11. Sixth Floor Plan	3-20
Figure 3-12. Seventh Floor Plan	3-21
Figure 3-13. Eighth and Ninth Floor Plan	3-22
Figure 3-14. Roof Plan	3-23
Figure 3-15. Dudley Street Rendered Elevation	3-24
Figure 3-16. Building Sections	3-25
Figure 3-17. Aerial Perspective Looking Northwest- Proposed Building	3-26
Figure 3-18. View from Malcom X Blvd Looking South - Existing Conditions	3-27
Figure 3-19. View from Malcom X Blvd Looking South - Proposed Building	3-28
Figure 3-20. View from Dudley Station Looking South - Existing Conditions	3-29
Figure 3-21. View from Dudley Station Looking South - Proposed Building	3-30
Figure 3-22. View from Dudley Street Looking West - Existing Conditions	3-31
Figure 3-23. View from Dudley Street Looking West- Proposed Building	3-32
Figure 3-24. Aerial Perspective Looking North – Proposed Building	3-33
Figure 3-25. LEED v4 for BD+C: New Construction and Major Renovation	3-34
Figure 4.1-1. March 21 Shadows- 9:00 AM	4-3
Figure 4.1-2. March 21 Shadows- 12:00 Noon	4-4
Figure 4.1-3. March 21 Shadows- 3:00 PM	4-5
Figure 4.1-4. March 21 Shadows- 6:00 PM	4-6
Figure 4.1-5. June 21 Shadows- 9:00 AM	4-7
Figure 4.1-6. June 21 Shadows- 12:00 Noon	4-8
Figure 4.1-7. June 21 Shadows- 3:00 PM	4-9
Figure 4.1-8. June 21 Shadows- 6:00 PM	4-10
Figure 4.1-9. September 21 Shadows- 9:00 AM	4-11
Figure 4.1-10. September 21 Shadows- 12:00 Noon	4-12
Figure 4.1-11. September 21 Shadows- 3:00 PM	4-13
Figure 4.1-12. September 21 Shadows- 6:00 PM	4-14
Figure 4.1-13. December 21 Shadows- 9:00 AM	4-15
Figure 4.1-14. December 21 Shadows- 12:00 Noon	4-16
Figure 4.1-15. December 21 Shadows- 3:00 PM	4-17
Figure 4.6-1. Environmental Conditions	4-20
Figure 5-1. Historic Resources	5-4
Figure 6-1. BWSC Sewer Plan	6-3
Figure 6-2. BWSC Water Plan	6-5
Figure 7-1. Study Area	7-2
Figure 7-2. On-Street Parking	7-5

Figure 7-3. Car and Bicycle Share Locations	7-7
Figure 7-4. Existing Condition Traffic Volumes, a.m. & p.m. Peak Hours	7-8
Figure 7-5. Existing Condition Pedestrian and Bicycle Volumes	.7-10
Figure 7-6. Public Transportation	.7-12
Figure 7-7. Background Projects	.7-16
Figure 7-8. No-Build (2026) Condition Traffic Volumes, a.m. & p.m. Peak Hours	.7-19
Figure 7-9. Site Plan	.7-21
Figure 7-10. Trip Distribution	. 7-25
Figure 7-11. Project Generated Trips a.m. & p.m. peak hours	.7-27
Figure 7-12. Build (2026) Condition Traffic Volumes, a.m. & p.m. Peak Hours	.7-28

1.0 EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

1.1 Introduction

Cruz Development Corporation ("Cruz"), a Massachusetts corporation, (the "Proponent" or "Cruz"), is submitting, this Project Notification Form ("PNF") for a mixed-use residential/commercial development project at 135 Dudley Street in the Roxbury neighborhood (the "Proposed Project"), in accordance with the Article 80 requirements of the Boston Zoning Code ("Code").

The Proposed Site includes an approximate 69,835 SF (1.6-acre) project area bordered by the Boston Police District B-2 Roxbury Station, the Roxbury Municipal Court, and the Dudley Literacy Center (the "Proposed Site"). The project area is vacant with no on-site buildings.

The Proposed Project involves construction of approximately 346,716 gross square feet of floor area (including an over-grade parking garage) and includes 160 housing units, 15,512 gross square feet of street level /commercial space, including office space for the Boston Chapter of the NAACP (with the Proponent providing this space rent free for 10-years) and a restaurant flexible space-tenant amenities / art space, and a structured parking garage for approximately 270 vehicles. One of the two proposed buildings (rental) will be six-stories containing 55 units of affordable rental housing for families starting at 30% of AMI, and the other building (condominiums) will be nine stories and contain 105 condominium units, providing homeownership opportunities to 50 households at 70% and 80% of AMI, with the remaining 55 units proposed as market-rate. There will be a landscaped plaza between the two buildings, and one to the east of the rental units affordable building adjacent to the Dudley BPL Branch Library, both opening on Dudley Street.

The Proposed Project will further the objectives of Mayor Martin J. Walsh's Housing Plan, <u>Housing a</u> <u>Changing City: Boston 2030</u>, and is responsive to the Department of Neighborhood Development's Request for Proposals ("RFP") for <u>PLAN: Dudley Square - Dudley Street Commercial Area</u> issued on July 16, 2018.

Cruz became the tentative designated developer of the city of Boston owned site on July 17, 2019 because of the proposal's ability to provide a variety of housing types with mixed-income levels serving all members of the community. During the construction phase the project is projected to support full-time employment for 125 construction jobs from a variety of trades. The development plans will also include approximately 120 parking spaces to be made available to the general public, providing both short-term and long-term parking.

The Project Site is within a highly commercialized area and is in the midst of a very active transportation node that includes major MBTA bus lines and the Dudley Square MBTA station across Dudley Street. It is also located in the Dudley Square Economic Development Area ("EDA") of the Roxbury Neighborhood Zoning District, Article 50 (Maps 6A-6C). The site is also within Neighborhood Design Review and Boulevard Planning subdistricts. While the proposed multi-family uses are "conditional"

above the first floor within the EDA subdistrict, the proposed retail uses are generally allowed uses. It is expected that relief will be required from the Boston Board of Appeal. Please see **Figures 1-1** through **1-7** for project locus and existing condition photographs.

A Letter of Intent to File a Project Notification Form was filed with the Boston Planning and Development Agency for the proposed mixed-use development project on August 26, 2019 (See **Appendix A**).

1.2 **Project Details**

1.2.1 Existing Conditions Plan

The overall project site includes an approximate 69,835 SF (1.6-acre) area bordered by the Boston Police District B-2 Roxbury Station, the Roxbury Municipal Court, and the Dudley Literacy Center (the "Proposed Site"). The Boston Assessor's Parcel Number is recorded as No. 118030. The project area is vacant with no on-site buildings. (see Figure 3-3. Existing Plan of Land).

1.2.2 Detailed Project Description

The total project will include 346,716 square feet of gross floor area on 1.6 acres with a Floor Area Ratio (F.A.R.) of 4.96. Total building area is distributed between 135,140 gross square feet for the Building 1: multi-family affordable rental building and 211,576 gross square feet for Building 2: multifamily residential condominium building, and provide overall onsite parking for 270 covered and surface spaces as well as other public realm improvements ("Proposed Project"). See Project Dimensions in **Table 1-1** below

Table 1-1. App	roximate Proje	ect Dimensions	of Pro	posed Project

Lot Area	1.6 Acres (69,835 SF)		
Overall Gross Floor Area	346,716 +/- gsf		
Overall FAR	4.96		
Floors	Building 1: 6-Floors Building 2: 9-Floors		
Height	Building 1: 65 Feet Building 2: 95 Feet		





135 Dudley Street

Figure 1-1. Project Locus 135 Dudley Street, Roxbury



Executive Summary



Figure 1-2. USGS Map 135 Dudley Street, Roxbury



Executive Summary





Figure 1-3. Existing Photographs Key 135 Dudley Street, Roxbury



Executive Summary

Figure 1-4. Existing Site Photos



View of the Project Site from the intersection of Washington and Dudley Streets



View of the Project Site from the intersection of Warren and Dudley Streets



View of the Project Site from Dudley Square MBTA Station on Dudley Street



View of the Project Site from the Roxbury Municipal Court looking North

Figure 1-5. Existing Site Photos



View of the Boston Police District B-2 Roxbury Station



View of the Dudley Public Library Branch and Dudley Literacy Center on Adjacent Warren Street looking Northwest



View of the Dudley Literacy Center and Roxbury Municipal Court next to the Project Site from Dudley Street



View of the Roxbury Municipal Court from Kearsarge Avenue and Warren Street

Figure 1-6. Existing Site Photos



View of the Yawkey Boys & Girls Club of Roxbury and Adjoining Baseball Field behind the Roxbury Municipal Court



View of Dudley Square MBTA Station looking Northwest on Dudley Street



View of Justice Gourdin Park from the Intersection of Shawmut Avenue and Washington Streets



Hurley Wire & Cable Southwest of the Project Site

Figure 1-7. Existing Site Photos



View from the Project Site looking Northwest on Dudley Street



View of Bank of America on Dudley Street across from the Project Site



View looking Northeast on Adjacent Washington Street toward the Dudley Square MBTA Station



View looking Southwest on Adjacent Washington Street

The breakdown of the multi-family residential units includes, approximately 34 one-bedroom units, approximately 112 two-bedroom units, and approximately 14 three-bedroom units, as referenced in **Tables 1-2** and **1-3** that follow.

Floor Level/ Unit Types	Studio	1-BR	2-BR	3-BR	Approx. Total Units Per Floor
1 st Floor					
2 nd Floor		2	4	2	9
3 rd Floor		3	5	1	8
4 th Floor			6	2	9
5 th Floor		3	6		19
6 th Floor		3	15	1	18
7 th Floor		1	17		18
8 th Floor		2	16		18
9 th Floor		2	14		16
Approx. Total	0 Units	16 Units	83 Units	6 Units	105 Units

Table 1-2. Proposed Residential Units by Bedroom Size for Building: Condominiums

Floor Level/ Unit Types	Studio	1-BR	2-BR	3-BR	Approx. Total Units Per Floor
1 st Floor					
2 nd Floor			6	2	8
3 rd Floor		1	7	2	10
4 th Floor		6	3	2	11
5 th Floor		6	3	2	11
6 th Floor		5	10		15
Approx. Total	0 Units	18 Units	29 Units	8 Units	55 Units

Table 1-2. Proposed Units by Bedroom Size for Building 1: Affordable Rental

The Site circulation plan is designed to create a safe and pleasant entry to the Proposed Project from Dudley Street. Service access and the covered and surface parking spaces will be accessed from Warren Street.

1.3 Summary of Project Impacts and Mitigation

1.3.1 Urban Design

The development at 135 Dudley Street is comprised of 160 dwelling units, divided in two buildings - a six-story rental building and a nine-story condominium building. The larger of the two buildings, consists of a mix of one hundred and five (105) one, two- and three-bedroom condominium units. The second building houses fifty-five (55) rental units.

The building massing constitutes a unified whole while also providing variety and visual interest. It is composed of two basic exterior cladding systems that break down the overall scale of the project into smaller sections. The primary cladding system is composed of articulated, horizontal fiber-cement panels. The secondary cladding system is a simpler, more background-like façade expression, with more conventional, neutral-colored fiber cement panels. Operable and fixed regular glazing units, along with bay windows, and strategically located "super bays" with integral balconies and projecting elements provide further visual interest and complete the exterior design.

1.3.2 Landscape Design

The design of the landscape is based on four objectives

- 1. Create a pedestrian-oriented landscape plan that fosters both commercial use and residential living on the site;
- 2. Create a public park next to the library that welcomes the neighborhood to Dudley Square and provides a place to rest and socialize;
- 3. Mitigate the impact of the new building and urban surroundings by increasing the area of plantings and utilizing permeable pavements; and
- 4. Utilize best practices for environmental landscape design.

Spatial Organization & Circulation

The public face of the building facing Dudley Street will include commercial storefronts to bolster the Dudley Square commercial district. Two residential lobbies are prominently located – one in the center of the frontage, and one at the public park (east) end of the site with direct access to the public sidewalks. A multistory garage will be located at the rear of the site, accessed via easements across the Courthouse, Urban Wild and Boston Police properties. A public park is designed in the space between the new building and the Dudley Branch Boston Public Library. A retaining wall at the rear of the park will separate the significant grade change between the Dudley streetscape and the sidewalks at the courthouse and library. The project will provide an elevator at the corner of the parking garage for easy access from the courthouse and library down to Dudley Street

<u>Park</u>

An accessible park has been developed that provides for the following uses for the enjoyment of the general public:

- Outdoor Café related to the retail space at the front corner of the building,
- Entry walkway and seat walls at the residential lobby,
- Picnic and gathering area with tables and chairs under proposed shade trees,
- Blue bike stations,
- Open lawn area for flexible play,
- Wood platform seat walls at the rear retaining wall,
- Space on the retaining wall designated for temporary murals to be installed by local artists,
- Greenscreen and vines as well as vitrine art display cases on the garage façade, and

• Evergreen tree and shrub planting above the wall to provide year-round green backdrop to the park.

Where feasible, design details from the granite seat wall and wood bench planters located in the library plaza redesign will be echoed in the detailing at this new park. The design includes nineteen new shade trees and seven new evergreen trees in the park. Shade trees along the rear of the site will also be planted. Additionally, the streetscape redesign by Utile includes many new street trees, planters and amenities along Dudley Street.

<u>Courtyard</u>

Within the building and above the first-floor level of the garage a shared courtyard is designed as an outdoor amenity space for the residents of the buildings. Constructed above the garage structure, the courtyard will include extensive green roof plantings as well as lightweight planters to support shrubs and trees. A pedestal paver or wood deck system will be designed to support outdoor dining, gathering, grilling, lounging, as well as possibly ping pong, shuffleboard, a TV wall and other amenities. Residential units that face this courtyard will have direct access to private patios with privacy fencing. Greenscreens with vine plantings are proposed to screen the two garage facades facing the courtyard.

1.3.3 Sustainable Design / Energy Conservation

The project is comprised of <u>two</u> separate buildings. The condominium building is 9-stories and has 105 units, and the rental building is 6-stories and has 55 affordable housing units. The Proponent is treating the development as one site for the purposes of LEED, and the individual buildings will have a separate energy performance for this PNF.

To meet the requirements of Article 37, the following section describes how the Project complies with the LEED Building Design & Construction v4 criteria.

The Project will demonstrate compliance with the LEED Certifiably Requirements. Further study over the coming weeks and months will determine and confirm final credit achievement. At this stage in the project we are tracking 64 YES credits and 18 MAYBE credits as presented in **Figure 3-25** in **Section 3.0**. Further study over the coming weeks and months will determine final credit achievement. We have outlined in the narrative below, how the project intends to achieve the prerequisites and credits for the LEED BD&C v4 certification

1.3.4 Pedestrian Wind Analysis

A detailed modeling study will be completed by RWDI, wind engineers, to predict the wind environment around the existing site, as well as those anticipated once the two proposed (six and nine) story buildings are constructed. RWDI and the design team will work jointly to develop

appropriate wind control measures, if wind activity is predicted to increase beyond appropriate thresholds.

1.3.5 Shadow Impact Analysis

The Architectural Team, the Proposed Project's architects, prepared a shadow study to assess the potential shadow impacts of the Proposed Project on the surrounding area (see **Section 4.1**).

With a proposed height of 6 and 9-floors above grade, the Proposed Project's shadow impacts are generally minimal to moderate. New shadow for most of the year is primarily limited to a small section of the Eastbound direction of Dudley Street to the north. During the evening hours in the spring and fall, and during the morning and evening hours in winter, some shadows will extend to nearby properties. Overall, the Proposed Project's shadow impacts will not adversely impact the Proposed Project Site and surroundings.

1.3.6 Daylight Analysis

A detailed daylight impact analysis will be completed by VHB for the Draft Project Impact Report (DPIR) to predict any increase in daylight obstruction when compared to the existing conditions at the vacant site. While daylight obstruction values from the Proposed Project will be greater than those currently present in this specific location, they are expected to be consistent with, and typical to, the future character of Dudley Street and portions of the surrounding area closest to Dudley Square.

1.3.7 Solar Glare

It is not expected that the Proposed Project will include the use of highly reflective glass or other reflective materials on the building facades that would result in adverse impacts from reflected solar glare.

1.3.8 Air Quality Analysis

Tech Environmental, Inc., the Proposed Project's air quality consultant, will conduct analyses to evaluate the existing air quality in the Project area, predict the worst-case air quality impacts from the Proposed Project's fuel combustion equipment and standby generators, and evaluate the potential impacts of project-generated traffic on the air quality at the most congested local intersections. The worst-case air quality impacts from the Proposed Project's enclosed parking garage is not expected to have an adverse impact on air quality.

1.3.9 Noise Analysis

Tech Environmental, Inc., the Proposed Project's noise consultant, will conduct a noise study to determine whether the operation of the Proposed Project will comply with the Massachusetts

DEP Noise Policy and City of Boston Noise Regulations. The Proponent is committed to implementing the following sound level mitigation measures for the Proposed Project, as necessary, to comply with the applicable sound level limits. It is expected that the Proposed Project will not create a noise nuisance condition and will fully comply with the most stringent sound level limits set by the Massachusetts DEP Noise Policy, City of Boston Noise Regulations, and HUD's Residential Site Acceptability Standards.

1.3.10 Stormwater Management and Water Quality

The 135 Dudley St. Project is expected to reduce the volume of stormwater runoff leaving the site as well as substantially improve the water quality (See Section 4.5). The Proposed Project will meet and exceed Boston Water and Sewer Commission (BWSC) standards for stormwater management by mitigating 1.25 inches of stormwater runoff generated by proposed impervious surfaces. The DPIR will present a more detailed analysis of the existing and proposed stormwater drainage system as well as proposed water quality mitigation.

1.3.11 Solid and Hazardous Waste

Solid Waste

During the preparation of the site, debris, including asphalt, trash, and demolition debris will be removed from the Proposed Project site. The Proponent will ensure that waste removal and disposal during construction and operation will be in conformance with the City and DEP's Regulations for Solid Waste. Additionally, construction waste will be managed in compliance with LEED requirements.

In order to meet the requirements for the Interagency Green Building Commission and the LEEDTM rating system, the Project will include space dedicated to the storage and collection of recyclables, including dedicated dumpsters at the loading area. The recycling program will meet or exceed the City's guidelines, and provide-areas for waste paper and newspaper, metal, glass, and plastics (21 through 27, co-mingled).

Hazardous Waste

The Proposed Project is located on a portion of the former Modern Electroplating Site, a Massachusetts Department of Environmental Protection (MassDEP) listed disposal site. The primary contaminants of concern at the Site are chlorinated Volatile Organic Compounds (VOCs) in soil and groundwater. Although the Modern Electroplating Site has been cleanup up under the Massachusetts Contingency Plan (MCP) residual soil and groundwater contamination remains on a portion of the Proposed Project.

The Proponent has considered these environmental findings during the Proposed Project planning process and will undertake the appropriate actions to further assess and mitigate such conditions. A soil reuse area will be identified on-site to relocate soil that would be classified as hazardous

waste if excavated and disposed off-site. This reuse area will be under the new building or pavement. To mitigate the potential for a complete vapor intrusion pathway and indoor air contamination, the Proposed Project incorporates two levels of open-air above ground parking with residential units above. A sub-slab vapor mitigation system will be installed in perimeter ground floor retail and commercial space to prevent a complete vapor intrusion pathway.

Overall, the Proponent will provide MCP and Licensed Site Professional (LSP) support services and engage licensed remedial contractors during property redevelopment activities in order to comply with applicable local, state and federal regulations and guidelines that govern the environmental conditions identified on the Proposed Project site. Additional information on addressing hazardous waste is in **Section 4.6.2**.

1.3.12 Geotechnical / Groundwater Impacts Analysis

The soil conditions at the site generally consists of vary thicknesses of fill, glacial tills, and bedrock. Bedrock is as shallow as 2 feet below ground surface on the eastern portion of the site and greater than 20 feet deep at the western portion. The first five feet of bedrock generally consists of a heavily weathered and severely fractured rock. The deeper, competent bedrock below the weathered rock was observed as Roxbury Conglomerate. Groundwater was encountered around 8 to 15 feet below ground surface and were similar elevations in the rock and sand layers measured.

Given the proposed building construction and the subsurface conditions, it is anticipated that the proposed building will be supported by conventional spread footing foundations in conjunction with a soil-supported slab-on-grade.

The project does not include any underground parking or basements and therefore excavation depths and quantities will be limited. However, there will be excavations required to install foundations, grade beams, utilities, and stormwater features. At the east side of the site, shallow bedrock will be encountered in these excavations. At the west side of the site, contaminated soils of excavated material will also be encountered due to shallow bedrock at the east and contaminated soils at the west area of the site. Measures will be taken to limit the amount of impact associated with encountering these conditions. Additional geotechnical information and analysis is provided in **Section 4.7**.

1.3.13 Construction Impacts Analysis

Section 4.8 describes impacts likely to result from the Proposed Project's construction and the steps that will be taken to avoid or minimize environmental and transportation-related impacts. The Proponent will employ a construction manager that will be responsible for developing a construction phasing and staging plan and for coordinating construction activities with all appropriate regulatory agencies. The Proposed Project's geotechnical consultant will provide consulting services associated with foundation design recommendations, prepare geotechnical

specifications, and review the construction contractor's proposed procedures. Construction is expected to commence in the 1st Quarter 2023 and to be completed in the 2nd Quarter of 2025.

The Proponent will comply with applicable state and local regulations governing construction of the Proposed Project. The Proponent will require that the general contractor comply with the Construction Management Plan ("CMP") developed in consultation with and approved by the Boston Transportation Department ("BTD"), prior to the commencement of construction. The construction manager will be bound by the CMP, which will establish the guidelines for the duration of the Proposed Project and will include specific mitigation measures and staging plans to minimize impacts on abutters.

Most construction activities will be accommodated within the current site boundaries. Details of the overall construction schedule, working hours, number of construction workers, worker transportation and parking, number of construction vehicles, and routes will be addressed in detail in a Construction Management Plan to be filed with BTD in accordance with the City's transportation maintenance plan requirements. To minimize transportation impacts during the construction period, there will be limited construction workers' supplies and tools so they do not have to be brought to the site each day, and subsidies for MBTA passes will be considered. The Construction Management Plan to be executed with the City prior to commencement of construction will document all committed measures.

1.3.14 Wetlands / Flood Hazard Zone

The existing Proposed Project site is not a part of a wetland resource area regulated by the Massachusetts Wetland Protection Act. Based on the Preliminary Flood Insurance Rate Maps (FIRM) for Suffolk County, the Proposed Project site is not located in a special flood hazard area, floodway area, or other flood area. It is located on City of Boston Sheet No. 25025COO79J, effective 03/16/16 as Flood Zone X, Area of Minimal Flood Hazard.

1.3.15 Wildlife Habitat

The existing site does not contain any significant flora or fauna that will require protection during the construction phase(s) of the proposed project.

1.3.16 Historic Resources Component

According to files at the Massachusetts Historical Commission, there are no on-site structures listed in the National or State Register of Historic Places, or the Inventory of Historical and Archaeological Assets of the Commonwealth. The Dudley Station and Roxbury Highland Historic Districts are in close proximity to the Project site, but it is not expected that the Proposed Project will cause adverse impacts on the historic or architectural elements of these districts or historic resources within 1/8 mile of the Project site (see Section 5.0).

1.3.17 Infrastructure Systems Component

An infrastructure system's analysis (Section 6.0) was completed by Bryant Associates, the Project's Civil Engineer. The existing infrastructure surrounding the site appears sufficient to service the needs of the Proposed Project. This section describes the existing sewer, water, and drainage systems surrounding the site and explains how these systems will service the development. This analysis also discusses any anticipated project-related impacts on the utilities and identifies mitigation measures to address these potential impacts.

1.3.18 Transportation Component

Section 7.0 presents the comprehensive transportation study completed by HSH for the Proposed Project in conformance with the BTD Transportation Access Plan Guidelines (2001). The study analyzes existing conditions within the Proposed Project study area, as well as conditions forecast to be in place under the seven-year planning horizon of 2026.

The Project will have imperceptible impacts to roadway operations or transit services in the area. The Proponent is committed to implementing a transportation demand management ("TDM") program that supports the City's efforts to reduce dependency on the automobile by encouraging alternatives to driving alone, especially during peak travel periods. Proposed measures include, but are not limited to, providing transit information (schedules, maps, and fare information) to residents and visitors, providing on-site secure, covered bicycle storage for every residential unit, The Proponent will designate a full-time, on-site employee as the transportation coordinator. The transportation coordinator will oversee all transportation issues including managing vehicular operations, parking, service and loading, and TDM programs. The detailed agreement of full TDM measures will be defined and codified in the Transportation Access Plan Agreement (TAPA).

1.3.19 Response to Climate Change Questionnaire

Please see **Appendix E** for the Proponent's Response to the City of Boston's Climate Change Questionnaire.

1.3.20 Response to City of Boston Accessibility Guidelines

Please see Appendix F for the Proponent's Response to the City of Boston's Accessibility Guidelines.

1.3.21 Response to BPDA Broadband Questionnaire

Please see Appendix G for the Proponent's Response to the BPDA Broadband Questionnaire.

1.3.22 Responses to BPDA Smart Utilities Checklist

Please see Appendix H for the Proponent's Response to the BPDA Smart Utilities Checklist.

2.0 GENERAL INFORMATION

2.1 Applicant Information

2.1.1 Project Proponent

The Project Proponent, Cruz Development Corporation (CDC), has been proudly entwined with the Roxbury community for decades. Its parent, the John B. Cruz Construction Company, Inc., was founded by John "Bertie" Cruz in 1948. CDC has completed more than \$500,000,000 in multiple landmark projects over the past 40-years, including ground up construction, building rehabilitation, multi-family rehabilitation and office building construction. CDC has partnered with many local community groups and historical societies in and around the City of Boston as part of the process of gaining project approvals. John B. Cruz, III, CDC President, has been involved in real estate development/investment since 1973. CDC currently owns and manages over 1,000 apartment units for his own portfolio. Cruz's most recent development project is the ground-up 280-290 Warren Street mixed-use residential/commercial development as well as the moderate rehabilitation of a 349-unit, multi-family residential development, consisting of 23 scattered site properties, located in the Roxbury, Dorchester and Mattapan neighborhoods of Boston.

CDC, therefore, has experience in managing and developing real estate, and in managing local businesses, which will guide this Proposed Project to completion.

Project Name	135 Dudley Street, Roxbury
Owner	City of Boston / Department of Neighborhood Development (DND)
Developer	Cruz Development Corporation One John Eliot Square Roxbury, MA 02119 John B. Cruz III, President Daniel Cruz, Jr., Vice President Armond McCoy, Project Manager <u>amccoy@cruzcompanies.com</u> Tel: 617-445-6901 McCall Cruz <u>mcruz@cruzcompanies.com</u>

2.1.2 Project Team

Article 80 Permitting Consultant	Mitchell L. Fischman Consulting ("MLF Consulting") LLC 41 Brush Hill Road Newton, MA 02461 Mitchell Fischman <u>mitchfischman@gmail.com</u> Tel: 781-760-1726 Yvette Niwa <u>yvetteniwa.mlfconsulting@gmail.com</u> Tel : 818-426-8157
Legal Counsel	McKenzie & Associates, PC (Zoning & Permitting) 183 State Street, Suite 6 Boston. MA 02109 Tel: 617-723-0400 Denzil McKenzie, Esq. dmckenzie@mckenzielawpc.com Timothy A.M. Fraser, Esq. tfraser@mckenzielawpc.com Tourse & Associates PC (Corporate & Real Estate) 3 Cannon Street Newton, MA 02461 Tel: 617-969-8035 Dennis Tourse dtourse@tourseassoc.com

Architects	The Architectural Team50 Commandants Way at Admiral HillChelsea, MA 02150Tel: 617-889-4402Robert Verrier AIArverrier@architecturalteam.comAl Donovan AIAadonovan@architecturalteam.comJeffrey Sargis AIAjsargis@architecturalteam.comMicheal Washington Architects891 Centre Street, Suite 401Jamaica Plain, MA 02130Tel: 617-390-5515Micheal Washingtonmwashington@mwaboston.comElvin Phillipsephillips@mwaboston.com
Transportation Planner / Engineer	Howard Stein Hudson 11 Beacon Street, Suite 1010 Boston, MA 02108 Tel: 617-482-7080 Elizabeth Peart <u>epeart@hshassoc.com</u> Michael White <u>mwhite@hshassoc.com</u>
Civil Engineer	Bryant Associates 90 Canal Street Suite 301 Boston, MA 02114 Tel: 617-248-0300 James Comeau Jcomeau@bryant-engrs.com Todd Brayton Tbrayton@bryant-engrs.com

Landscape Architect	Verdant Landscape Architecture 318 Harvard Street, Suite 25 Brookline, MA 02446 Tel: 617-735-1180 Katya Podsiadlo kp@verdantla.com Blair Hines, Principal bh@verdantla.com	
Noise and Air Consultant	Tech Environmental, Inc. Hobbs Brook Office Park 303 Wyman Street, Suite 295 Waltham, MA 02451 Tel: 781-890-2220 Marc C. Wallace <u>mwallace@techenv.com</u> Tel: 781-890-2220 x30	
Sustainability / Article 37 Consultant	Soden Sustainability Consulting 19 Richardson Street Winchester, MA 01890 Tel: 617-372-7857 Colleen Ryan Soden, LEED AP BD+C colleen@sodensustainability.com	
General Contractor	John B. Cruz Construction Company One John Eliot Square Roxbury, MA 02119 John B. Cruz, III	
Wind Engineer	RWDI 600 Southgate Drive Guelph, Canada N1G4P6 Tel: 519-823-1311 Sonia Beaulieu <u>Sonia.beaulieu@rwdi.com</u>	

Geotechnical / Environmental / 21E Engineer	GEI Consultants 400 Unicorn Park Drive Woburn, MA 01801 Ileen Gladstone, Senior VP Igladstone@geiconsultants.com Tel: 781-721-4012
Construction Commencement	1st Quarter 2023
Construction Completion	2nd Quarter 2025
Status of Project Design	Schematic

2.1.3 Legal Information

Legal Judgments or Actions Pending Concerning the Proposed Project

To the Proponent's knowledge, there are no legal judgments or actions pending concerning the Proposed Project.

History of Tax Arrears on Property Owned in Boston by the Applicant

There are no known tax arrears on property in Boston owned by the Proponent.

Nature and Extent of Any and All Public Easements

There are many utility easements for sewer, electric, cable, telephone and gas on or adjacent to the Proposed Project site based on the existing survey. Final design plans will accommodate these easements - not known at this time.

2.2 Public Benefits

The Proposed Project will provide the following substantial benefits to the City and its residents:

- The Proposed Project will provide multi-family residential units with ground floor retail, service and cultural uses to meet community objectives and enhance the vibrancy of Dudley Square;
- As a transit-oriented development ("TOD"), the Proposed Project will achieve the DND RFP's affordable housing goals for affordable / income restricted housing for both rental and home ownership units;

- The Proposed Project will provide office space for the Boston Chapter of the NAACP (with the Proponent providing this space rent-free for 10-years);
- The Proposed Project will provide a significant public open space to support existing uses in the area including the Dudley BPL Branch Library and Court House, and the pedestrian experience will be enhanced with new street trees and other streetscape amenities;
- The Proposed Project responds to the RFP by striving to achieve the highest LEED/Green Building requirements, as feasible;
- The Proposed Project will support economic development in the district by providing new local employment opportunities;
- The Proposed Project will also include approximately 120-parking spaces to be made available to the general public, providing both short-term and long-term parking, out of the available approximately 270 total spaces;
- During the construction phase, the Proposed Project is expected to support full-time employment for 125 construction jobs from a variety of trades; and
- The Proposed Project will provide additional real estate tax revenue to the City

2.3 Regulatory Controls and Permits

Large Project Review Requirement

Because the Project involves new construction in excess of 50,000 square feet of Gross Floor Area, the Project is subject to Large Project Review pursuant to Article 80B of the Boston Zoning Code. On August 26, 2019, the Applicant filed a Letter of Intent to file this Project Notification Form to commence study of the potential impacts of the Project. Under the Mayor's Executive Order dated October 10, 2000, and amended on April 3, 2001, regarding mitigation for development projects, the Mayor has appointed an Project Review Committee / Impact Advisory Group to advise the BPDA on mitigation measures for projects undergoing Large Project Review. In connection with Large Project Review, the Project will be subject to, among other requirements, Boston Civic Design Commission review and the green building requirements of Article 37 of the Boston Zoning Code.

Zoning District and Overlay Subdistricts

The Project Site is located entirely within the Dudley Square Economic Development Area (EDA) Subdistrict of the Roxbury Neighborhood Zoning District, governed by Article 50 of the Boston Zoning Code. The Project site is also located within overlay Neighborhood Design Review and Boulevard Planning Subdistricts, each which have special design review requirements and design guidelines as set forth in Subsections 50-38.1, 50-39, 50-40 and 50-41.

Under the Dudley Square EDA underlying zoning, multifamily dwelling use is conditional on the first floor and allowed on the second story and above. Local retail business, and certain service and restaurant

uses are allowed with certain limitations. The stipulated maximum building height is 55 feet, the minimum rear yard requirement is 20 feet, and the maximum floor area ratio is 2.0. Off-street parking and loading requirements are determined through Large Project Review requirements.

Anticipated related relief required from the Zoning Board of Appeal is set forth below.

The following table provides additional details regarding use and dimensional zoning requirements the overall Proposed Project (**Table 2-1**).

Table 2-1. 135 Dudley Street - Overall Rental and Home-Ownership/CondominiumBuildings - Use and Dimensional Requirements

Use / Dimensional Element	Dudley Sq. EDA District Requirements	Proposed Overall Project (Rental and Condominium Buildings) (1)	Relief Required
Multi-Family Use	Conditional: 1 st Floor Allowed: Above 1 st Floor	160 units	No
Local Retail Use	Local Retail Uses Allowed	Up to 15,512 SF	No
Restaurant Use	Allowed (other than drive-in) with limitations	Up to 2,000 SF	No
Max. Floor Area Ratio	2.0	4.96	Variance
Min. Lot Size	None	69,835 SF	No
Min. Usable Open Space (SF Per DU)	None	11,546 SF	Νο
Max. Building Height	55 feet	95 feet	Variance
Min. Lot Width	None	386 feet	No
Use / Dimensional Element	Dudley Sq. EDA District Requirements	Proposed Overall Project (Rental and Condominium Buildings) (1)	Relief Required
------------------------------	--	---	--------------------
Min. Lot Frontage	None	394 feet	Νο
Minimum Front Yard	None	2 feet – 10 feet	Νο
Minimum Side Yard	None	2 feet to 20 feet BPD side; 62 feet Library side	No
Minimum Rear Yard	20 feet	4 feet	Yes
Minimum Parking	Determined Through Large Project Review	Approx. 270 spaces	No
Minimum Loading	Determined Through Large Project Review	Loading Dock Spaces Integrated into the first-floor parking scheme	Νο

1. The dimensions described in this above table may change as the Proposed Project undergoes design review with the BPDA

2.3.1 Preliminary List of Permits or Other Approvals Which May be Sought

Preliminary List of Permits or Other Approvals Which May be Sought

The table below presents a preliminary list of governmental permits and approvals that are expected to be required for the proposed redevelopment of 135 Dudley Street. This preliminary list is based on currently available information and is subject to change as the redevelopment program and design evolve. See **Table 2-4** that follows.

MEPA Review

Because of State/Federal funding possibilities, the Proponent anticipates that the Project will require review by the Massachusetts Environmental Policy Act Office of the Massachusetts Office of Energy and Environmental Affairs.

Agency Name	Permit or Action	
City of Boston		
Boston Planning & Development Agency	Large Project Review and associated reviews and agreements (including Cooperation Agreement, Boston Residents Construction Employment Plan, Green Building Review, Response to Climate Change Preparedness and Resiliency Checklist, Response to Accessibility Questionnaire, and Response to Broadband Questionnaire, Response to SMART Utilities Checklist); Section 80B-6 Certificate of Compliance	
Boston Department of Neighborhood Development (DND)	99-Year Ground Lease; Design Review	
Boston Civic Design Commission (BCDC)	Advisory Design Review	
Boston Transportation Department (BTD)	Transportation Access Plan Agreement Construction Management Plan	
Boston Parks and Recreation Commission	Design review under City of Boston Municipal Code Section 7-4.11	
Zoning Board of Appeal (BOA)	Zoning Relief	
Boston Water and Sewer Commission (BWSC)	Site Plan Review Water and Sewer Connection Permits	
Public Improvement Commission (PIC) / Public Works Department	Specific Repair Plan approval for changes to the public right of way; Specific Repairs Plans; Curb-Cut and Street/Sidewalk Opening Permits	
Boston Inspectional Services Department	Building/Occupancy Permits	

Commonwealth of Massachusetts	
MA Executive Office of Energy and Environmental Affairs (MEPA Office)	State Environmental Review
MA Historical Commission	State Register Review (if required)
Federal	
Environmental Protection Agency	Coverage under National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System Permit - General Permit
Federal Aviation Administration	Determination of No Hazard to Air Navigation (if required for cranes)

2.4 Public Review Process and Agency Coordination

Preliminary plans for the Proposed Project have been discussed with Roxbury elected officials and will be more fully discussed with the Roxbury neighborhood during the Article 80 public review process.

The Proponent has also discussed the Proposed Project with representatives of the Boston Planning and Development Agency ("BPDA") prior to filing this Project Notification Form in order to identify issues/concerns as well as design requirements related to the Project.

The Proponent, since it was recommended by the Plan Dudley PRC as developer on June 3, 2019, and the subsequent approval of same by the Roxbury Strategic Master Plan Oversight Committee (RMPOC), has held a series of meetings with city officials and community representatives. The Proponent has met with a team from the Department of Neighborhood Development (DND) on four occasions - 06/24/19, 07/01/19, 07/15/19, and 07/22/19. The last meeting (07/22/19) included meeting with representatives from the Boston Public Library, who are undergoing renovations to their building that abuts the 135 Dudley Street site. The Proponent has also had four pre-filing meetings with the Boston Planning and Development Agency (BPDA) on 08/06/19, 08/13/19, 08/23/19 and 09/10/19, in conjunction with the DND, to discuss design, advisory impact group meetings, scheduling, etc. The Proponent also met with the Plan Dudley PRC on September 10, 2019 to update them on the status of the project. The Proponent submitted a letter of intent to the BPDA on August 21, 2019, and is filing the Project Notification Form no later than September 27, 2019.

In accordance with Article 80 requirements, a Project Review Committee ("PRC") is expected to be utilized as an Impact Advisory Committee and neighborhood meeting(s) will be scheduled to review the PNF and receive community comments on the Project during the PNF public review period.

2.5 Development Impact Payment ("DIP") Status

Based on current schematic design plans, it is <u>not</u> anticipated that Development Impact Payments ("DIP"), in accordance with Article 80B-7 of the Code, will be required as the amount of square footage (100,000 gsf) for a DIP eligible project is below the amount that would trigger a payment.

3.0 URBAN DESIGN AND SUSTAINABILITY COMPONENT

3.1 Urban Design Overview

The siting of the project creates a welcoming gesture for circulation coming from Malcolm X Boulevard and Gourdin Park and provides an activated edge along Dudley Street and a portion of Washington Street to the Boston Police substation. Approximately 270 spaces of above grade, structured parking is accessed via the existing Warren Street curb cut, well away from Dudley Street. This parking is intended to serve residents, visitors, as well as a component of public neighborhood parking, and will be architecturally and programmatically screened from direct view from Dudley and Washington Streets. Above the retail level, the site is split into two buildings, creating an elevated courtyard between the buildings. Each building has its own architectural character, further integrating it into the diverse architectural context of Dudley Square.

The project provides a community park between the eastern boundary of the site and the Dudley Branch of the Boston Public Library. This shared green space is intended for tenants as well as neighborhood and Library uses. Through the course of development, the project team has coordinated with Boston Public Library staff and will continue to discuss mutually beneficial programming opportunities for this space. It is the desire of the project to use multiple types of public art displays as a screening tool against the structured parking and retaining walls, as well as an accent to the public park.

3.2 Building Design, Streetscape, Materials and Massing

To mitigate the height due to the density, the building mass steps back from the street edge, locating taller elements towards the center of the site, distanced from Dudley Street to preserve context in the street wall. Key elevations are matched with the existing Boston Police substation and Public Library to flow in a tiered massing. Views from Washington and Warren Streets show that the development scale and materials integrate with the existing buildings of Dudley Square in height, character, and density.

The project engages Dudley Street at sidewalk level with approximately 15,000 gsf of retail space, including a prominent location for the NAACP offices, as well as provisions for an outdoor café. The project will provide a generous sidewalk within the project boundaries along Dudley and Washington Streets, complete with bicycle racks and street trees to heighten the pedestrian experience. Further, the project has strategically located the residential entries and permanent offices along Dudley Street to enliven the streetscape and integrate them into the retail storefront. Provisions for an outdoor café have been made adjacent to the community park, to further draw visitors from Dudley Square Station to the retail shops located on the street level of the building.

The material color palette is selected from the surrounding neighborhood character. Most prominently, the project refers to the stone from the police department, and concrete tones from the Boston Public Library. A composite metal panel rainscreen cladding system, with sandstone coloring, has been chosen to complement with the Boston Police Department's stone façade. The light warm color palette pays homage to the existing buildings of the neighborhood, while introducing a more modern take on the

neighborhood. Likewise, in select locations to break up the façade, similar color metal panels are used to provide interest and rhythm to the façade. Several areas of full height window wall have been introduced at specific locations to accent or frame prominent views both towards the building, and outward to downtown Boston. The dark metallic window frames and accent material are reminiscent of the metallic tones found on the Dudley Station building as well as on several surrounding buildings. The bay windows located at regular intervals on the façade are a nod to the façade sculpting of the prominent Hotel Dartmouth building across the street.

The proposal provides five levels of above-grade parking for approximately 270 spaces, with access through the rear of the site to the access road that connects with Warren Street. These are anticipated to be for tenants and neighborhood needs, with approximately 110 spaces available for the visitor parking and use by the general public. Bike parking is designed both externally along Dudley Street and within tenant amenity areas in accordance with the project's LEED Gold goals. Indoor resident bike storage is anticipated to be located adjacent the residential lobbies for easy access from tenant circulation.

The urban design drawings, perspectives, and LEED v4 for BD+C Checklists are included at the end of this section (Figures 3-1 thru 3-25).

3.3 Landscape Design

The design of the landscape is based on four objectives

- Create a pedestrian-oriented landscape plan that fosters both commercial use and residential living on the site;
- Create a public park next to the library that welcomes the neighborhood to Dudley Square and provides a place to rest and socialize;
- Mitigate the impact of the new building and urban surroundings by increasing the area of plantings and utilizing permeable pavements; and
- Utilize best practices for environmental landscape design.

Spatial Organization & Circulation

The public face of the building facing Dudley Street will include commercial storefronts to bolster the Dudley Square commercial district. Two residential lobbies are prominently located – one in the center of the frontage, and one at the public park (east) end of the site with direct access to the public sidewalks. A multistory garage will be located at the rear of the site, accessed via easements across the Courthouse, Urban Wild and Boston Police properties. A public park is designed in the space between the new building and the Dudley Branch Boston Public Library. A retaining wall at the rear of the park will separate the significant grade change between the Dudley streetscape and the sidewalks at the courthouse and library. The project will provide an elevator at the corner of the parking garage for easy access from the courthouse and library down to Dudley Street

<u>Park</u>

An accessible park has been developed that provides for the following uses for the enjoyment of the general public:

- Outdoor Café related to the retail space at the front corner of the building,
- Entry walkway and seat walls at the residential lobby,
- Picnic and gathering area with tables and chairs under proposed shade trees,
- Blue bike stations,
- Open lawn area for flexible play,
- Wood platform seat walls at the rear retaining wall,
- Space on the retaining wall designated for temporary murals to be installed by local artists,
- Greenscreen and vines as well as vitrine art display cases on the garage façade, and
- Evergreen tree and shrub planting above the wall to provide year-round green backdrop to the park.

Where feasible, design details from the granite seat wall and wood bench planters located in the library plaza redesign will be echoed in the detailing at this new park. The design includes nineteen new shade trees and seven new evergreen trees in the park. Shade trees along the rear of the site will also be planted. Additionally, the streetscape redesign by others include many new street trees, planters and amenities along Dudley Street.

<u>Courtyard</u>

Within the building and above the first-floor level of the garage a shared courtyard is designed as an outdoor amenity space for the residents of the buildings. Constructed above the garage structure, the courtyard will include extensive green roof plantings as well as lightweight planters to support shrubs and trees. A pedestal paver or wood deck system will be designed to support outdoor dining, gathering, grilling, lounging, as well as possibly ping pong, shuffleboard, a TV wall and other amenities. Residential units that face this courtyard will have direct access to private patios with privacy fencing. Greenscreens with vine plantings are proposed to screen the two garage facades facing the courtyard.

3.4 Sustainable Design/Energy Conservation

3.4.1 Introduction

The project is comprised of <u>two</u> separate buildings. The condo building is 9 stories and has 105 units, the rental building is 6 stories and has 55 affordable housing units. We are treating the development as one site for the purposes of LEED and the individual buildings will have a separate energy performance for this PNF.

To meet the requirements of Article 37, the following section describes how the Project complies with the LEED Building Design & Construction v4 criteria.

The Project will demonstrate compliance with the LEED Certifiably Requirements. Further study over the coming weeks and months will determine and confirm final credit achievement. At this stage in the project we are tracking 64 YES credits and 17 Maybe credits.

3.4.2 Overview

Sustainability informs every design decision. Enduring and efficient buildings conserve embodied energy and preserve natural resources. The Project embraces the opportunity to positively influence the urban environment. Its urban location takes advantage of existing infrastructure while access to public transit will reduce dependence on single-occupancy vehicle trips and minimize transportation impacts.

The LEED v4 for Building Design and Construction (BD&C) rating system tracks the sustainable features of a Project by achieving points in following categories: Integrative Process; Location & Transportation; Sustainable Sites; Water Efficiency; Energy and Atmosphere; Materials and Resources; Indoor Environmental Quality; and Innovation and Design Process.

3.4.3 Integrative Process

The Proponent and Project team are committed to an integrated design approach using early modeling and extensive design team coordination to achieve synergies across disciplines and building systems.

3.4.4 Location and Transportation

The Location and Transportation credit category encourages development on previously developed land, minimizing a building's impact on ecosystems and waterways, regionally appropriate landscaping, and smart transportation choice.

The Project site has been previously developed, meeting the criteria for the sensitive land protection credit. The Project site is also located on a brownfield where soil or groundwater contamination has been identified, and where the local, state, or national authority requires its remediation. The Project team will perform remediation to the satisfaction of that authority.

The project will be providing EV charging stations for a minimum of 2% of the total parking.

The Project site area exceeds the density requirements of 12 units/acre providing 29.6 units/acre and is in a neighborhood with several amenities within 0.5 miles of the Project site. The Project is providing bicycle facilities and showers for the occupants of the building.

The transit available to the site is exceptional. We are achieving all of the base points for LEED (5) in addition to exemplary performance.

3.4.5 Sustainable Sites

The development of sustainable sites is at the core of sustainable design. Sustainable Site design provides quality open space with active landscape elements that can both mitigate stormwater and provide shade and thermal comfort for the building occupants.

The Project will evaluate Low Impact Development (LID) Strategies to promote infiltration for quality stormwater management. The Project will attempt to meet the 90th percentile of rainfall retained on site for the Rainwater Management credit.

The building roof and all hardscape material will comply with the SRI standards set forth by LEED and achieve both Heat Island credits. All exterior lighting fixtures will comply with the Light Pollution Reduction credit, but we will confirm this in the next submission.

As required by LEED, the Project will create and implement an erosion and sedimentation control plan for all construction activities associated with the Project. The plan will conform to the erosion and sedimentation requirements of the 2012 U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) Construction General Permit (CGP) or local equivalent, whichever is more stringent.

The Project is developing the site assessment credit that will demonstrate the relationships between the Project site features and topics, Topography, Hydrology, Climate, Vegetation, Soils, Human use.

The project is exceeding the open space requirement by more than 25%.

3.4.6 Water Efficiency

Buildings are major users of our potable water supply and conservation of water preserves a natural re-source while reducing the amount of energy and chemicals used for sewage treatment. The goal of the Water Efficiency credit category is to encourage smarter use of water, both inside and outside.

Water reduction is typically achieved through more efficient appliances, fixtures and fittings inside and water-wise landscaping outside. To satisfy the requirements of the Indoor Water Use Reduction Prerequisite and credit, the Project will incorporate water conservation strategies that include low-flow plumbing fixtures for water closets and faucets. The project is currently achieving a 47% water use reduction.

To satisfy the requirements of the Outdoor Water Use Reduction Prerequisite and credit, the landscape will be designed to only use irrigation for plant establishment and will only have plant material that is native and adaptive.

The Project will also install permanent water meters that measure the total potable water use for the building and associated grounds in addition to water meters for two or more of the following water sub-systems, as applicable to the project: irrigation, indoor plumbing fixtures and fittings, domestic hot water and the boiler for additional metering.

The project will assess the ability to meet the Cooling Tower credit alternative compliance path, once the MEP is on board.

Metering data will be compiled into monthly and annual summaries, and the resulting wholeproject water usage data will be shared with USGBC.

3.4.7 Energy & Atmosphere

According to the U.S. Department of Energy, buildings use 39 percent of the energy and 74 percent of the electricity produced each year in the United States. The Energy and Atmosphere credit category encourages a wide variety of energy strategies: commissioning; energy use monitoring; efficient design and construction; efficient appliances, systems and lighting; the use of renewable and clean sources of energy, generated on-site or off-site; and other innovative practices.

Fundamental Commissioning and Enhanced Commissioning will be pursued for the Project. Envelope Commissioning will also be evaluated as an alternative.

A whole-building energy simulation was performed for the Project. This is included in **Appendices B.1 and B.2**. Points were calculating using EA pilot credit 95 – Alternative Energy Performance Metric, the average of the energy cost and carbon emissions savings is 27.5%, resulting in 11 LEED points.

The Project team will continue to analyze efficiency measures during the design process and account for the results in design decision making. The team will use energy simulation of efficiency opportunities and past energy simulation analyses for similar buildings. The Project will also prove compliance with the Stretch Code, which requires a minimum of 10 percent improvement over ASHRAE Standard 90.1–2013.

The Project will evaluate installing new building-level energy meters, or submeters that can be aggregated to provide building-level data representing total building energy consumption (electricity, natural gas, chilled water, steam, fuel oil, propane, biomass, etc.).

The Project will be incorporating clean/renewable energy production.

As required by LEED, the Project will not use chlorofluorocarbon (CFC)-based refrigerants in new heating, ventilating, air-conditioning, and refrigeration (HVAC&R) systems. The Project will target the use of refrigerants used in heating, ventilating, air-conditioning, and refrigeration (HVAC&R) equipment that minimize or eliminate the emission of compounds that contribute to ozone depletion and climate change.

The Proponent is evaluating engaging in a contract for 100 percent of the Project's energy from green power, carbon offsets, or renewable energy certificates (RECs).

3.4.8 Materials & Resources

During both construction and operations, buildings generate tremendous waste and use many materials and resources. The Materials & Resources credit category encourages the selection of sustainable materials, including those that are harvested and manufactured locally, contain high-recycled content, and are rapidly renewable. It also promotes the reduction of waste through building and material reuse, construction waste management, and ongoing recycling programs.

As required by LEED, the Project will provide dedicated areas accessible to waste haulers and building occupants for the collection and storage of recyclable materials for the entire building. Collection and storage areas may be separate locations. Recyclable materials will include mixed paper, corrugated cardboard, glass, plastics, and metals. The Project will also take appropriate measures for the safe collection, storage, and disposal of two of the following: batteries, mercury-containing lamps, and electronic waste.

To comply with both the prerequisite and credit requirements related to construction waste management, the Project will develop and implement a construction and demolition waste management plan that will identifying at least five materials (both structural and nonstructural) targeted for diversion and approximate a percentage of the overall Project waste that these materials represent. The Project will divert a minimum of 75 percent of the total construction and demolition material; diverted materials will include at least four material streams.

Careful material selection will be performed for the Project. The Project will evaluate products that have Environmental Product Declarations (EPDs), Sourcing of Raw Materials and Material Ingredients disclosures to meet the LEED Criteria.

3.4.9 Indoor Environmental Quality

The U.S. Environmental Protection Agency estimates that Americans spend about 90 percent of their day in-doors, where the air quality can be significantly worse than outside. The Indoor Environmental Quality credit category promotes strategies that can improve indoor air through

low emitting materials selection and increased ventilation. It also promotes access to natural daylight and views.

As required by LEED, the Project will meet the minimum requirements of ASHRAE Standard 62.1–2010, Sections 4–7, Ventilation for Acceptable Indoor Air Quality (with errata), or a local equivalent, whichever is more stringent. Also, during building operations the Proponent will institute a No Smoking Policy to prohibit the use of all tobacco products inside the building and within 25 feet of the building entrance, air intakes, and operable windows.

The Project will provide entryway systems, interior cross-contamination prevention, and filtration. The Project will develop and implement an indoor air quality (IAQ) management plan for the construction and preoccupancy phases of the building, meeting or exceeding all applicable recommended control measures of the Sheet Metal and Air Conditioning National Contractors Association (SMACNA) IAQ Guidelines for Occupied Buildings under Construction, 2nd edition, 2007, ANSI/SMACNA 008–2008, Chapter 3. The Project will follow strict IAQ guidelines and protect absorptive materials stored on-site from moisture damage.

The Project will meet the thermal comfort criteria both for controllability and the ASHRAE 55 standards.

Daylight is being evaluated for energy efficiency opportunities and benefits for the occupants. The Project will also evaluate the ability to provide views with a direct line of sight to the outdoors for at least 75 percent of all regularly occupied floor area. These credits are not included in our YES column until further study is completed.

3.4.10 Innovation and Design Process

The Innovation in Design and Innovation in Operations credit categories provide additional points for projects that use new and innovative technologies, achieve performance well beyond what is required by LEED credits, or utilize green building strategies that are not specifically addressed elsewhere in LEED. This credit category also rewards projects for including a LEED Accredited Professional on the team to ensure a holistic, integrated approach to design, construction, operations and maintenance. The following five credits are being pursued and/or evaluated for the project:

- Innovation in Design- Exemplary Performance-Transit
- Innovation in Design: Green Housekeeping & Integrated Pest Management
- Innovation in Design: Thermal Comfort Survey
- Innovation in Design: City of Boston Cogeneration Credit
- Innovation in Design: LEED Accredited Professional

<u>Regional Priority</u>

The Project anticipates achieving the following regional priority credits.

- Regional Priority: Indoor Water Use Reduction (yes)
- Regional Priority: High Priority Site (yes)
- Regional Priority: Optimize Energy Performance (yes)
- Regional Priority: Rainwater Management (yes)

3.5 Urban Design Drawings and LEED Checklist

Urban design drawings and renderings depicting the Proposed Project and the LEED v4 for BD+C Checklist include:

Figure 3-1. Proposed Development Aerial View Figure 3-2. Aerial Perspective - Existing Conditions Figure 3-3. Existing Land Plan Figure 3-4. Proposed Landscape Plan Figure 3-5. Proposed Landscape Precedent Images Figure 3-6. First Floor Plan Figure 3-7. Second Floor Plan Figure 3-8. Third Floor Plan Figure 3-9. Fourth Floor Plan Figure 3-10. Fifth Floor Plan Figure 3-11. Sixth Floor Plan Figure 3-12. Seventh Floor Plan Figure 3-13. Eighth and Ninth Floor Plan Figure 3-14. Roof Plan Figure 3-15. Building Elevations Figure 3-16. Building Section Figure 3-17. Proposed Northwest Building Aerial Perspective Figure 3-18. Existing View from Malcom X Blvd Looking South Figure 3-19. Proposed View from Malcom X Blvd Looking South Figure 3-20. Existing View from Dudley Station Looking South Figure 3-21. Proposed View from Dudley Station Looking South Figure 3-22. Existing View from Dudley Street Looking South Figure 3-23. Proposed View from Dudley Street Looking South Figure 3-24. Proposed Aerial Perspective Looking North

Figure 3-25. LEED v4 for BD+C: New Construction and Major Renovation











135 Dudley Street

Boston, MA | September 19, 2019 | 18143 | © The Architectural Team, Inc.

Figure 3-3 Existing Land Plan













URBAN PLAZA AT ENTRY



PICNIC & PLAY URBAN SPACE





GREEN SCREENS ON PARKING GARAGE



SAWCUT CONCRETE PATTERNS











PICNIC AREA WITH BACKDROP









135 Dudley Street Boston, MA | September 19, 2019 | 18143 | © The Architectural Team, Inc.

Figure 3-5 Proposed Landscape Precedent Images



Figure 3-6 First Floor Plan





Figure 3-7 Second Floor Plan



Figure 3-8 Third Floor Plan



2 A4.01

Figure 3-9 Fourth Floor Plan





2 A4.01



Figure 3-10 Fifth Floor Plan





Figure 3-11 Sixth Floor Plan





Figure 3-12 Seventh Floor Plan













North Elevation (Facing Dudley Street)



West Elevation (Facing Boston Police Dept.)



East Elevation (Facing Library)

Figure 3-15 Building Elevations

















Figure 3-19 Proposed View from Malcolm X Blvd. Looking South





Figure 3-20 Existing View from Dudley Station Looking South









Figure 3-22 Existing View from Dudley Street Looking South





Figure 3-23 Proposed View from Dudley Street Looking South




Figure 3-24 Proposed North Aerial Perspective





LEED v4 for BD+C: New Construction and Major Renovation

BC 0	Project Checklist	Project Name:	135 Dudley Condo Building
		Date:	18-Sep-19

1

Y ? N

Credit Integrative Process

15	1	0 Loca	ation and Transportation	16	1	3	9	Mate	rials and Resources	13
		Credit	LEED for Neighborhood Development Location	16	Y			Prereq	Storage and Collection of Recyclables	Required
1		Credit	Sensitive Land Protection	1	Y			Prereq	Construction and Demolition Waste Management Planning	Required
2		Credit	High Priority Site	2			5	Credit	Building Life-Cycle Impact Reduction	5
5		Credit	Surrounding Density and Diverse Uses	5		1	1	Credit	Building Product Disclosure and Optimization - Environmental Product Declarations	2
5		Credit	Access to Quality Transit	5			2	Credit	Building Product Disclosure and Optimization - Sourcing of Raw Materials	2
1		Credit	Bicycle Facilities	1		1	1	Credit	Building Product Disclosure and Optimization - Material Ingredients	2
	1	Credit	Reduced Parking Footprint	1	1	1		Credit	Construction and Demolition Waste Management	2
1		Credit	Green Vehicles	1				-		
					4	3	9	Indo	or Environmental Quality	16
8	1	1 Sust	ainable Sites	10	Y			Prereq	Minimum Indoor Air Quality Performance	Required
Y		Prereq	Construction Activity Pollution Prevention	Required	Y			Prereq	Environmental Tobacco Smoke Control	Required
1		Credit	Site Assessment	1	1	1		Credit	Enhanced Indoor Air Quality Strategies	2
	1	1 Credit	Site Development - Protect or Restore Habitat	2			3	Credit	Low-Emitting Materials	3
1		Credit	Open Space	1	1			Credit	Construction Indoor Air Quality Management Plan	1
3		Credit	Rainwater Management	3		1	1	Credit	Indoor Air Quality Assessment	2
2		Credit	Heat Island Reduction	2	1			Credit	Thermal Comfort	1
1		Credit	Light Pollution Reduction	1	1		1	Credit	Interior Lighting	2
							3	Credit	Daylight	3
8	3	0 Wate	er Efficiency	11		1		Credit	Quality Views	1
Y		Prereq	Outdoor Water Use Reduction	Required			1	Credit	Acoustic Performance	1
Y		Prereq	Indoor Water Use Reduction	Required						
Y		Prereq	Building-Level Water Metering	Required	6	0	0	Inno	vation	6
2		Credit	Outdoor Water Use Reduction	2	5			Credit	Innovation -EP Transit, Green Housekeeping, , IPM, Thermal Comfort Survey, City of	5
5	1	Credit	Indoor Water Use Reduction	6	1			Credit	LEED Accredited Professional	1
	2	Credit	Cooling Tower Water Use	2						
1		Credit	Water Metering	1	4	0	0	Regi	onal Priority	4
					1			Credit	Regional Priority: Indoor Water Use	1
17	7	9 Ener	gy and Atmosphere	33	1			Credit	Regional Priority: High Priority Site	1
Y		Prereq	Fundamental Commissioning and Verification	Required	1			Credit	Regional Priority: Optimize Energy	1
Y		Prereq	Minimum Energy Performance	Required	1			Credit	Regional Priority: Rainwater	1
Y		Prereq	Building-Level Energy Metering	Required						
Y		Prereq	Fundamental Refrigerant Management	Required	64	18	28	TOT	ALS Possible Points:	110
-								Cortifi	ed: 40 to 49 points Silver: 50 to 59 points Gold: 60 to 79 points Platinum: 80 to 110	
3	1	2 Credit	Enhanced Commissioning	6				Certin		
3 11	1 2	2 Credit 5 Credit	Enhanced Commissioning Optimize Energy Performance	6 18				Certin		
3	1 2 1	2 Credit 5 Credit Credit	Enhanced Commissioning Optimize Energy Performance Advanced Energy Metering	6 18 1				Certin		
3 11	1 2 1	2 Credit 5 Credit Credit 2 Credit	Enhanced Commissioning Optimize Energy Performance Advanced Energy Metering Demand Response	6 18 1 2				Certin		
3 11 1	1 2 1 2	2 Credit 5 Credit Credit 2 Credit Credit	Enhanced Commissioning Optimize Energy Performance Advanced Energy Metering Demand Response Renewable Energy Production	6 18 1 2 3				Certin		
3 11 1	1 2 1 2 2 1	2 Credit 5 Credit Credit 2 Credit Credit Credit	Enhanced Commissioning Optimize Energy Performance Advanced Energy Metering Demand Response Renewable Energy Production Enhanced Refrigerant Management	6 18 1 2 3 1				Gertin		

Figure 3-25

4.0 Environmental Protection Component

4.1 Shadow Impacts Analysis

4.1.1 Introduction

The following shadow study describes and graphically depicts anticipated new shadow impacts from the Proposed Project compared to shadows from existing buildings. The study presents the existing and built conditions for the Proposed Project for the hours 9:00 AM, 12:00 Noon, and 3:00 PM for the vernal equinox, summer solstice, autumnal equinox, and winter solstice. In addition, shadows are depicted for 6:00 PM during the vernal equinox, summer solstice and autumnal equinox.

4.1.2 Vernal Equinox (March 21)

Figures 4.1-1 through 4.1-4 depict shadows on March 21.

At 9:00 AM, shadows are cast in a westerly direction onto the southwest portion of Gourdin Park and the Boston Police Department B-2.

At 12:00 Noon, new shadow is cast in a northwesterly direction mostly onto the east bound portion of Dudley street and the Washington, Dudley, and Malcom X Blvd intersection.

At 3:00 PM, new shadow from the Proposed Project is cast in a northeasterly direction mostly onto the eastbound direction of Dudley Street and onto a small section of the westbound direction of Dudley Street towards the Malcom X Blvd intersection.

At 6:00 PM, new shadow from the Proposed Project is cast in an easterly direction across Dudley Street and onto the neighboring Boston Public Library parcel and the adjacent proposed on-site community park.

4.1.3 Summer Solstice (June 21)

Figures 4.1-5 through 4.1-8 depict shadow impacts on June 21.

At 9:00 AM, shadows are cast in a westerly direction onto portions of the Boston Police Department B-2 parcel.

At 12:00 Noon, new shadow is cast in a northwesterly direction onto portions of the Proposed Project site.

At 3:00 PM, new shadow from the Proposed Project is cast in a northeasterly direction mostly onto the Proposed Project site and a small section of Dudley Street.

At 6:00 PM, new shadow from the Proposed Project is cast in an easterly direction across the neighboring Boston Public Library parcel and the adjacent proposed on-site community park.

4.1.4 Autumnal Equinox (September 21)

Figures 4.1-9 through 4.1-12 depict shadow impacts on September 21.

At 9:00 AM, shadows are cast in a westerly direction onto the southwestern portion of Gourdin Park and onto the adjacent Boston Police Department B-2 parcel.

At 12:00 Noon, new shadow is cast in a northwesterly direction mostly onto the east bound portion of Dudley street and the Washington, Dudley, and Malcom X Blvd intersection.

At 3:00 PM, new shadow from the Proposed Project is cast in a northeasterly direction mostly onto the eastbound direction of Dudley Street and onto a small section of the westbound direction of Dudley Street towards the Malcom X Blvd intersection.

At 6:00 PM, new shadow from the Proposed Project is cast in an easterly direction across Dudley Street and onto the neighboring Boston Public Library parcel and the adjacent proposed on-site community park.

4.1.5 Winter Solstice (December 21)

Figures 4.1-13 through **4.1-15** depict shadow impacts on December 21. Winter sun casts the longest shadows of the year.

At 9:00 AM, shadows are cast in a northwesterly direction across the Northeastern portion of Gourdin Park and the Washington, Dudley, and Malcom X Blvd intersection and onto the western section of Dudley Street and portions of the neighboring properties to the North.

At 12:00 Noon, new shadow is cast in a northern direction mostly onto Dudley Street but partially onto the neighboring properties to the north across Dudley.

At 3:00 PM, new shadow from the Proposed Project is cast in a north-northeasterly direction, mostly onto Dudley Street and the adjacent properties to the north.

4.1.6 Summary

With a proposed height of 6 and 9-floors above grade, the Proposed Project's shadow impacts are generally minimal to moderate. New shadow for most of the year is primarily limited to a small section of the Eastbound direction of Dudley Street to the north. During the evening hours in the spring and fall, and during the morning and evening hours in winter, some shadows will extend to nearby properties. Overall, the Proposed Project's shadow impacts will not adversely impact the Proposed Project Site and surroundings.



Figure 4.1-1 Shadow Study: March 21, 9am





Figure 4.1-2 Shadow Study: March 21, 12pm





Figure 4.1-3 Shadow Study: March 21, 3pm





Figure 4.1-4 Shadow Study: March 21, 6pm





Figure 4.1-5 Shadow Study: June 21, 9am





Figure 4.1-6 Shadow Study: June 21, 12pm





Figure 4.1-7 Shadow Study: June 21, 3pm





Figure 4.1-8 Shadow Study: June 21, 6pm









Figure 4.1-10 Shadow Study: September 21, 12pm













Figure 4.1-13 Shadow Study: December 21, 9am





Figure 4.1-14 Shadow Study: December 21, 12pm





Figure 4.1-15 Shadow Study: December 21, 3pm



4.2 Daylight

It is anticipated that the Draft Project Impact Report ("DPIR") will provide a detailed daylight analysis indicating some increase in daylight obstruction against the existing condition of a vacant site. It is also anticipated that the increase will be consistent with the values of nearby buildings in the Dudley Square area.

4.3 Air Quality

Tech Environmental, Inc. will perform an air quality analyses as a part of the DPIR filing. These analyses will consist of: 1) an evaluation of existing air quality; 2) an evaluation of potential carbon monoxide (CO) impacts from the operation of the Proposed Project's enclosed parking garage, and 3) a microscale CO analysis for intersections in the Proposed Project area that meet the BPDA criteria for requiring such an analysis.

4.4 Noise Impacts

As a part of the DPIR, Tech Environmental, Inc., will perform a noise study to determine whether the operation of the Proposed Project will comply with the City of Boston Noise Regulations and the Massachusetts Department of Environmental Protection ("DEP") Noise Policy.

4.5 Stormwater Management and Water Quality

The Proposed Project is expected to reduce the volume of stormwater runoff leaving the site as well as substantially improve the water quality. The Proposed Project will meet Boston Water and Sewer Commission (BWSC) standards for stormwater management. The DPIR will present a more detail analysis of the existing and proposed stormwater drainage system as well as proposed water quality mitigation.

The volume of stormwater runoff leaving the site will be reduced dues to the construction of a subsurface infiltration/ detention system. It is expected that rooftop runoff from the proposed building will be collected and conveyed to a subsurface infiltration system. The infiltration system will have an overflow tied into the drain system in Dudley St.. It is anticipated that the equivalent of 1.25-inches over the site's impervious area can be recharged as prescribed in BPDA's Smart Utilities design criteria, which will also satisfy BWSC's Site Plan Review requirements.

Stormwater runoff will be treated through the use of water quality treatment units. An operation and maintenance plan will be developed to support the long-term functionality of the proposed stormwater management system.

Erosion and sediment controls will be used during construction to protect adjacent properties, the municipal storm drain system, and the on-site storm drain system. A stormwater pollution prevention plan will be prepared for use during construction including during demolition activity.

4.6 Solid and Hazardous Waste Materials

4.6.1 Solid Waste

During the preparation of the Site, debris from the existing lot will be removed from the Proposed Project Site. The Proponent will ensure that waste removal and disposal during construction and operation will be in conformance with the City and DEP's Regulations for Solid Waste. The DPIR will present information on solid waste to be generated by the Proposed Project and recycling strategies. The Proposed Project will also include ambitious goals for construction waste management in order to meet the requirements for the LEEDTM rating system. This strategy will also divert demolition and construction waste by reusing and recycling materials.

In order to meet the requirements for the Boston Environmental Department and the LEEDTM rating system, the Proposed Project will include space dedicated to the storage and collection of recyclables. The recycling program will meet or exceed the City's guidelines, and provide-areas for waste paper and newspaper, metal, glass, and plastics (21 through 27, co-mingled).

4.6.2 Hazardous Waste and Materials

A portion of the Proposed Project site is located on the Former Modern Electroplating Site, a Massachusetts Department of Environmental Protection (MassDEP) disposal site tracked by Release Tracking Number (RTN) 3-11352 (Fig. 4.6.1). A "Revised Phase IV Remedy Implementation Plan, Termination of Remedy Operation Status, and Partial Permanent Solution with Conditions Statement," dated July 2, 2018 was prepared by GEI Consultants for the disposal site (see **Figure 4.6-1**. <u>Environmental Conditions</u>).

<u>History</u>: Modern Electroplating operated an electroplating facility at the Site from 1955 to 1994. MassDEP listed the Site on July 22, 1994, following a MassDEP Site inspection. Subsequent investigations and response actions conducted between 1995 and 2009 identified contamination in soil, groundwater, soil vapor, and indoor air including chlorinated volatile organic compounds (VOCs), particularly trichloroethylene [TCE]), metals (particularly cadmium, chromium, and nickel), and cyanide.

The source of the chlorinated VOC contamination was an in-ground degreaser located in the southeast quadrant of the first floor of the Former Modern Electroplating building. Releases of TCE from the in-ground degreaser, floor trenches, and/or the sumps were likely sources of VOCs in soil and groundwater at the Site. Plating operations and wastewater treatment performed in the basement of the Modern Electroplating Facility were likely sources of the metals and cyanide present in soil and groundwater at the Site.



Contamination related to the Modern Electroplating Site affected both "the Property" which referred to parcels formerly occupied by Modern Electroplating and the Roxbury's Children's Services buildings (where the City of Boston B-2 Police Station is currently located), and off-Property including the City of Boston property located at 135 Dudley Street.

<u>Response Actions:</u> The Modern Electroplating and Children's Services buildings were demolished prior to construction of the Boston B-2 Police Station. Significant source removal was incorporated into the Police Station building construction. More than 2,500 tons of metals, cyanide, and VOC contaminated soil were excavated from beneath the footprint of the Modern Electroplating building and disposed of as hazardous waste. An additional 17,000 tons of soil was recycled / disposed of at off Site facilities. An active exposure pathway mitigation measure (AEPMM) in the form of a sub slab depressurization system (SSDS) was incorporated into the Police Station building construction to mitigate potential indoor air contamination. The cleanup of contaminated soil on Modern Electroplating Site meets the residential cleanup standards in the MCP; however, residual chlorinated VOC soil contamination remains on the Site. An Activity and Use Limitation (AUL) was placed on a portion of the Modern Electroplating Site requiring operation of the AEPMM at the Police Station to mitigate the potential for indoor air contamination.

The significant effects of the Modern Electroplating Site on the Proposed Project site and the proposed mitigation measures are as follows:

<u>Condition</u>: Residual chlorinated VOCs remain in the soil on a portion of the Proposed Project site that is part of the Modern Electroplating Site. Since the average residual soil contamination concentrations meet the MCP residential cleanup standards that were in place at the time the Permanent Solution was prepared, these soils can remain in place with no further consideration. However, if these soils are excavated for off-site disposal that must be managed, transported and disposed of as hazardous waste in accordance with the federal Resource Conservation and Recovery Act (RCRA, 40 CFR Parts 239 through 282) and the Massachusetts Hazardous Waste Regulations (310 CMR 30.000). The transport and disposal of soils classified as hazardous waste can be up to 10 times more expensive than the transport and disposal of typical urban fill.

<u>Mitigation:</u> The proposed Project does not include underground parking or a basement, therefore there will not be a significant bulk excavation. However, soils will be excavated and likely disposed off-site for foundations, grade beams, utilities and stormwater structures. A location within the Modern Electroplating disposal site boundary that is not affected by chlorinated VOC soil contamination will be identified as a soil reuse area. Soil in this reuse area will be excavated and disposed off-site as cover material, likely at a Massachusetts solid waste landfill. The excavation in the soil reuse area will be backfilled with soils from other portions of the Proposed Project that would be classified as hazardous waste. The soil reuse area will be located beneath the building footprint or other type of hardscape, eliminating exposure to the soil. <u>Condition</u>: In addition to residual chlorinated VOCs, contaminates in soil are likely semi-Volatile Organic Compounds (SVOCS), extractable petroleum hydrocarbons (EPH) fractions including polyaromatic hydrocarbons (PAHs), volatile petroleum hydrocarbons (VPH) fractions, metals, pesticides, polychlorinated biphenyls (PCBs), and cyanide.

<u>Mitigation:</u> The average concentration of these contaminants met the MCP residential cleanup standards that were in place at the time the Permanent Solution was prepared and no specific MCP remedial response actions are necessary to leave the soils in place. However, placement of geotextile fabric and clean soil on future pervious areas of the Site (i.e., landscape/grassed areas) may be considered as a conservative risk reduction measure depending on the concentration of these contaminants in these areas.

For soils that will excavated and disposed off-site, a soil pre-characterization study will be performed prior to construction and earthwork activities to characterize the soils as typically required by in-state landfills. Soil data will be compared to MassDEP's Policy No. COMM-97-001 "Reuse and Disposal of Contaminated Soil at Massachusetts Landfills" to determine if soils can be disposed of or re-used at in-state landfills (lined or unlined). Assuming that the soil pre-characterization study obtains the appropriate number of samples and satisfied a facility analytical testing requires, the selected general contractor will be allowed to 'live-load' soils for transportation of the selected facility (as compared to on-property stockpiling).

Real-time ambient air monitoring for particulates (i.e., dust) at the perimeter of the Site will be performed. The purpose of the perimeter air monitoring is to provide a measure of protection for the downwind community (i.e., off-site receptors including residences and businesses) from potential airborne contaminant releases as a direct result of remedial work activities. The contractor will provide appropriate dust control measures.

<u>Condition</u>: Groundwater within the footprint of the Proposed Project is known to be contaminated with chlorinated VOCs, particularly TCE, greater than MCP GW-2 standards and there is the potential for a complete vapor intrusion pathway in site buildings.

<u>Mitigation:</u> The Proposed Project incorporates two levels of open-air above ground parking with residential units above. This open-air parking is an intrinsically safe design to mitigate the potential for vapors from subsurface contamination. Vapors from the subsurface are vented and dissipated through the open-air structure eliminating the potential for migration into the residences constructed on top of the parking garage.

The Proposed Project also includes ground floor retail and commercial space along a portion of the perimeters of the buildings. For these spaces, SSDSs will be incorporated into the foundations. The SSDS will include a sub-slab venting system and vapor barrier. It is anticipated that the SSDS will be a passive system; however, provisions for converting it into active system will be incorporated into the building infrastructure.

It is anticipated that any dewatering effluent will be recharged on-site within the boundaries of the Modern Electroplating disposal Site. If recharge cannot be done, dewatering effluent will be discharged into the storm drain following pre-treatment under a BWSC Dewatering Discharge Permit and an NPDES Remediation General Permit.

<u>Condition:</u> A Partial Permanent Solution with Conditions has been prepared for the Modern Electroplating Site. The conditions include an AUL for operating the APEMM at the Police Station, the absence of an occupied building in areas where the MCP GW-2 Standard is exceeded and the need for Best Management Practices (BMPs) if gardening was to occur on the property.

<u>Mitigation:</u> Construction of the Proposed Project will be conducted as a Release Abatement Measure (RAM) under the MCP. A RAM Plan will be prepared by a Licensed Site Professional (LSP) prior to initiating construction activities. The RAM Plan will address soil management and mitigation for preventing a complete vapor intrusion pathway. Periodic Status Reports and a RAM Completion Report will be prepared and submitted to MassDEP.

4.7 Geotechnical/Groundwater Impacts Analysis

The following provides a summary of the geotechnical and groundwater impacts on the proposed building construction. Existing available subsurface exploration data generally consists of borings and monitoring wells installed since 2001 associated with the evaluation of contamination at the Modern Electroplating site, including several on the proposed project site.

The ground surface at the site is generally relatively flat with the exception of some grading variation (approximately 0-4 feet) between the existing gravel and paved/concrete parking areas. The gravel parking area does gently slope down towards the northeast as it approaches Dudley Street. Beneath the parking materials the soils consist of fill that generally is 2 to 8 feet deep. The fill consists of a widely graded sand with gravel and some coal, brick, and clinker fragments. The thickness of the fill is less at the eastern portion of the site. Beneath the fill is a layer dense to very dense glacial tills. The glacial tills consist of sand with varying amounts of gravel and silt. Similar to the fill, the thickness is less at the eastern portion of the site. Bedrock was encountered in several of the borings. Bedrock is as shallow as 2 feet below ground surface on the eastern portion of the site and greater than 20 feet deep at the western portion. The first five feet of bedrock generally consists of a heavily weathered and severely fractured rock. The deeper, competent bedrock below the weathered rock was observed as Roxbury Conglomerate.

Groundwater was encountered around 8 to 15 feet below ground surface and were similar elevations in the rock and sand layers measured.

Given the proposed building construction and the subsurface conditions presented above, it is anticipated that the proposed building will be supported by conventional spread footing foundations in conjunction with a soil-supported slab-on-grade.

4.7.1 Groundwater Control

It is anticipated that limited dewatering during construction will be required and when necessary will be accomplished using filtered sumps. Temporary construction dewatering effluent will be recharged to the ground on-site, or if necessary, will be discharged off-site under a National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System (NPDES) general or exclusion permit. Temporary construction dewatering effluent will be treated before discharge as required by the permit.

Perimeter foundation and underslab drainage will be installed to protect the below grade areas against groundwater intrusion. All localized depressions in the lowest level slab, such as elevator pits, should be provided with properly tied continuous waterstops in all construction joints and cementitious waterproofing to protect against groundwater intrusion.

Construction of the proposed foundations and the installation of the perimeter and underslab drainage systems are not expected to have adverse short or long-term impact on the existing groundwater conditions. A groundwater recharge system will be installed as part the development of the site.

Based on the anticipated groundwater levels and the proposed scope of construction, it is anticipated that there will be negligible impact to groundwater levels due to this project.

4.7.2 Probable Project Impacts and Mitigation Measures

The project does not include any underground parking or basements and therefore excavation depths and quantities will be limited. There will be excavations required to install foundations, grade beams, utilities, and stormwater features. At the east side of the site, shallow bedrock will be encountered in these excavations. At the west side of the site, contaminated soils of excavated material due to shallow bedrock at the east and contaminated soils at the west area of the site.

<u>Condition</u> - Due to the depth of the subsurface structures, excavations will generally be open cut. However, excavations near the sidewalk and road may need to be cut vertically due to space constraints.

<u>Mitigation Measure</u> - If required, support of excavation will be utilized to protect public and limit movement and settling near existing structures and utilities. Should the installation of the support of excavation extend onto City property, the Proposed Project will obtain the necessary approval from the City of Boston Public Improvement Commission (PIC). Where support of excavation is required along the property lines, the project documents will require that a settlement monitoring program of the ground surface of the adjacent public and private properties be performed.

<u>Condition</u> - The bedrock encountered will likely be extremely weathered and excavatable. However, if competent rock is encountered, it may need to be excavated with a hoe ram or jackhammer attached to the excavator. Vibrations from competent rock removal could be transmitted to nearby structures and utilities.

<u>Mitigation</u> Measure - If competent bedrock is encountered and hoe rams are used to remove the materials, vibration monitoring will be performed to monitor vibrations. Peak particle velocities induced in any structure or utility by rock removal will not exceed generally acceptable levels which are anticipated to cause damage.

4.8 Construction Impact

The following section describes impacts likely to result from the Project construction and the steps that will be taken to avoid or minimize environmental and transportation-related impacts. The Proponent will employ a construction manager that will be responsible for developing a construction phasing and staging plan and for coordinating construction activities with all appropriate regulatory agencies. The Proposed Project's geotechnical consultant will provide consulting services associated with foundation design recommendations, prepare geotechnical specifications, and review the construction contractor's proposed procedures.

4.8.1 Construction Management Plan

The Proponent will comply with applicable state and local regulations governing construction of the Proposed Project. The Proponent will require that the general contractor comply with the Construction Management Plan, ("CMP") developed in consultation with and approved by the Boston Transportation Department ("BTD"), prior to the commencement of construction. The construction manager will be bound by the CMP, which will establish the guidelines for the duration of the Proposed Project and will include specific mitigation measures and staging plans to minimize impacts on abutters.

Proper pre-construction planning with the neighborhood will be essential to the successful construction of this Project. Construction methodologies that will ensure safety will be employed, signage will include construction manager contact information with emergency contact numbers.

Proponent will also coordinate construction with other ongoing projects in the neighborhood.

4.8.2 Proposed Construction Program

Construction Activity Schedule

The construction period for the proposed Project is expected to begin in the 1st Quarter 2023 and reaching completion in the 2nd Quarter 2025. The City of Boston Noise and Work Ordinances will dictate the normal work hours, which will be from 7:00 AM to 6:00 PM, Monday through Friday.

Perimeter Protection/Public Safety

The CMP will describe any necessary sidewalk closures, pedestrian re-routings, and barrier placements and/or fencing deemed necessary to ensure safety around the Site perimeter. If possible, the sidewalk will remain open to pedestrian traffic during the construction period. Barricades and secure fencing will be used to isolate construction areas from pedestrian traffic. In addition, sidewalk areas and walkways near construction activities will be well marked and lighted to ensure pedestrian safety.

Proper signage will be placed at every corner of the Project as well as those areas that may be confusing to pedestrians and automobile traffic.

The Proponent will continue to coordinate with all pertinent regulatory agencies and representatives of the surrounding neighborhoods to ensure they are informed of any changes in construction activities.

4.8.3 Construction Traffic Impacts

Construction Vehicle Routes

Estimated truck deliveries and routes are identified in at the end of this section. Specific truck routes will be established with BTD through the CMP. These established truck routes will prohibit travel on any residential side streets. Construction contracts will include clauses restricting truck travel to BTD requirements. Maps showing approved truck routes will be provided to all suppliers, contractors, and subcontractors. It is anticipated that all deliveries will be via Hyde Park Avenue and not passing through local residential areas.

Construction Worker Parking

The number of workers required for construction of the Project will vary during the construction period. However, it is anticipated that all construction workers will arrive and depart prior to peak traffic periods.

Limited parking in designated areas of the Project Site and lay-down area(s) will be allowed. Parking will be discouraged in the immediate neighborhood. Further, public transit use will be encouraged with the Proponent and construction manager working to ensure the construction workers are informed of the public transportation options serving the area. Terms and conditions related to worker parking will be written into each subcontractor's contract. The contractor will provide a weekly orientation with all new personnel to ensure enforcement of this policy.

Pedestrian Traffic

The Site abuts sidewalks on two streets. Pedestrian traffic may be temporarily impacted in these areas. The Construction Manager will minimize the impact the construction of the proposed

building will have and the adjacent sidewalks. The contractor will implement a plan that will clearly denote all traffic patterns. Safety measures such as jersey barriers, fencing, and signage will be used to direct pedestrian traffic around the construction site and to secure the work area.

4.8.4 Construction Environmental Impacts and Mitigation

Construction Air Quality

Construction activities may generate fugitive dust, which will result in a localized increase of airborne particle levels. Fugitive dust emission from construction activities will depend on such factors as the properties of the emitting surface (e.g. moisture content), meteorological variables, and construction practices employed.

To reduce the emission of fugitive dust and minimize impacts on the local environment the construction contractor will adhere to a number of strictly enforceable mitigation measures. These measures may include:

- Using wetting agents to control and suppress dust from construction debris;
- Ensuring that all trucks traveling to and from the Proposed Project Site will be fully covered;
- Removing construction debris regularly;
- Monitoring construction practices closely to ensure any emissions of dust are negligible;
- Cleaning streets and sidewalks to minimize dust and dirt accumulation;
- Monitoring construction activities by the job site superintendent and safety officer; and
- Wheel-washing trucks before they leave the Proposed Project Site during the excavation phase.

Construction Noise Impacts

To reduce the noise impacts of construction on the surrounding neighborhood, a number of noise mitigation measures will be included in the CMP. Some of the measures that may be taken to ensure a low level of noise emissions include:

- Initiating a proactive program for compliance to the City of Boston's noise limitation impact;
- Scheduling of work during regular working hours as much as possible;
- Using mufflers on all equipment and ongoing maintenance of intake and exhaust mufflers;

- Muffling enclosures on continuously operating equipment, such as air compressors and welding generators;
- Scheduling construction activities so as to avoid the simultaneous operation of the noisiest construction activities;
- Turning off all idling equipment;
- Reminding truck drivers that trucks cannot idle more than five (5) minutes unless the engine is required to operate lifts of refrigeration units;
- Locating noisy equipment at locations that protect sensitive locations and neighborhoods through shielding or distance;
- Installing a site barricade at certain locations;
- Identifying and maintaining truck routes to minimize traffic and noise throughout the Proposed Project;
- Replacing specific construction techniques by less noisy ones where feasible-e.g., using vibration pile driving instead of impact driving if practical and mixing concrete off-site instead of on-site; and
- Maintaining all equipment to have proper sound attenuation devices.

4.8.5 Rodent Control

The City of Boston enforces the requirements established under Massachusetts State Sanitary Code, Chapter 11, 105 CMR 410.550. This policy establishes that the elimination of rodents is required for issuance of any building permits. During construction, rodent control service visits will be made by a certified rodent control firm to monitor the situation.

5.0 HISTORIC RESOURCES COMPONENT

This section provides a discussion of the history of the Proposed Project site and the historic resources/ districts in the Proposed Project vicinity.

5.1 Historic Resources on the Proposed Project Site

Based on a review of buildings identified in this area on the Massachusetts Historical Commission's MACRIS website, as the site is vacant, there were no buildings inventoried on site.

5.2 Historic Resources Within the Vicinity of the Proposed Project Site

The Proposed Project site is located in the vicinity of several historic resources listed in the State and National Registers of Historic Places or included in the Inventory of Historic and Archaeological Assets of the Commonwealth, including the nearby Dudley Station and Roxbury Highlands Historic Districts. In addition, there are 41 buildings recorded in the MHC Inventory and on the National Register of Historic Places, for the most part within these two historic districts.

It is not expected that the Proposed Project will cause adverse impacts on any historic or architectural elements of nearby historic resources outside the Proposed Project Site.

The historic resources within 1/8-mile radius of the Proposed Project are presented in **Table 5-1** and summarized in **Figure 5-1** that follows.

Key to Historic Resources Figure (<u>Figure 5-1</u>)	Historic Resource	Address/Description
National Register of Historic F	Places	
A	Roxbury Highlands Historic District	Roxbury Highlands Historic District, established in 1989, is a 0.4 sq mile area bound by Malcolm X Boulevard, Washington Street, and Columbus Avenue.
В	Dudley Station Historic District/ Dudley Station	Dudley Station Historic District, established in 1985, centrally features the Dudley Square MBTA station and is bound by Washington, Warren, and Dudley Streets.

Table 5.1. Historic Resources in the Vicinity of the Project Site

C	Moreland Street Historic	Moreland Street Historic District
C C	District/ Mount Pleasant	established in 1984, is a 63-acre
		area bound by Kearsarge, Blue
		Hill Avenues, and Warren,
		Waverly, and Winthrop Streets.
1	Dillaway School	16-20 Kenilworth St
2	Unnamed	2453 Washington Street
3	Unnamed	2451 Washington Street
4	Circle Supply Company	2407-2411 Washington Street
5	Unnamed	95 Dudley Street
6	Roxbury Boys Club of	80 Dudley Street
	Boston	
7	U. S. Post Office - Roxbury Branch	44-50 Roxbury Street
8	H. B. Sargent - Frederick Octavius Prince Block	37-51 Roxbury Street
9	Unnamed	35 Roxbury Street
10	Unnamed	33 Roxhury Street
11	Boston Consolidated Cas	11 20 Poybury Street
	Company Building	TT-29 Roxbury Street
12	Institution for Savings in Roxbury	2343-2345 Washington Street
13	Unnamed	2321-2337 Washington Street
14	J. S. Waterman and Sons Building	2326-2328 Washington St
15	Curtis Block	2304-2308 Washington Street
16	Graham Block	2286-2300 Washington St
17	Unnamed	2278-2282 Washington Street
18	Ferdinand's Blue Store	9-15 Warren Street
19	Henry S. Lawrence Commercial Block	6-16 Warren Street
20	Josiah Richardson Block	18-20 Warren Street
21	Ferdinand's Blue Store Addition	17-19 Warren Street
22	Boston Elevated Railway - Dudley Substation	25 Warren Street
23	Unnamed	2355-2359 Washington Street

24	Unnamed	2363 Washington Street
25	Joseph Warren Cooperative Bank	2369-2371 Washington Street
26	W. Bowman Cutter Hardware Store	2377 Washington Street
27	Unnamed	2395 Washington Street
28	Unnamed	2360-2362 Washington Street
29	First National Bank	2364-2374 Washington St
30	Dudley Station and Elevated North of Dudley	Washington Street
31	Unnamed	39-49 Warren Street
32	Hotel Dartmouth	51-61 Warren Street
33	Dudley Street Elevated Railway Signal Tower F	Washington Street
34	Edison Building	62-78 Warren Street
35	Unnamed	9 Kearsarge Avenue
36	Unnamed	96 Warren Street
37	Unnamed	98 Warren Street
38	Unnamed	102 Warren Street
39	Unnamed	100 Warren Street
Preservation Restriction		
A	Palladio Hall - Rose Croix Hall	50-62 Warren Street
В	Swedish Evangelical Lutheran Church	94 Warren Street

5.3 Archaeological Resources

The Proposed Project site has been disturbed with existing and prior uses.



135 Dudley Street



National Register of **Historic Places Area**

Preservation Restriction

- **National Register of Historic Places**
- Points and Areas A. Roxbury Highlands Historic District
 - B. Dudley Station Historic District C. Moreland Street Historic District/ Mt. Pleasant

National Register of Historic Places

- 1. Dillaway School
- 2. 2453 Washington Street 3. 2451 Washington Street
- 4. Circle Supply Company
- 5. 95 Dudley Street
- 6. Roxbury Boys Club of Boston
- 7. U.S. Post Office- Roxbury Branch

- 8. H.B. Sargent Frederick Octavius Prince
- 9. 35 Roxbury Street 10. 33 Roxbury Street
- 11. Boston Consolidated Gas Company Building
- 12. Institution for Savings in Roxbury 13. 2321-2337 Washington Street
- 14. J.S. Waterman and Sons Building
- 15. Curtis Block
- 16. Graham Block
- 17. 2278-2282 Washington Street 18. Ferdinand's Blue Store

- 19. Henry S. Lawrence Commercial Block
- 20. Josiah Richardson Block 21. Ferdinand's Blue Store Addition 22. Boston Elevated Railway - Dudley
- Substation
- 23. 2355-2359 Washington Street 24. 2363 Washington Street
- 25. Joseph Warren Cooperative Bank
- 26. W. Bowman Cutter Hardware Store 27. 2395 Washington Street
- 28. 2360-2362 Washington Street
- 29. First National Bank

- 30. Dudley Station and Elevated North
- of Dudley 31. 39-49 Warren Street
- 32. Hotel Dartmouth 33. Dudley Street
- Elevated Railway Signal Tower F
- 34. Edison Building
- 35. 9 Kearsarge Avenue
- 36. 96 Warren Street
- 37. 98 Warren Street
- 38. 102 Warren Street
- 39, 100 Warren Street

- Preservation Restriction
- 1. Palladio Hall Rose Croix Hall

2. Swedish Evangelical Lutheran Church

CONSULTING LLC

Figure 5-1. 135 Dudley Street **Historic Resources**

Historic Resources Component

6.0 INFRASTRUCTURE SYSTEMS COMPONENT

6.1 Overview of Utility Services

This section provides a description of the existing utility systems in the vicinity of the Proposed Project site and evaluates potential impacts to those systems. The existing infrastructure surrounding the site appears sufficient to service the needs of the Proposed Project. The following sections describe the existing sewer, water, and drainage systems surrounding the site and explain how these systems will service the development. Appropriate mitigation measures are discussed to address project related impacts. The Proposed Project is in the early design phases and as a more definitive design evolves, the proponent will coordinate with the various utility companies to ensure full service for the new multifamily residential building.

The Proposed Project area is located within existing at-grade parking facilities primarily located at 135 Dudley Street immediately south of the Dudley Street right-of-way, abutting the District B-2 City of Boston Police Station to the northwest, the Dudley Literacy Center to the east and the Roxbury Municipal Court to the southeast. As shown on **Figures 6-1** and **6-2**, there are existing utilities located within Dudley Street, Warren Street and Washington Street. Utilities in Warren Street include two separate water mains, one combined sewer line, two gas mains, underground electric conduits, underground cable television, and telecommunications conduits. Utilities in Washington Street include a water main, a combined sewer, two gas mains, fire alarm conduits, and underground electric conduits.

The water, drain, and sewer lines are all Boston Water and Sewer Commission (BWSC) Utilities and the other utilities are privately owned by their respective utility companies.

Permits and approvals for the Proposed Project may include approvals from the Boston Water and Sewer Commission (BWSC) and the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA). Boston Water and Sewer Commission (BWSC) Site Plans and General Service Application will be required for the proposed new water and sewer connections, and the stormwater management system will be designed in conformance with BWSC's design standards. The gas, electric and telecommunications utilities will be coordinated with their respective companies.

6.2 Sanitary Sewer Service

6.2.1 Existing Sanitary Sewer Services

In the vicinity of the Proposed Project site, the BWSC owns, operates and maintains the local sanitary sewer system (see **Figure 6-1**). In front of the Proposed Project in Warren Street, there is a 48"x32" brick combined sewer line owned by the BWSC. The sewer line is located near the center of Warren Street and flows in an Easterly direction. A dedicated 10" sanitary sewer is located in Washington Street immediately north of the Dudley Street/Washington Street

intersection and flows north. An additional 12" sanitary sewer is located in Warren Street. which eventually flows into the combined sewer system in Dudley Street.

The local BWSC sanitary sewer system ultimately flows to the Massachusetts Resources Authority's (MWRA's) Deer Island Treatment Plant, where it is treated and discharged to Cape Cod Bay.

6.2.2 Estimated Proposed Project Wastewater Generation

The Massachusetts Department of Environmental Protection (MassDEP) has specified certain sewerage generation rates for a variety of building establishments and uses in the Sewer System Extension and Connection Program, under their regulations 314 CMR 7.15.

The Proposed Project is anticipated to generate 34,164 gallons per day (gpd) of sanitary sewage. **Table 6-1** shows a breakdown of the sewage generation.

Dwelling Unit	Number of Units	Number of Bedrooms	Sewerage Generation	Flow
1-Bedroom	34	34	110 gal/bedroom	3,740
2-Bedroom	112	224	110 gal/bedroom	24,640
3- Bedroom	14	42	110 gal/bedroom	4,620
Sub-Total	160	300		33,000 GPD

Table 6-1. Projected Sanitary Sewer Flows

Commercial	Number of Sq. Ft. Office Space	Sewerage Generation	Flow
	15,512	75 gal/day/1,000 sq. ft.	1,164
Sub-Total	15,512		1,164 GPD

Total 34,164 GPD

Pursuant to MassDEP regulations 314 CMR7.00 Sewer System Extension and Connection Permit Program, the Proposed Project does not require the issuance of a MassDEP Sewer Connection or Extension Permit. The sewer connection review and approval process will be through BWSC.

6.2.3 Sanitary Sewer Connection

The Proposed Project is in the preliminary design stages and a detailed plan of the proposed site utilities has not been developed. However, it is anticipated that the Proposed Project will connect to the 48"x32" brick combined sewer located in the center of Dudley Street. Floor drains from the enclosed parking garage will be collected and routed through an MWRA approved oil-water separator prior to discharge to the BWSC sewer system.


FIGURE 6.1 SANITARY WASTEWATER SYSTEM



6.2.4 Wastewater Flow Mitigation

To help conserve water and reduce the amount of wastewater generated by the Proposed Project, the Proposed Project is anticipated to include water conservation measures such as low flow toilets, restricted flow aerators in faucets and showerheads and water saving appliances.

In addition, since the Proposed Project generates more than 15,000 gpd, it is anticipated that Inflow/Infiltration (I/I) reduction will be required by BWSC. Currently BWSC requires I/I reduction at a 4:1 ratio (4-gallons of I/I removed for every 1-gallon of wastewater added). Currently BWSC charges a fee of \$2.41 per gallon of I/I removed or \$9.64 per gallon of new wastewater added to their system, in order to pay for I/I removal and other improvements to BWSC's sewer system.

6.3 Water Supply System

6.3.1 Existing Water Supply

BWSC owns, operates and maintains the water distribution system in the vicinity of the Proposed Project (see **Figure 6-2**). According to record plans, there are two water mains located in front of the Proposed Project in Dudley Street and one water main in Washington Street. There is a 16-inch ductile iron cement lined water main located near the center of Dudley Street that was installed in 1990 (high pressure service), a 24-inch pit cast iron water main in the northerly side of Dudley Street that was installed in 1871 and upgraded in 1976 (low service) and a 12-inch ductile iron water main in the westerly side of Washington Street that was installed in 1988 (high pressure service). There is a fire hydrant directly in front of the Proposed Project on the south side of Dudley Street that is fed by the 16-inch high pressure service water main.

6.3.2 Estimated Proposed Project Water Consumption

The estimated water consumption for the Proposed Project is 37,581 gpd. This estimate is based on DEP's Standard wastewater generation plus 10% or 110% of the wastewater generation discussed in **Section 6.2.2** above.

There are no known water capacity issues in the vicinity of the Proposed Project. This will be confirmed later in the design process when fire flow tests are conducted. Water demand and availability will be coordinated with BWSC during the Site Plan Review process to ensure the Proposed Project's needs are met, while maintaining adequate water flows to the surrounding neighborhood.



FIGURE 6.2 WATER DISTRIBUTION SYSTEM



135 DUDLEY STREET

6.3.3 Water Supply Conservation and Mitigation

To help conserve water used by the Proposed Project, it is anticipated that the Proposed Project will incorporate water conservation measures such as low flow toilets, restricted flow aerations in faucets and showerheads, and water saving appliances.

6.4 Storm Drainage System

6.4.1 Existing Stormwater Drainage system

The existing site is 69,835 square feet or approximately 1.6 acres in size. The entire area is virtually a 100% parking lot impervious surface. All parking lot stormwater is collected within a series of catch basins and is assumed to drain into an 18" polyvinyl chloride pipe which discharges into the 48"x32" brick combined sewer located in the center of Dudley Street.

6.4.2 Proposed Storm Drainage System

The 135 Dudley St. Project is expected to reduce the volume of stormwater runoff leaving the site as well as substantially improve the water quality (See **Section 4.5**). The Proposed Project will exceed Boston Water and Sewer Commission (BWSC) standards for stormwater management by mitigating 1.25-inches of stormwater runoff generated by proposed impervious surfaces.

The volume of stormwater runoff leaving the site will be reduced do to the construction of a subsurface infiltration/ detention system. It is expected that rooftop runoff from the proposed building will be collected and conveyed to a subsurface infiltration system. The infiltration system will have an overflow tied into the drain system in Dudley St.. It is anticipated that the equivalent of 1.25-inches over the site's impervious area can be recharged as prescribed in BPDA's Smart Utilities design criteria, which will also satisfy BWSC's Site Plan Review requirements.

Stormwater runoff will be treated through the use of water quality treatment units. An operation and maintenance plan will be developed to support the long-term functionality of the proposed stormwater management system.

Erosion and sediment controls will be used during construction to protect adjacent properties, the municipal storm drain system, and the on-site storm drain system. A stormwater pollution prevention plan will be prepared for use during construction including during demolition activity.

6.5 Utility Protection During Construction

The contractor will notify the utility companies and will contact "Dig Safe" prior to any excavation at the Proposed Project. During construction, the infrastructure will be protected using sheeting and shoring, temporary relocations and construction staging as required. The contractor will be required to coordinate

all protection measures, temporary supports, and temporary shutdowns of all utilities with the appropriate utility company and / or agency. The contractor will also be required to provide adequate notification to the utility company prior to any work commencing on their utility. Also, in the event that a utility cannot be maintained in service during switch over to a temporary or permanent system, the contractor will be required to coordinate the shutdown with the utility company and Proposed Project abutters to minimize impacts and inconveniences.

7.0 TRANSPORTATION COMPONENT

7.1 Overview

Howard Stein Hudson (HSH) has conducted an evaluation of the transportation impacts of the proposed development located at 135 Dudley Street (the "Project" and/or "Site") in the Roxbury neighborhood of Boston. This section presents a summary of the Project's transportation impacts including site access, parking, public transportation, bicycle facilities, trip generation, loading and service, and Transportation Demand Management (TDM) measures.

7.1.1 Project Description

The Project site consists of an existing approximately 70,000 square foot parking lot that has recently been used as parking for employees of Bruce C. Bolling Municipal Building, which is located approximately 500 feet north of the Project site on Washington Street. The Project, a mixed-use development, will include approximately 160 residential units, approximately 15,500 sf of ground floor retail space, and approximately 270 parking spaces provided in a five-story parking garage. **Table 7-1** presents a summary of the development program.

Land Use	Proposed Project
Residential	Approx. 160 units (with approx. 105 condominium units and 55 rental apartments)
Retail	Approx.15,500 gsf
Parking	approx. 270 spaces total (with approx. 150 spaces designated to residential uses and approx. 120 spaces designated to commercial uses)

 Table 7-1.
 135 Dudley Street Development Program

7.1.2 Study Area

The proposed study area, shown in **Figure 7-1**, is generally bound by Warren Street to the east, Washington Street to the west, St. James Street to the south and Dudley Street to the north. The designated study area includes the signalized intersection of Warren Street at the driveway for the Boys & Girls Club and Roxbury Court. This driveway will also serve the Project site in the future.



Figure 7-1. Study Area





HOWARD STEIN HUDSON Engineers + Planners

7.1.3 Study Methodology

This transportation study and its supporting analyses were conducted in accordance with BTD guidelines as described below:

- The Existing Condition analysis includes an inventory of the existing transportation conditions such as traffic characteristics, parking, curb usage, transit, pedestrian circulation and bicycle facilities. Existing counts for vehicles, bicycles, and pedestrians were collected at the study area intersections. The traffic data collection effort forms the basis for the transportation analysis conducted as part of this evaluation.
- The future transportation conditions analysis evaluates potential transportation impacts associated with the Project. Long-term impacts are evaluated for the year 2026, based on a seven-year horizon from the year of the filing of this traffic study.
- The No-Build (2026) Condition includes both general background traffic growth, traffic growth associated with specific developments (not including this Project), and transportation improvements that are planned near the Project site.
- The Build (2026) Condition analysis includes the No-Build (2026) Condition plus the net change in traffic volume due to the Project. Expected roadway, parking, transit, pedestrian, and bicycle accommodations, as well as loading facilities associated with the Project, are identified.
- The final sections of the transportation study identify the transportation demand management measures to minimize automobile usage and Project-related impacts, and outline the requirements of the Transportation Access Plan Agreement (TAPA) and Construction Management Plan.

7.2 Existing Condition

This section includes descriptions of existing study area roadway geometries, curb usage parking, public transportation services, and bicycle and pedestrian facilities.

7.2.1 Existing Roadway Conditions

The study area includes the following roadways, which are categorized according to the Massachusetts Department of Transportation (MassDOT) Office of Transportation Planning functional classifications:

Dudley Street is an urban minor arterial located along the north edge of the Project site. It runs east-west from Washington Street to Columbia Road in Uphams Corner. The Dudley Street alignment continues in both directions as Malcolm X Boulevard west of Washington Street and Stoughton Street east of Columbia Road. Dudley Street is a two-way, four lane roadway with

two lanes in each direction west of Warren Street. Additional turn lanes are provided at Warren Street. East of Warren Street, Dudley Street becomes a two-way, two-lane roadway.

Warren Street is an urban minor arterial, under the jurisdiction of the city of Boston, that is located to the east of the Project site. It runs in a predominantly north-south direction from Washington Street to Blue Hill Avenue. Warren Street stands as the western boundary edge of the Dudley Square neighborhood. Warren Street is a two-way, four-lane roadway between Dudley Street and Quincy Street. All but two intersection between Dudley Street and Quincy Street have a northbound left-turn pocket. The two intersections that do not have a northbound left-turn pocket instead. South of Quincy Street, Warren Street is a two-way, two-lane roadway.

7.2.2 Existing Intersection Conditions

Intersection characteristics such as traffic control, lane usage, pedestrian facilities, pavement markings, and adjacent land use are described below.

Warren Street / Boys & Girls Club and Roxbury Court Driveway (future Project driveway) is a three-legged, signalized intersection with three approaches. The driveway eastbound approach consists of a 12-foot, shared left-turn/right-turn lane. The Warren Street northbound approach consists of a 10-foot exclusive left-turn lane with approximately 70-feet of storage and two 10-foot wide exclusive through lanes. On-street parking is not restricted adjacent to the northbound approach. The Warren Street southbound approach consists of an 11-foot exclusive through lane as well as a 12-foot shared through/right-turn lane. An MBTA bus stop exists adjacent to the Warren Street southbound approach. A 5-foot wide dedicated bike lane exists on both sides of Warren Street south of the driveway. An Americans with Disabilities Act (ADA) compliant crosswalk, curb-ramps, and pedestrian signals exist across the Warren Street northbound approach. ADA compliant curb-ramps and crosswalk exist across the eastbound driveway approach.

7.2.3 Existing Parking and Curb Use

An inventory of the on-street parking and curbside regulations near the Project site was conducted. Along the Dudley Street frontage of the Site, spaces are primarily signed with a two-hour limit. Additional curb use regulations in the area include handicap accessible parking, police station related business parking, non-metered 15-minute parking, commercial vehicle parking, cab stands, and a food truck staging area. Several MBTA bus stops exist along Washington Street, Dudley Street, and Warren Street in the vicinity of the Project site. The on-street parking regulations within the study area are shown in **Figure 7-2**.



Figure 7-2. On-Street Parking





HOWARD STEIN HUDSON Engineers + Planners

7.2.4 Car Sharing Services

Car sharing services enable easy access to short-term vehicular transportation. Vehicles are rented on an hourly or daily basis, and all vehicle costs (gas, maintenance, insurance, and parking) are included in the rental fee. Vehicles are checked out for a specific time period and returned to their designated location. Pick-up/drop-off locations are typically in existing parking lots or other parking areas throughout neighborhoods as a convenience to users of the services. Nearby car sharing services provide an important transportation option and reduce the need for private vehicle ownership.

While Zipcar is the primary car share company in the Boston car sharing market, other companies such as Turo and Getaround also operate within the city. One Zipcar location is within a five-minute walk (one-quarter mile) of the Project site. Additionally, three Zipcar locations and two Turo location exist within a ten-minute walk (one-half mile) from the Project site. The nearby car sharing locations are shown in **Figure 7-3**.

7.2.5 Existing Traffic Data

Turning Movement Counts (TMCs) were conducted during the weekday a.m. and p.m. peak periods (7:00 - 9:00 a.m. and 4:00 - 6:00 p.m., respectively) at the study area intersection. Traffic volume data was obtained from the Boston Transportation Department (BTD). Because the data was collected in 2016 (Thursday, October 13, 2016), the data was increased by a one-half percent annual rate over a three-year period to more accurately reflect 2019 conditions. The TMCs collected vehicle classification including car, heavy vehicle, pedestrian, and bicycle movements. Within the peak periods, 7:45 a.m. – 8:45 a.m. and 4:00 p.m. – 5:00 p.m. were identifies as the a.m. and p.m. peak hours, respectively. The detailed traffic counts are provided in **Appendix F.**

To account for seasonal variation in traffic volumes throughout the year, data provided by MassDOT were reviewed. The MassDOT Weekday Seasonal Factors were used to determine the need for seasonal adjustments for the October counts. The seasonal adjustment factor for roadways like the study area (Group U3 – Other Principal Arterials-Urban) during the month of October is 0.92. This indicates that average monthly traffic volumes area approximately 8% lower than the traffic volumes that were collected. Therefore, the traffic counts were not adjusted downward to reflect average month conditions and provide a conservatively high analysis consistent with the peak season traffic volumes. The MassDOT 2017 Weekday Season Adjustment table is provided in Appendix F.

The Existing Condition weekday a.m. and p.m. peak hour traffic volumes are shown in **Figure 7-4**.



Figure 7-3. Car and Bicycle Share Locations







Figure 7-4. Existing Condition Traffic Volumes, Weekday a.m. and p.m. Peak Hours





135 Dudley Street

7.2.6 Existing Pedestrian Conditions

In general, the sidewalks provided along nearby roadways are in good condition. The sidewalk along Dudley Street, adjacent to the northern edge of the Project site, is approximately 12 feet wide. The sidewalk along Warren Street near the Boys & Girls Club/Roxbury Court Driveway range between approximately ten and 20-feet wide. ADA compliant curb-ramps, crosswalks and pedestrian signal equipment exist across the northbound approach of the Warren Street/ the Boys & Girls Club/Roxbury Court Driveway (Project Driveway) intersection. ADA compliant curb-ramps and crosswalk exist across the Project Driveway.

To determine the amount of pedestrian activity within the study area, pedestrian counts were conducted concurrent with the TMCs at the study area intersections. The weekday a.m. and p.m. peak hour pedestrian volumes are presented in **Figure 7-5**.

7.2.7 Existing Bicycle Conditions

Bicycle counts were conducted concurrently with the vehicular TMCs and are presented in **Figure 7-5**. Near the Project site, sharrows exist along Washington Street and Warren Street to the north of the Project Driveway. A dedicated bike lane exists on both sides of Warren Street south of the Boys & Girls Club/Roxbury Court Driveway. The City of Boston's "Bike Routes of Boston" map, updated in August 2013, designates Shawmut Avenue as a beginner route that does not have bicycle markings or signage, which is suitable for all riders including new cyclists with no on road experience. Dudley Street is designated as an intermediate route that does not have bicycle markings or signage, which is suitable for riders with some on-road experience. Warren Street is designated as an advanced route that does not have bicycle markings or signage, which is suitable for riders with some on-road experience. Warren Street is designated as an advanced route that does not have bicycle markings or signage, which is suitable for riders with some on-road experience. Warren Street is designated as an advanced route that does not have bicycle markings or signage, which is suitable for route that bicycle markings or signage, which is suitable for route that bicycle markings or signage, which is suitable for experienced and traffic confident cyclists. South of its intersection with Dudley Street and Malcolm X Boulevard, Washington Street is designated as an Advanced route that has share the road (sharrow) symbols and/or signage. North of its intersection with Dudley Street and Malcolm X Boulevard, Washington Street is designated as an intermediate route that is marked with share the road (sharrow) symbols.

7.2.8 Bicycle Sharing Services

The site is also located in proximity to bicycle sharing stations provided by BLUEbikes. BLUEbikes is the major bicycle sharing system in the Boston area, which was launched in 2011 and consists of over 300 stations and 3,000 bicycles in five municipalities. One BLUEbike facility is located within one-quarter mile (5-minute walk) of the Project site and three BLUEbike facilities are within one-half mile (10-minute walk). The nearby BLUEbike sharing locations are shown in **Figure 7-3**.



Existing Condition Pedestrian and Bicycle Volumes, Weekday a.m. and p.m. Peak Hours





135 Dudley Street

7.2.9 Existing Public Transportation

The Project site area is well-served by public transportation. Dudley Station, with access to several MBTA bus lines including two Silver Line rapid transit bus routes, is located directly across Dudley Street. Additionally, the Project site is located within walking distance from two other MBTA stations: the Roxbury Crossing Station is approximately 0.6 miles west of the site and the Ruggles Station is approximately 0.7 miles to the northwest. Both stations provide access to the MBTA Orange Line, as well as several bus routes. The Ruggles Station also provides access to three MBTA Commuter Rail lines. The MBTA public transportation services are shown in **Figure 7-6** and summarized in **Table 7-2** and **Table 7-3**.



Figure 7-6. Public Transportation





Service	Description	Peak-hour Headway ¹ (minutes)								
Rapid Transit Lines										
Orange Line	Oak Grove Station – Forest Hills Station	9								
Silver Line: SL4	Dudley Station – South Station at Essex Street via Washington Street	12								
Sliver Line: SL5	Sliver Line: SL5 Dudley Station – Downtown Crossing at Temple Place via Washington Street									
	Commuter Rail ²									
Needham	Needham Heights – South Station (via Ruggles Station)	30-50								
Providence/ Stoughton	Wickford Junction – South Station (via Ruggles Station)	30-60								
Franklin	Forge Park / 495 – South Station (via Ruggles Station)	30-40								

Table 7 0	Eviating Dublia	Transportation Da	nid Transit and	Commuter Doll
Table 7-2.	Existing Public	ransportation – Ra	più i ransit and	Commuter Rail

1. Source: MBTA.com, September 2019. Headway is the time between vehicles

2. Headway taken from arrivals/departures at Ruggles Station.

Route	Description	Average Peak- hour Headway (minutes) ¹
1	Harvard/Holyoke Gate - Dudley Sta. via Mass Ave.	8
8	Harbor Point/UMass - Kenmore Sta. via B.U. Medical Center & Dudley Sta.	15-30
14	Roslindale Sq Heath St. via Dudley Sta., Grove Hall & Jackson Sq. Sta.	45-55
15	Kane Sq. or Fields Corner Sta Ruggles Sta. via Uphams Corner	8-10
19	Fields Corner Sta Kenmore or Ruggles Sta. via Grove Hall & Dudley Sta.	17-30
22	Ashmont Sta Ruggles Sta. via Talbot Avenue & Jackson Square	10
23	Ashmont Sta Ruggles Sta. via Washington Street	7-10
28	Mattapan Sta Ruggles Sta. via Dudley Sta.	10
41	Centre & Eliot Sts JFK/UMass Sta. via Dudley Sta., Centre St. & Jackson Sq. Sta.	23-30
42	Forest Hills Sta Dudley or Ruggles Sta. via Washington Street	15-30
43	Ruggles Sta Park & Tremont Streets via Tremont St.	20-30
44	Jackson Sq. Sta Ruggles Sta. via Seaver St. & Humboldt Ave.	18
45	Franklin Park Zoo - Ruggles Sta. via Blue Hills Avenue	16
47	Central Sq., Cambridge - Broadway Sta. via B.U. Medical Center, Dudley Sta. & Longwood Medical Area	10-20
66	Harvard Square - Dudley Sta. via Allston & Brookline Village	10
170	Central Square, Waltham - Dudley Sq.	15-30 ²
171	Dudley Sta Logan Airport via Andrew Sta.	N/A ³
CT2	Sullivan Sta Ruggles Sta. via Kendall/MIT	20-30
CT3	Beth Israel Deaconess Medical Cntr Andrew Sta. via B.U. Medical Cntr.	20

Table 7-3. Existing Public Transportation – Bus Routes

1. Source: MBTA.com, September 2019. Headway is the time between vehicles

2. Express bus, runs two buses inbound in the a.m. and two buses outbound in the p.m.

3. Route operates in one direction only with limited service of two daily trips, leaving Dudley Sta. at 3:50 a.m. and 4:20 a.m.

7.3 No-Build (2026) Condition

The No-Build (2026) Condition reflects a future scenario that incorporates anticipated traffic volume changes associated with background traffic growth independent of any specific project, traffic associated with planned specific developments, and planned infrastructure improvements that will affect travel patterns throughout the study area. These infrastructure improvements include roadway, public transportation, pedestrian and bicycle improvements.

7.3.1 Background Traffic Growth

The methodology to account for generic future background traffic growth, independent of this Project, may be affected by changes in demographics, smaller scale development projects, or projects unforeseen at this time.

Based on a review of recent and historic traffic data to account for any additional unforeseen traffic growth, a traffic growth rate of one-half (0.5%) percent per year, compounded annually, was used.

7.3.2 Specific Development Traffic Growth

Traffic volumes associated with known development projects can affect traffic patterns throughout the study area within the future analysis time horizon. Key background development projects were identified in the vicinity of the Project site and are shown in **Figure 7-7**. Traffic volumes associated with the following projects were directly incorporated into the future conditions traffic volumes:

2147 Washington Street – This development is located north of the Project site and proposes to replace an existing surface parking lot with approximately 74 residential units, 1,440 sf of ground floor retail space, an expansion of the existing Haley House Café, and 47 parking spaces for residential use only.

The Rio Grande Tower – This development site encompasses an existing surface parking lot as well as the historically significant Roxbury Institute for Savings Building and the former Boston Consolidated Gas Building. This development is located to the northwest of the Project site and proposes to replace the existing surface parking lot with a 25-story residential tower that includes 211 units, approximately 2,700 sf of ground floor retail space, and 28,200 gross sf of commercial office space.

Bartlett Place – This development is a former MBTA bus depot and maintenance yard, located to the west of the Project site. This development proposes to construct the site in phases, over an extended period, to eventually create a mixed-use urban village. The full project build-out will include approximately 22,000 sf of commercial space, 31,000 sf of retail space, 323 residential units, 328 parking spaces and a 15,500 square foot public plaza. Additionally, the project



Figure 7-7. Background Projects





HOWARD STEIN HUDSON Engineers + Planners proposes to construct two new roadways within the site, connecting Guild Street and Bartlett Street as well as Washington Street and Bartlett Street.

280 - 290 Warren Street – This development is located to the southeast of the Project site and the first phase is to construct a 55-unit mixed-income housing development. The first phase will also include ground-floor commercial space and two levels of structured parking for a total of approximately 72 spaces. The second phase of development will include a mixed-use building housing ground-floor commercial space and 44-units of elderly housing as well as a 28-space parking garage. In total the development is proposed to include 95 residential units and 102 parking spaces.

7.3.3 Proposed Infrastructure Improvements

Planned area improvements to roadway, transit, bicycle, and pedestrian facilities, independent of the Project, are describe below:

Dudley Square Streetscape – The goals set forth for this project include creating a more safe environment for all users, especially pedestrians, seniors and the disabled; reduce traffic congestion issues for motorists and transit riders; add bicycle facilities; add to and improve existing green space; and limit the impact to on-street parking.

The first phase of this project will encompass the southern edge of Dudley Street from Washington Street to Warren Street, both sides of Warren Street between Dudley Street and Harrison Avenue, the western edge of Warren Street from Harrison Avenue to Kearsarge Street, a portion of the Washington Street/Dudley Street intersection, the entirety of the Warren Street/Dudley Street intersection, and a large portion of the Warren Street/Harrison Avenue intersection. Phase one will include the construction of new sidewalks, pedestrian ramps, protected corners, crosswalks, and a grade-separated cycle track; the installation of new traffic and pedestrian signal equipment, streetlights, signage, and pavement markings; updating the existing electrical system and drainage system; planting new street trees, and garden beds; implementing green infrastructure; and a new plaza and pedestrian orientation for the renovated Dudley Library.

The second phase of this project will encompass the northern edge of Dudley Street from Washington Street to Harrison Avenue, the intersections of Malcolm X Boulevard/Shawmut Avenue and Dudley Street/Harrison Avenue, the remaining portions of the Washington Street/Dudley Street intersection and Warren Street/Harrison Avenue intersection, and all crossings, intersections and streetscape along both Washington Street and Warren Street between Dudley Street and Ruggles Street. Phase two will include the same general improvements as phase one as well as install Rapid Flashing Beacons at selected crossings, constructing dedicated bike lanes on Washington Street and Warren Street, and a raised island at the Washington

Street/Warren Street intersection for future green-space opportunities. Additionally, there is a potential for the installation of a parking meter system.

Melnea Cass Boulevard Redesign – Melnea Cass Boulevard will be rebuilt from Columbus Avenue to Massachusetts Avenue as a "complete street" in order to better serve not only drivers but pedestrians, cyclists and transit riders as well. The project will include improved sidewalk conditions with 8-foot wide sidewalks, separated bike lanes within the roadway, new traffic signal equipment and timings, and improved bus stops along the corridor.

Ruggles Station Commuter Rail Platform Extension – will include the construction of a second, 800-foot long commuter rail platform at Track 2 that will allow passengers the ability to by-pass traveling to Back Bay Station on the commuter rail and transferring to the orange line to return to Ruggles Station.

MBTA Better Bus Project is the first step of an effort to improve bus services in the greater Boston area. The MBTA has proposed near-term changes that will enable better service per dollar invested. Those changes include the consolidation of duplicate routes, improve the space available at some existing bus stops and eliminate obsolete variants of some bus routes. This first step could potentially adjust Routes 8, 19, and 47 to by-pass Ruggles Station as well as divert Route 44 away from Dudley Street after 8 p.m.

7.3.4 No-Build (2026) Condition Traffic Volumes

The one-half percent per year annual growth rate, compounded annually, was applied to the Existing (2019) Condition traffic volumes, the traffic volumes associated with the background development projects listed above were added, and the geometric modifications, as appropriate, were incorporated into the No-Build (2026) Condition traffic volumes. The No-Build (2026) weekday a.m. and p.m. peak hour traffic volumes are shown on **Figure 7-8**.



Figure 7-8. No-Build (2026) Condition Traffic Volumes, Weekday a.m. and p.m. Peak Hours





135 Dudley Street

7.4 Build (2026) Condition

As previously summarized, the proposed Project, a multi-use development, will include approximately 160 residential units, approximately 15,500 sf of ground floor retail space, and approximately 270 parking spaces provided in a five-story parking garage.

7.4.1 Site Access and Circulation

Vehicular access to the Project site will be provided through the existing driveway that services both the Boys & Girls Club and the Roxbury Municipal Court. The driveway has a 26 feet wide curb-cut along Warren Street and will provide residents access to the parking garage. Pedestrian access to the residential lobbies will be provided in two locations along Dudley Street. The retail storefronts will also have pedestrian doorways along Dudley Street. The site access plan is shown in **Figure 7-9**.

7.4.2 Project Parking

BTD has set parking space goals and guidelines throughout the City to establish the amount of parking supply provided with new developments. BTD's maximum parking ratio guidelines for residential uses in Lower Roxbury is 1.5 spaces per unit. The Project is proposing to construct a total of 270 parking spaces within a five-story, above grade parking garage. Spaces will be allocated to residents, guests, and public/commercial uses.

The parking goals developed by the BTD for this section of Roxbury (within a ten-minute walk of an MBTA station) are a maximum of 0.5 to 1.0 spaces per residential unit. Reflecting these goals, the Project will provide 1.0 parking spaces per condominium unit and 0.47 parking spaces per apartment. The remaining spaces will be used for residential visitors and public/commercial uses to support parking demands of local business. **Table 7-4** summarizes the parking space allocation.

Table 7-4.	Parking	Space	Allocation
------------	---------	-------	------------

User Type	Parking Spaces
Residential – Condominium	105
Residential – Apartments	26
Visitors to Residential	20
Public / Commercial	119
Total	270 Spaces



Site Plan



135 Dudley Street

7.4.3 Loading and Service Accommodations

Residential loading activity includes move-in/move-out, furniture deliveries, contractor/repair calls and shorter-term activity such as package delivery (USPS, UPS, Fed-Ex). Loading activity will occur off-street and be located on first floor of the parking garage.

7.4.4 Bicycle Accommodations

Based on BTD guidelines, the Project will provide secure covered bicycle storage for up to 160 bicycles (one space per residential unit) within the proposed building, available for building residents. Additional bicycle racks will be provided near main entrances to the new building for workers, visitors, and guests.

All bicycle racks, signs, and parking areas will conform to BTD standards and be sited in safe, secure locations. The Proponent will work with BTD to identify the most appropriate quantity and location for bicycle racks on the Project site as part of the Transportation Access Plan Agreement (TAPA) process.

7.4.5 Trip Generation Methodology

Determining the future trip generation of a Project is a complex, multi-step process that produces an estimate of vehicle trips, transit trips, walk trips, and bicycle trips associated with a proposed development and a specific land use program. A project's location, proximity to different travel modes, and specific operational characteristics determine how people will travel to and from a project site.

To estimate the number of new trips expected to be generated by the Project, data published by the Institute of Transportation Engineers (ITE) in the Trip Generation Manual¹ was used. ITE provides data to estimate the total number of unadjusted vehicle trips associated with the Project. In an urban setting served by transit, adjustments are necessary to account for other travel mode shares such as walking, bicycling, and transit.

Below is a description of the ITE land use codes (LUC) identified as most appropriate for the Project:

• Land Use Code 220 – Multifamily Housing (Low-Rise). The Multifamily Housing Low-Rise LUC includes apartments, townhouses, and condominiums located within the same building with at least three other dwelling units and that have one or two floors. Calculations of the number of trips uses ITE's average rate per dwelling units. ITE also provides data for mid-rise residential buildings with more than two floors. While the Project will include residential units that are considered mid-rise in height, the study team

¹ Trip Generation Manual, 10th Edition; Institute of Transportation Engineers; Washington, D.C.; 2018.

adopted trip rates associated with the Low-Rise Residential LUC, which are higher, resulting in a more conservative analysis (higher impact).

• Land Use Code 820 – Retail/Shopping Center. A retail shopping center is an integrated group of commercial establishments that is planned, developed, owned, and managed as a unit. A shopping center's composition is related to its market area in terms of size, location, and type of store. Of the ITE retail categories, this one best suits the retail component proposed within the Project. Calculations of the number of trips use ITE's average rate per 1,000 sf.

7.4.6 Travel Mode Share

BTD provides vehicle, transit, and walking mode split rates for different areas of Boston. The Project is in Area 15 - Roxbury. The unadjusted vehicular trips were converted to person trips by using vehicle occupancy rates published by the Federal Highway Administration (FHWA)². The person trips were then distributed to different modes according to the mode shares shown in **Table 7-5**.

Land Use/ Direction	,	Vehicle Occupancy Rate ¹	Walk/Bike Share	Transit Share	Vehicle Share							
Daily												
Residential	In	1 18	27%	17%	56%							
Residential	Out	1.10	27%	17%	56%							
Potoil	In	1 00	35%	12%	53%							
Retail	Out	1.02	35%	12%	53%							
		a.m.	Peak Hour									
D	In	1 10	27%	19%	54%							
Residential	Out	1.10	27%	29%	44%							
Deteil	In	4.00	36%	13%	51%							
Relaii	Out	1.02	37%	21%	42%							
p.m. Peak Hour												
Desidential	In	1 10	27%	29%	44%							
Residential	Out	1.10	27%	19%	54%							
Detail	In	1.00	37%	21%	42%							
Retail	Out	1.82	36%	13%	51%							

Table 7-5. Travel Mode Shares and Vehicle Occupancy Rate

² Summary of Travel Trends: 2017 National Household Travel Survey; FHWA; Washington, D.C.; 2017.

7.4.7 Project Trip Generation

The mode share percentages shown in **Table 7-5** were applied to the number of person trips to develop walk/bicycle, transit, and vehicle trip generation estimates for the Project. The trip generation for the Project by mode is shown in **Table 7-6**. The detailed trip generation information is provided in Appendix F.

Land Use/ Direction		Walk/Bike Trips	Walk/Bike Trips Transit Trips									
a.m. Peak Hour												
	In	5	4	11								
Residential	<u>Out</u>	<u>18</u>	<u>19</u>	<u>30</u>								
	Total	23	23	41								
	In	6	2	8								
Retail	<u>Out</u>	<u>4</u>	<u>2</u>	<u>5</u>								
	Total	10	4	13								
		p.m. Peak Hou	r									
	In	18	19	29								
Residential	<u>Out</u>	<u>11</u>	<u>7</u>	<u>21</u>								
	Total	29	26	50								
	In	20	11	21								
Retail	<u>Out</u>	<u>19</u>	<u>7</u>	<u>29</u>								
	Total	39	18	50								

Table 7-6. Project Trip Generation

7.4.8 Trip Distribution

The trip distribution identifies the various travel paths for vehicles arriving and leaving the Project site. Trip distribution patterns for the Project were based on BTD's origin-destination data for Area 15 – Roxbury and trip distribution patterns presented in traffic studies for nearby projects. The trip distribution patterns for the Project are shown in **Figure 7-10**.



Figure 7-10. Trip Distribution





HOWARD STEIN HUDSON Engineers + Planners

7.4.9 Build (2026) Traffic Volumes

The vehicle trips were distributed through the study area based on the trip distribution shown in **Figure 7-10** to the Project site. The Project generated trips for the a.m. and the p.m. peak hours are shown in **Figure 7-11**. The trip assignments were added to the No-Build (2026) Condition vehicular traffic volumes to develop the Build (2026) Condition vehicular traffic volumes. The Build (2026) Condition a.m. and p.m. peak hour traffic volumes are shown in **Figure 7-12**.



Figure 7-11. Project Generated Vehicle Trips, Weekday a.m. and p.m. Peak Hours



135 Dudley Street



Figure 7-12. Build (2026) Condition Traffic Volumes, Weekday a.m. and p.m. Peak Hours





135 Dudley Street

7.5 Traffic Capacity Analysis

Trafficware's Synchro (version 9) software package was used to calculate average delay and associated LOS at the study area intersections. This software is based on the traffic operational analysis methodology of the Transportation Research Board's 2010 Highway Capacity Manual (HCM).

LOS designations are based on average delay per vehicle for all vehicles entering an intersection. **Table** 7-7 displays the intersection LOS criteria. LOS A indicates the most favorable condition, with minimum traffic delay, while LOS F represents the worst condition, with significant traffic delay. LOS E or F is often typical for a stop controlled minor street that intersects a major roadway.

Loval of Samiaa	Average Stopped Delay (sec/veh)									
Level of Service	Signalized Intersection	Unsignalized Intersection								
А	≤10	≤10								
В	>10 and ≤20	>10 and ≤15								
С	>20 and ≤35	>15 and ≤25								
D	>35 and ≤55	>25 and ≤35								
E	>55 and ≤80	>35 and ≤50								
F	>80	>50								

Table 7-7. Vehicle Level of Service Criteria

Source: 2010 Highway Capacity Manual, Transportation Research Board.

In addition to delay and LOS, the operational capacity and vehicular queues are calculated and used to further quantify traffic operations at intersections. The following describes these other calculated measures.

The volume-to-capacity ratio (v/c ratio) is a measure of congestion at an intersection approach. A v/c ratio below one indicates that the intersection approach has adequate capacity to process the arriving traffic volumes over the course of an hour. A v/c ratio of one or greater indicates that the traffic volume on the intersection approach exceeds capacity.

The 50th percentile queue length, measured in feet, represents the maximum queue length during a cycle of the traffic signal with typical (or median) entering traffic volumes.

The 95th percentile queue length, measured in feet, denotes the farthest extent of the vehicle queue (to the last stopped vehicle) upstream from the stop line. This maximum queue occurs five percent, or less, of the time during the peak hour, and typically does not develop during off-peak hours. Since volumes fluctuate throughout the hour, the 95th percentile queue represents what can be considered a "worst case" condition. Queues at an intersection are generally below the 95th percentile length throughout most of the peak hour. It is also unlikely that 95th percentile queues for each approach to an intersection occur simultaneously.

Table 7-8 and **Table 7-9** present, respectively, the a.m. and p.m. peak hour capacity analysis for the study area intersections under each analysis condition: Existing (2019) Condition, No-Build (2026) Condition, and the Build (2026) Condition. The sections below present results for each condition. The detailed analysis sheets are provided in **Appendix F**.

7.5.1 Existing Condition Traffic Operations Analysis

As shown in **Table 7-8** and **Table 7-9**, under the Existing Condition, the study area intersection and approaches operate at acceptable levels of service (LOS D or better) during the weekday a.m. and p.m. peak hours.

7.5.2 No-Build (2026) Condition Traffic Operations Analysis

As shown in **Table 7-8** and **Table 7-9**, under the No-Build (2026) Condition, the study area intersection and approaches continue to operate at acceptable levels of service (LOS D or better) during the weekday a.m. and p.m. peak hours.

7.5.3 Build (2026) Condition Traffic Operations Analysis

As shown in **Table 7-8** and **Table 7-9**, under the Build (2026) Condition, the study area intersection and approaches continue to operate at acceptable levels of service (LOS D or better) during the weekday a.m. and p.m. peak hours.

Table 7-8. Capacity Analysis Summary, a.m. Peak hour

	Existing Condition				No-Build (2026) Condition				Build (2026) Condition						
Intersection/Approach	LOS	Delay (s)	V/C Ratio	Queu 50 th	ie (ft) 95 th	LOS	Delay (s)	V/C Ratio	Queu 50 th	ue (ft) 95 th	LOS	Delay (s)	V/C Ratio	Queu 50 th	ie (ft) 95 th
Signalized															
Warren St / Boys & Girls Club and Roxbury Court Driveway	А	2.2	-	-	-	А	2.2	-	-	-	А	3.5	-	-	-
B&G Club Driveway EB left/right	С	33.3	0.25	7	24	С	33.3	0.25	7	24	D	39.8	0.42	23	63
Warren St NB left/thru	А	1.3	0.05	2	7	А	1.3	0.05	2	7	А	1.9	0.08	4	12
Warren Street NB thru thru	А	2.0	0.38	55	90	А	2.1	0.40	60	97	А	2.8	0.42	70	121
Warren Street SB thru thru/right	А	1.0	0.19	16	25	А	1.0	0.20	17	27	А	1.5	0.22	21	43

Table 7-9. Capacity Analysis Summary, p.m. Peak hour

	Existing Condition				No-Build (2026) Condition					Build (2026) Condition					
Intersection/Approach	LOS Delay V/C		Que	ue (ft) 95th	LOS	Delay (s)	V/C Ratio	Que	<u>ue (ft)</u> 95th	LOS	Delay (s)	V/C Ratio	Quer	ue (ft) 95th	
Signalized															
Warren St / Boys & Girls Club and Roxbury Court Driveway	A	6.2	-	-	-	A	6.5	-	-	-	A	7.8	-	-	-
B&G Club Driveway EB left/right	D	44.7	0.75	74	109	D	47.0	0.75	80	115	D	54.4	0.80	103	#200
Warren St NB left/thru	А	2.8	0.17	5	m10	А	3.1	0.19	5	m11	А	3.7	0.23	6	m12
Warren Street NB thru thru	А	2.1	0.36	42	m54	А	2.3	0.38	47	m60	А	2.5	0.23	50	m61
Warren Street SB thru thru/right	А	3.4	0.42	81	m141	А	3.7	0.44	91	154	А	4.3	0.47	121	166

m: Volume for 95th percentile queue is metered by upstream signal

95th percentile volume exceeds capacity; queue may be longer. Queue shown is maximum after two cycles
7.6 Transportation Demand Management

While the results presented in Section 7.5 show that the Project will not have any impact to the adjacent roadway system, the Proponent is committed to implementing comprehensive travel demand management (strategies to minimize dependence on automobile travel.

Because the Project is entirely residential, its trip generation will already be lower than that of an office or retail use project. TDM will be facilitated by the Project's proximity to two Orange Line transit stations, workplaces, and shopping, thereby helping to reduce auto use by residents and visitors alike. The Proponent is committed to implementing the TDM commitments listed below:

- <u>Limited Parking</u>: The Project will provide approximately 150 parking spaces for residents, with 1.0 parking spaces per condominium unit and 0.47 parking spaces per apartment. It is expected that many residents will not own an automobile and will instead rely on car sharing services, taxicabs, or Uber/Lyft, for trips requiring a vehicle.
- Public Transportation:
 - Provide orientation packets to new residents containing information on the available transportation choices, including transit routes and schedules.
 - Provide information on travel alternatives for residents and visitors via the Internet and in the building lobby.
 - Provide an annual (or more frequent) newsletter or bulletin summarizing transit, ridesharing, bicycling, alternative work schedules, and other travel options.
- <u>Bicycle Spaces</u>: Secure bicycle storage will be made available to tenants and visitors to encourage bicycling as an alternative mode of transportation. In accordance with BTD guidelines, the Proponent will provide one secure/covered bicycle parking spaces for each residential unit. Bicycle racks, signs, and parking areas will conform to BTD standards and be sited in safe, secure locations.
- <u>Transportation Coordinator</u>: The Proponent will designate a transportation coordinator to manage loading and service activities and provide alternative transportation materials to Project residents.
- <u>Electric Vehicles</u>: Provide electric vehicle charging stations to accommodate five percent of the total parking and enough infrastructure capacity for future accommodations of at least 15 percent of the total parking spaces and designating at least four percent of the parking spaces as preferred parking for low emission vehicles.
- <u>Vehicle Sharing Program</u>: The Proponent will explore the feasibility of providing spaces in the garage for a car sharing service.

A Transportation Access Plan Agreement will be entered between the Proponent and BTD. The TAPA will memorialize the specific measures and agreements between the Proponent and the City of Boston.

7.7 Evaluation of Short-Term Construction Impacts

Most construction activities will be accommodated within the current site boundaries. Details of the overall construction schedule, working hours, number of construction workers, worker transportation and parking, number of construction vehicles, and routes will be addressed in detail in a Construction Management Plan (CMP) to be filed with BTD in accordance with the City's transportation maintenance plan requirements.

To minimize transportation impacts during the construction period, the following measures will be considered for the Construction Management Plan:

- Limit construction worker parking on-site;
- Encourage worker carpooling;
- Consider a subsidy for MBTA passes for full-time employees; and
- Provide secure spaces on-site for workers' supplies and tools so they do not have to be brought to the site each day.

The Construction Management Plan is intended to be executed with the City prior to commencement of construction will document all committed measures.

8.0 COORDINATION WITH GOVERNMENTAL AGENCIES

8.1 Architectural Access Board Requirements

This Proposed Project will comply with the requirements of the Architectural Access Board. The Proposed Project will also be designed to comply with the Standards of the Americans with Disabilities Act.

8.2 Massachusetts Environmental Policy Act

Because of State/Federal funding possibilities, the Proponent anticipates that the Project will require review by the Massachusetts Environmental Policy Act Office of the Massachusetts Office of Energy and Environmental Affairs.

8.3 Boston Civic Design Commission

The Proposed Project will exceed the 100,000 gross square feet size threshold requirement for review by the Boston Civic Design Commission.

8.4 Massachusetts Historical Commission

Because of permits required from the Commonwealth of Massachusetts, the Proposed Project may require Massachusetts Historical Commission State Register Review.

9.0 PROJECT CERTIFICATION

This form has been circulated to the Boston Planning and Development Agency as required by Article 80 of the Boston Zoning Code.

THE CRUZ DEVELOPMENT CORPORATION

Signature of Proponent

John B. Cruz, III, President

19 Date

MITCHELL L. FISCHMAN CONSULTING ("MLF Consulting") LLC

Signature of Preparer Mitchell L. Fischman, Principal

Date

APPENDIX A – LETTER OF INTENT TO FILE PNF, AUGUST 26, 2019





August 26, 2019

VIA HAND DELIVERY

Mr. Brian Golden, Director Boston Planning and Development Agency One City Hall Square, 9th Floor Boston, MA 02201 Attn: <u>Mr. Dana Whiteside, Deputy Director, Community Economic Development</u>

RE: <u>Letter of Intent to File Project Notification Form</u> Article 80 - Large Project Review <u>135 Dudley Street, Roxbury</u>

Dear Director Golden:

The purpose of this letter is to notify the Boston Planning and Development Agency (the "BPDA") of Cruz Development Corporation ("Cruz"), a Massachusetts corporation, (the "Proponent" or "Cruz"), intent to file a Project Notification Form ("PNF") with the BPDA pursuant to Article 80B, Large Project Review requirements of the Boston Zoning Code (the "Code").

The Proponent's Proposed Project involves an approximate 201,662 gross square feet of floor area (excluding the parking garage) for a multi-use development at 135 Dudley Street in the Dudley Square and Roxbury neighborhood, including 150 housing units, 10,727 square feet of street level /commercial space, including office space for the Boston Chapter of the NAACP (with the Proponent providing this space rent free for 10-years) and a restaurant flexible space-tenant amenities / art space, and a parking garage for approximately 245 vehicles (the "Proposed Project"). One of the buildings will be a six-story building containing 50 units of affordable rental housing for families at 30% and 50% of AMI, and the other, an eight-story building containing 100 condominium units, providing homeownership opportunities to 50 households at 70% and 80% of AMI, with the remaining 50 units proposed as market-rate. There will be a landscaped plaza between the two buildings, and one to the east of the retail affordable building adjacent to the Dudley BPL Branch Library, opening on Dudley Street. In addition, the Proposed Project will further the objectives of Mayor Martin J. Walsh's Housing Plan, Housing a Changing City: Boston 2030.

In addition to Dudley Street, the approximate 69,835 SF (1.8-acre) project area is bordered by the Boston Police District B-2 Roxbury Station, The Roxbury Municipal Court, and the Dudley Literacy Center (the "Proposed Site"). The project area is vacant with no on-site buildings. Please see **Figure 1:** <u>Project Locus</u>.

The Site is within a highly commercialized area and is in the midst of a very active transportation node that includes major MBTA bus lines and the Dudley Square MBTA station across Dudley Street.

Cruz Cares!

www.CruzCompanies.com Cruz Development 1 John Eliot Sq. Roxbury, MA 02119 T. (617) 445-6901 John B. Cruz Construction 1 John Eliot Sq. Roxbury, MA 02119 Cruz Management 434 Mass Ave. #300 Boston, MA 02118 Cruz became the tentative designated developer of the city of Boston owned site on July 17, 2019 because of the proposal's ability to provide a variety of housing types with mixed-income levels serving all members of the community. During the construction phase the project is projected to support full-time employment for 125 construction jobs from a variety of trades. The development plans will also include approximately 100 parking spaces to be made available to the general public, providing both short-term and long-term parking.

The Proposed Project will exceed the 50,000 square foot total build-out size requirement for a project in a Boston neighborhood and therefore will require preparation of filing(s) under the Large Project Review regulations, pursuant to Article 80 of the Code.

The Project Site is located in the Dudley Square Economic Development Area ("EDA") of the Roxbury Neighborhood Zoning District, Article 50 (Maps 6A-6C). The site is also within Neighborhood Design Review and Boulevard Planning subdistricts. While the proposed multi-family uses are "conditional" within the EDA subdistrict, the proposed retail uses are generally allowed uses. It is expected that relief will be required from the Boston Zoning Board of Appeal.

In support of the required Article 80 Large Project Review process, the Proponent will continue to conduct extensive community outreach with neighbors and abutters of the Project Site, including meetings and discussions with the Roxbury neighborhood and local elected and appointed officials for the neighborhood. The Proponent and its team will continue to meet with the BPDA and Department of Neighborhood Development project team being coordinated by Dana Whiteside, Deputy Director, Community Economic Development.

Thank you for your time and attention on our Proposed Project. Our team looks forward to working with you towards a successful outcome. We look forward to receiving any questions or comments that you may have regarding our Proposed Project.

Very truly yours, CRUZ DEVELOPMENT COPORATION

John B. Cruz III, President

Attachment: Figure 1: Project Locus

 cc: Jonathan Greeley, BRA, Director of Development Review and Policy Kim Janey, District 7-City Councilor
 Chynah Tyler, State Senator – 7th Suffolk District
 Daniel Joseph Ryan, State Representative – 2nd Suffolk District
 Jessica Thomas, Mayor's Office of Neighborhood Services, Roxbury
 Daniel Cruz, Jr. Cruz Development Corporation







135 Dudley Street

Figure 1. Project Locus 135 Dudley Street, Roxbury



Letter of Intent to File PNF

APPENDIX B – RESPONSES TO CLIMATE CHANGE QUESTIONNAIRE



A.1 - Project Information

Project Name:	135 Dudley	Street		
Project Address:	135 Dudley Street, Roxbury MA			
Project Address Additional:				
Filing Type (select)	PNF			
Filing Contact	Colleen Soden	Soden Sustainability	colleen@sodensustainability. com	617-372- 7857
Is MEPA approval required	Yes /no		Date 2020	

A.3 - Project Team

Owner / Developer:	Cruz Development Corporation One John Eliot Square Roxbury, MA 02119
	John B. Cruz III, President Daniel Cruz, Jr., Vice President
	Armond McCoy, Project Manager amccoy@cruzcompanies.com Tel: 617-445-6901
Architect:	The Architectural Team
Engineer:	Bryant Associates
Sustainability / LEED:	Soden Sustainability Consulting
Permitting:	MLF Consulting LLC
Construction Management:	Cruz Construction

A.3 - Project Description and Design Conditions

List the principal Building Uses:	The principal building use is residential R-2 with Group B (Business) and Group M (Mercantile) on the first floor.
List the First Floor Uses:	First floor consists of 15,512 SF of commercial, condominium and rental entry lobbies, bike storage, and structured parking.
List any Critical Site Infrastructure and or Building Uses:	5 Story structured parking garage located within the 9-story condominium building. Rooftop mechanical.

Site and Building:

Site Area:	69,835 SF	Building Area:	346,716 SF
Building Heights:	65 & 95 Ft	Building Height:	6 & 9 Stories

Existing Site Elevation – Low:	21 Ft BCB	Existing Site Elevation – High:	25 Ft BCB
Proposed Site Elevation – Low:	21Ft BCB	Proposed Site Elevation – High:	25Ft BCB
Proposed First Floor Elevation:	Ft BCB	Below grade levels:	9,6 Stories

Article 37 Green Building:

LEED Version - Rating System : Proposed LEED rating: BD&C v4 Certified/Silver/ Gold/Platinum

LEED Certification:	Yes / No
Proposed LEED point score:	64 Pts.

Building Envelope

When reporting R values, differentiate between R discontinuous and R continuous. For example, use "R13" to show R13 discontinuous and use R10c.i. to show R10 continuous. When reporting U value, report total assembly U value including supports and structural elements.

Roof:	45 ci (R)	Exposed Floor:	30 ci (R)	
Foundation Wall:	7.5 ci (R)	Slab Edge (at or below grade):	7.5 (R)	
Vertical Above-grade Assemblies (%'s are of total vertical area and together should total 100%):				

Area of Opaque Curtain Wall & Spandrel Assembly:	O (%)	Wall & Spandrel Assembly Value:	0.048 (U)
Area of Framed & Insulated / Standard Wall:	65.8 (%)	Wall Value	R13 + R13 ci (R)
Area of Vision Window:	33.7 %	Window Glazing Assembly Value:	0.40 (U)
		Window Glazing SHGC:	0.40 (SHGC)
Area of Doors:	0.5 %	Door Assembly Value:	0.5 (U)

Energy Loads and Performance

For this filing – describe how energy loads & performance were determined	Energy loads and performance were estimated using an eQuest 3.65 energy model based on the September 13, 2019 schematic drawings		
Annual Electric:	1,148,910 (kWh)	Peak Electric:	279.75 (kW)
Annual Heating:	1860 (MMbtu)	Peak Heating:	1.6 (MMbtu/hr)
Annual Cooling:	30,325 (Tons-hr)	Peak Cooling:	30.36 (Tons)
- Energy Use Below ASHRAE 90.1 - 2013:	29.4 %	Have the local utilities reviewed the building energy performance?:	Yes / no
Energy Use - Below Mass. Code:	28.2 %	Energy Use Intensity:	46 (kBtu/SF)

Number of Power Units:	1	
Fuel Source:	Natural Gas	

Back-up / Emergency Power System

Electrical Generation Output:	200 (kW)
System Type:	Ground

Emergency and Critical System Loads (in the event of a service interruption)

Electric:	50 (kW)	Heating:	0.75 (MMbtu/hr)
		Cooling:	15 (Tons/hr)

B – Greenhouse Gas Reduction and Net Zero / Net Positive Carbon Building Performance

Reducing GHG emissions is critical to avoiding more extreme climate change conditions. To achieve the City's goal of carbon neutrality by 2050 new buildings performance will need to progressively improve to net carbon zero and positive.

B.1 – GHG Emissions - Design Conditions

For this Filing - Annual Building GHG Emissions:

1,073 (Tons)

For this filing - describe how building energy performance has been integrated into project planning, design, and engineering and any supporting analysis or modeling:

High energy performance of the building has been incorporated in the project via condensing boilers, condensing DHW heaters, improved envelope, low flow hot water fixtures, and energy star appliances.

Describe building specific passive energy efficiency measures including orientation, massing, envelop, and systems:

There is passive energy savings in the orientation of the glazing as well as operable windows and sliders at the balconies.

Describe building specific active energy efficiency measures including equipment, controls, fixtures, and systems:

The high efficiency equipment includes: low flow plumbing fixtures, high efficiency condensing boilers, high efficiency condensing domestic hot water heaters, as well as variable speed hot water pumps.

Describe building specific load reduction strategies including on-site renewable, clean, and energy storage systems:

A PV array on the roof will provide on-site renewable energy. An onsite cogeneration plant is also being considered.

Describe any area or district scale emission reduction strategies including renewable energy, central energy plants, distributed energy systems, and smart grid infrastructure:

The PV array will provide onsite renewable energy that will provide energy directly to the building.

Describe any energy efficiency assistance or support provided or to be provided to the project:

There will not be any energy efficiency assistance offered except that tenants will pay for their own utilities which will encourage individuals to be energy efficient.

B.2 - GHG Reduction - Adaptation Strategies

Describe how the building and its systems will evolve to further reduce GHG emissions and achieve annual carbon net zero and net positive performance (e.g. added efficiency measures, renewable energy, energy storage, etc.) and the timeline for meeting that goal (by 2050):

The building design includes a solar PV array to offset electrical use and solar hot water heaters may be added in the future to reduce natural gas use in the building.

C - Extreme Heat Events

Annual average temperature in Boston increased by about 2°F in the past hundred years and will continue to rise due to climate change. By the end of the century, the average annual temperature could be 56° (compared to 46° now) and the number of days above 90° (currently about 10 a year) could rise to 90.

C.1 – Extreme Heat - Design Conditions

Temperature Range - Low:	3 Deg.	Temperature Range - High:	103 Deg.
Annual Heating Degree Days:	5,596	Annual Cooling Degree Days	900

What Extreme Heat Event characteristics will be / have been used for project planning

Days - Above 90°:	25 #	Days - Above 100°:	10 #
Number of Heatwaves / Year:	5 #	Average Duration of Heatwave (Days):	4 #

Describe all building and site measures to reduce heat-island effect at the site and in the surrounding area:

Heat island effect is reduced by incorporating reflective building materials as well as covered parking. The roof garden and white roof will also reduce the heat-island effect.

C.2 - Extreme Heat – Adaptation Strategies

Describe how the building and its systems will be adapted to efficiently manage future higher average temperatures, higher extreme temperatures, additional annual heatwaves, and longer heatwaves:

The building is cooled by many individual heat pumps that can operate independently to maintain indoor conditions at higher outdoor average temperatures.

Describe all mechanical and non-mechanical strategies that will support building functionality and use during extended interruptions of utility services and infrastructure including proposed and future adaptations:

Interruptions of power can be mitigated in the short term by the emergency generator. Longer power outages could require operable windows to provide ventilation and natural cooling.

D - Extreme Precipitation Events

From 1958 to 2010, there was a 70 percent increase in the amount of precipitation that fell on the days with the heaviest precipitation. Currently, the 10-Year, 24-Hour Design Storm precipitation level is 5.25". There is a significant probability that this will increase to at least 6" by the end of the century. Additionally, fewer, larger storms are likely to be accompanied by more frequent droughts.

D.1 – Extreme Precipitation - Design Conditions

10 Year, 24 Hour Design Storm:

6 In.

Describe all building and site measures for reducing storm water run-off:

On-site stormwater infiltration devices will be designed to accommodate 1.25" of runoff generated by on-site impervious surfaces.

D.2 - Extreme Precipitation - Adaptation Strategies

Describe how site and building systems will be adapted to efficiently accommodate future more significant rain events (e.g. rainwater harvesting, on-site storm water retention, bio swales, green roofs):

On-site stormwater infiltration devices will be designed to accommodate 1.25" of runoff generated by on-site impervious surfaces.

E – Sea Level Rise and Storms

Under any plausible greenhouse gas emissions scenario, sea levels in Boston will continue to rise throughout the century. This will increase the number of buildings in Boston susceptible to coastal flooding and the likely frequency of flooding for those already in the floodplain.

Is any portion of the site in a FEMA SFHA?	Yes / No	What Zone:	A, AE, AH, AO, AR, A99, V, VE
Currer	nt FEMA SFHA	Zone Base Flood Elevation:	Ft BCB
Is any portion of the site in a BPDA Sea Level Rise - Flood Hazard Area? Use the online <u>BPDA SLR-FHA Mapping Tool</u> to assess the susceptibility of the project site.	Yes / No		

If you answered YES to either of the above questions, please complete the following questions. Otherwise you have completed the questionnaire; thank you!

E.1 - Sea Level Rise and Storms - Design Conditions

Proposed projects should identify immediate and future adaptation strategies for managing the flooding scenario represented on the BPDA Sea Level Rise - Flood Hazard Area (SLR-FHA) map, which depicts a modeled 1% annual chance coastal flood event with 40 inches of sea level rise (SLR). Use the online <u>BPDA SLR-FHA Mapping Tool</u> to identify the highest Sea Level Rise - Base Flood Elevation for the site. The Sea Level Rise - Design Flood Elevation is determined by adding either 24" of freeboard for critical facilities and infrastructure and any ground floor residential units OR 12" of freeboard for other buildings and uses.

Sea Level Rise - Base Flood Elevation:	Ft BCB		
Sea Level Rise - Design Flood Elevation:	Ft BCB	First Floor Elevation:	Ft BCB
Site Elevations at Building:	Ft BCB	Accessible Route Elevation:	Ft BCB

Describe site design strategies for adapting to sea level rise including building access during flood events, elevated site areas, hard and soft barriers, wave / velocity breaks, storm water systems, utility services, etc.:

Describe how the proposed Building Design Flood Elevation will be achieved including dry / wet flood proofing, critical systems protection, utility service protection, temporary flood barriers, waste and drain water back flow prevention, etc.:

Describe how occupants might shelter in place during a flooding event including any emergency power, water, and waste water provisions and the expected availability of any such measures:

Describe any strategies that would support rapid recovery after a weather event:

E.2 – Sea Level Rise and Storms – Adaptation Strategies

Describe future site design and or infrastructure adaptation strategies for responding to sea level rise including future elevating of site areas and access routes, barriers, wave / velocity breaks, storm water systems, utility services, etc.:

Describe future building adaptation strategies for raising the Sea Level Rise Design Flood Elevation and further protecting critical systems, including permanent and temporary measures:

A pdf and word version of the Climate Resiliency Checklist is provided for informational use and off-line preparation of a project submission. NOTE: Project filings should be prepared and submitted using the online <u>Climate Resiliency Checklist</u>.

For questions or comments about this checklist or Climate Change best practices, please contact: <u>John.Dalzell@boston.gov</u>

135 Dudley St Condo, Roxbury, MA PNF Application Preliminary Energy Model Analysis

Summary

For the 135 Dudley Street Condo Project PNF application, an energy analysis was performed based on the geometry and orientation described in the September 13, 2019 schematic building drawings. Analysis was performed by Allison Gaiko, PE, LEED AP for Soden Sustainability Consulting using eQuest3.65 to compare the proposed design case to two baseline scenarios:

- Energy cost comparison to ASHRAE 90.1-2010 Appendix G in accordance with LEED v4 requirements
- Energy use comparison to ASHRAE 90.1-2013 in accordance with MA Energy Code requirements

Model Input Assumptions

Below is a table summarizing the input of the proposed design and two baseline energy models

		ASHRAE 90.1-2010	ASHRAE 90.1-2013	Proposed
SS	Roof	R20ci insulation – U-0.048	R30ci insulation – U-0.032	R45ci insulation - U-0.022
que 1blie	Above Grade Walls	R13 + R7.5ci – U-0.064	R13 + R10ci – U-0.055	R13 + R13ci – U-0.048
Opa ssen	Exposed Floor	R30 – U-0.038	R30 – U-0.038	R30 – U-0.038
Ä	Slab on Grade (unheated)	F-0.730	F-0.520	F-0.520
ızing	Metal Framing U-Factor (other)	Assembly U-0.55 Condo Assembly U-0.45 Retail	Assembly U-0.50 (operable) U-0.42 (fixed)	Assembly U-0.40
Gla	SHGC	Assembly SHGC - 0.4	Assembly SHGC - 0.4	Assembly SHGC - 0.4
	Residential Dwelling ³	0.90 W/SF	0.9 W/SF	0.9 W/SF
ting	Retail ³	1.68 W/SF	1.30 W/SF	1.26 W/SF
Ligh	Corridor/Transition ³	0.66 W/SF	0.59 W/SF	0.50 W/SF
	Parking Garage ³	0.19 W/SF	0.17 W/SF	0.14 W/SF
SS S	Residential Dwelling ¹	1.38 W/SF	1.38 W/SF	1.31 W/SF
oce	Corridor/Transition	0.2 W/SF	0.2 W/SF	0.2 W/SF
P	Elevator	30 kW/car	30 kW/car	30 kW/car

135 Dudley St Condo, Roxbury, MA PNF Application Preliminary Energy Model Analysis

_		ASHRAE 90.1-2010	ASHRAE 90.1-2013	Proposed
	Hot Water Heater Efficiency	80%	88%	95%
≥	Lavatory Sink Flow ²	2.2 GPM	2.2 GPM	0.5 GPM
НО	Kitchen Sink Flow ²	2.2 GPM	2.2 GPM	1.5 GPM
	Shower Flow ²	2.5 GPM	2.5 GPM	1.5 GPM
	Boiler ³	80%	88%	95%
AC	HW temperature	180 °F	180 °F	150 °F
Η	PTAC EER ³	9.3 EER	10.45 EER	13 EER
	Chiller ³	0.78 kW/ton	0.675 kW/ton	0.65 kW/ton

Please note that the energy model is not created to predict actual energy use for the proposed building but rather to compare energy consumption between the design case and baseline cases. Inputs such as occupancy, weather data and individual occupants' habits affect the proposed model's ability to predict energy use. For this reason, the baseline and design models were created with identical weather data as well as identical schedules for parameters such as occupancy, lighting EFLH (electrical full load hours), and temperature set points. Schedules were based on the EFLH Tab of the v4 Minimum Energy Performance Calculator created for LEED v4 and are summarized in the attached Appendix.

Table notes:

- 1. Reduction in plug load in the residential units is based on Energy Star appliances that have been incorporated in the project and has been calculated based on the Multi-Family Tab of the v4 Minimum Energy Performance Calculator created for LEED v4 and are summarized in the attached Appendix.
- 2. Reduction in domestic hot water flow in the residential units is based on the reduced flow fixtures and Energy Star appliances that have been incorporated in the project and has been calculated based on the Multi-Family Tab of the v4 Minimum Energy Performance Calculator created for LEED v4 and are summarized in the attached Appendix.
- In accordance with Massachusetts Code requirements section C406, two additional efficiency package options were included in the ASHARAE 90.1-2013 baseline case. The two options selected were (1) More efficient HVAC performance – Exceed energy efficiency provisions by 10% and (2) Reduced lighting power density by 10%.

135 Dudley St Condo, Roxbury, MA PNF Application Preliminary Energy Model Analysis

Model Results

The results of the 135 Dudley Street preliminary energy model analysis show:

- 27.5% annual energy cost reduction vs ASHRAE 90.1-2010 (12 LEEDv4 points)
- 28.2% annual energy use reduction vs ASHRAE 90.1-2013 (MA Energy Code)





135 Dudley St Condo, Roxbury, MA PNF Application Preliminary Energy Model Analysis

Most of the energy savings in the 135 Dudley Street Condo project are the result of efficient envelope design, reduced lighting power, condensing domestic hot water heaters and boilers, and solar photovoltaic panels; and are represented in the above graphs by reductions in space heating, interior lighting and service water heating energy use and cost. PV savings is shown as the yellow shaded block at the top of each design graph.

135 Dudley St Condo, Roxbury, MA PNF Application Preliminary Energy Model Analysis

Appendix

(OPTIONAL) Equivalent Full Load Hours Calculator

Default Schedules

Residential Dwelling Unit Default Schedules

Schedule Name:	Dwelling U	nit Thermo	ostat setpo	int schedul	e																					
	12 1AM	1 24 44	2 2 4 14	2 4 4 14	4.50M	5 GAM	6 7444	7 9444	8 0 AM	0.104.04	10 110 14	M 12DA	12.1DM	1 2DM	2 2DM	2 /DM	4 5DM	5 6DM	6 7DM	7 9014	9 0DM	0.10PM	10.11DM	11 12DM	Hours/dov	Hours/waar
Delle Heatle e Oate dat	12-174IVI	1-2/11VI	2-0/4101	3-4/4/0	4-3/4/9/	3-0/11/1	70.0	7-0/4101	0-9 AW	3=10/4W	70.0	70.0	12=1F W	1=2F IVI	2=3F IVI	J=4F IVI	4-JF W	J-0F W	0-7F W	7-0F W	0-9F W	3=10FW	70.0	70.0	Tiours/uay	nouis/year
Daily Heating Setpoint	70.0	70.0	70.0	70.0	70.0	70.0	72.0	72.0	72.0	72.0	72.0	72.0	72.0	72.0	72.0	72.0	72.0	72.0	72.0	72.0	72.0	72.0	72.0	70.0	24.00	8,760
Daily Cooling Setpoint	78.0	78.0	78.0	78.0	78.0	78.0	78.0	78.0	78.0	80.0	80.0	80.0	80.0	80.0	80.0	78.0	78.0	78.0	78.0	78.0	78.0	78.0	78.0	78.0	24.00	8,760
Schedule Name:	Dwelling U	nit Lighting	g Schedule	•																						
	12-1AM	1-2AM	2-3AM	3-4AM	4-5AM	5-6AM	6-7AM	7-8AM	8-9 AM	9-10AM	10-11AM 1A	M-12PN	12-1PM	1-2PM	2-3PM	3-4PM	4-5PM	5-6PM	6-7PM	7-8PM	8-9PM	9-10PM	10-11PM	11-12PM	EFLH/day	EFLH/year
Weekday	1.6%	1.6%	1.6%	1.6%	1.6%	1.6%	7.7%	14.0%	14.0%	10.8%	10.8%	10.8%	7.7%	7.7%	7.7%	7.7%	7.7%	10.8%	21.7%	21.7%	21.7%	21.7%	18.6%	1.6%	2.34	585
Weekend	1.6%	1.6%	1.6%	1.6%	1.6%	1.6%	7.7%	14.0%	14.0%	10.8%	10.8%	10.8%	7.7%	7.7%	7.7%	7.7%	7.7%	10.8%	21.7%	21.7%	21.7%	21.7%	18.6%	1.6%	2.34	243
Holiday	1.6%	1.6%	1.6%	1.6%	1.6%	1.6%	7.7%	14.0%	14.0%	10.8%	10.8%	10.8%	7.7%	7.7%	7.7%	7.7%	7.7%	10.8%	21.7%	21.7%	21.7%	21.7%	18.6%	1.6%	2.34	26
Total Equivalent Full Load Hours of Op	eration per '	rear 🛛																								854
Schedule Name:	Dwelling Unit Miscellaneous Equipment Schedule																									
	12-1AM	1-2AM	2-3AM	3-4AM	4-5AM	5-6AM	6-7AM	7-8AM	8-9 AM	9-10AM	10-11AM 1A	M-12PN	12-1PM	1-2PM	2-3PM	3-4PM	4-5PM	5-6PM	6-7PM	7-8PM	8-9PM	9-10PM	10-11PM	11-12PM	EFLH/day	EFLH/year
Weekday	5%	5%	5%	5%	5%	5%	5%	5%	50%	50%	50%	50%	30%	50%	50%	50%	50%	50%	35%	5%	5%	5%	5%	5%	5.80	1,450
Weekend	5%	5%	5%	5%	5%	5%	5%	5%	50%	50%	50%	50%	30%	50%	50%	50%	50%	50%	35%	5%	5%	5%	5%	5%	5.80	603
Holiday	5%	5%	5%	5%	5%	5%	5%	5%	50%	50%	50%	50%	30%	50%	50%	50%	50%	50%	35%	5%	5%	5%	5%	5%	5.80	64
Total Equivalent Full Load Hours of Op	eration per '	í ear																								2,117
Oshadala Nama	Destates				F	0.1.1.1.																				
Schedule Name:	Residential Common Area Miscellaneous Equipment Schedule																									
	12-1AM	1-2AM	2-3AM	3-4AM	4-5AM	5-6AM	6-7AM	7-8AM	8-9 AM	9-10AM	10-11AM 1A	M-12PN	12-1PM	1-2PM	2-3PM	3-4PM	4-5PM	5-6PM	6-7PM	7-8PM	8-9PM	9-10PM	10-11PM	11-12PM	EFLH/day	EFLH/year
Weekday	10%	10%	10%	10%	10%	30%	45%	45%	45%	45%	30%	30%	30%	30%	30%	30%	30%	30%	60%	80%	90%	80%	60%	30%	9.00	2,250
Weekend	10%	10%	10%	10%	10%	30%	45%	45%	45%	45%	30%	30%	30%	30%	30%	30%	30%	30%	60%	80%	90%	80%	60%	30%	9.00	936
Holiday	10%	10%	10%	10%	10%	30%	45%	45%	45%	45%	30%	30%	30%	30%	30%	30%	30%	30%	60%	80%	90%	80%	60%	30%	9.00	99
Total Equivalent Full Load Hours of Op	eration per '	/ear																								3,285
Schedule Name:	Residentia	I DHW Sc	hedule																							
	12-1AM	1-2AM	2-3AM	3-4AM	4-5AM	5-6AM	6-7AM	7-8AM	8-9 AM	9-10AM	10-11AM 1A	M-12PN	12-1PM	1-2PM	2-3PM	3-4PM	4-5PM	5-6PM	6-7PM	7-8PM	8-9PM	9-10PM	10-11PM	11-12PM	EFLH/day	EFLH/year
Weekday	5%	5%	5%	5%	5%	5%	30%	50%	40%	30%	30%	35%	40%	35%	35%	30%	30%	50%	50%	40%	35%	45%	30%	5%	6.70	1,675
Weekend	5%	5%	5%	5%	5%	5%	30%	50%	40%	30%	30%	35%	40%	35%	35%	30%	30%	50%	50%	40%	35%	45%	30%	5%	6.70	697
Holiday	5%	5%	5%	5%	5%	5%	30%	50%	40%	30%	30%	35%	40%	35%	35%	30%	30%	50%	50%	40%	35%	45%	30%	5%	6.70	74
Total Equivalent Full Load Hours of Op	eration per '	rear 🛛																								2,446
-																										
Schedule Name:	Apt Elev]												
	12-1AN	1-2AM	2-3AM	3-4AM	4-5AM	5-6AM	6-7AM	7-8AM	8-9 AM	9-10AM	10-11AM 1/	AM-12PM	12-1PM	1-2PM	2-3PM	3-4PM	4-5PM	5-6PM	6-7PM	7-8PM	8-9PM	9-10PM	10-11PM	11-12PM	EFLH/day	EFLH/year
Weekday	59	6 59	6 5	6 59	6 5%	5%	6 20%	40%	6 50%	6 40%	6 40%	35%	35%	30%	30%	30%	40%	45%	50%	40%	35%	30%	10%	5%	6.35	1.588

5% 5% 5% 5% 5% 5% 10% 25% 50% 50% 50% 40% 45% 45% 45% 40% 45% 45% 40% 45% 45% 30% 30% 25% 15%

 5%
 5%
 5%
 5%
 10%
 25%
 50%
 50%
 40%
 45%
 40%
 40%
 50%
 45%
 30%
 30%
 25%
 15%

7.10

7.10

738

2,404

78

Weekend

5%

Total Equivalent Full Load Hours of Operation per Year

Holiday

Appendix B.1 135 Dudley St Condo, Roxbury, MA PNF Application Preliminary Energy Model Analysis

Schedule Name:	Retail H\	AC System	n - ON/OF	F Schedule	,																					
	12-1AM	1-2AM	2-3AM	3-4AM	4-5AM	5-6AM	6-7AM	7-8AM	8-9 AM	9-10AM	10-11AM	1AM-12PN	12-1PM	1-2PM	2-3PM	3-4PM	4-5PM	5-6PM	6-7PM	7-8PM	8-9PM	9-10PM	10-11PM	11-12PM	Hours/day	Hours/year
Weekday	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	0	0	0	15.00	3,750
Saturday	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	0	0	16.00	832
Sunday	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	9.00	468
Holiday	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	9.00	99
Total hours of operation per year																										5,149
Schedule Name:	Retail Oc	cupancy So	chedule																							
	12-1AM	1-2AM	2-3AM	3-4AM	4-5AM	5-6AM	6-7AM	7-8AM	8-9 AM	9-10AM	10-11AM	1AM-12PN	12-1PM	1-2PM	2-3PM	3-4PM	4-5PM	5-6PM	6-7PM	7-8PM	8-9PM	9-10PM	10-11PM	11-12PM	EFLH/day	EFLH/year
Weekday	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	10%	20%	50%	50%	70%	70%	70%	70%	80%	70%	50%	50%	30%	30%	0%	0%	0%	7.20	1,800
Saturday	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	10%	20%	50%	60%	80%	80%	80%	80%	80%	80%	60%	20%	20%	20%	10%	0%	0%	7.50	390
Sunday	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	10%	20%	20%	40%	40%	40%	40%	40%	20%	10%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	2.80	146
Holiday	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	10%	20%	20%	40%	40%	40%	40%	40%	20%	10%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	2.80	31
Total Equivalent Full Load Hours of C	0% 0%<															2.366										
Schedule Name:	Retail Lig	hting Sche	dule																							
	12-1AM	1-2AM	2-3AM	3-4AM	4-5AM	5-6AM	6-7AM	7-8AM	8-9 AM	9-10AM	10-11AM	1AM-12PN	12-1PM	1-2PM	2-3PM	3-4PM	4-5PM	5-6PM	6-7PM	7-8PM	8-9PM	9-10PM	10-11PM	11-12PM	EFLH/day	EFLH/year
Weekdau	5%	5%	5%	5%	5%	5%	5%	20%	50%	85%	85%	85%	85%	85%	85%	85%	85%	85%	55%	55%	50%	20%	5%	5%	10.60	2.650
Saturdau	5%	5%	5%	5%	5%	5%	5%	10%	30%	55%	85%	85%	85%	85%	85%	85%	85%	85%	50%	30%	30%	10%	5%	5%	9.40	489
Sundau	5%	5%	5%	5%	5%	5%	5%	5%	10%	10%	40%	40%	55%	55%	55%	55%	55%	40%	20%	5%	5%	5%	5%	5%	5.00	260
Holidau	5%	5%	5%	5%	5%	5%	5%	5%	10%	10%	40%	40%	55%	55%	55%	55%	55%	40%	20%	5%	5%	5%	5%	5%	5.00	55
Total Equivalent Full Load Hours of C	peration p	er Year																								3 454
																										-,
Schedule Name:	Retail Re	ceptacle S	chedule																							
	12-1AM	1-2AM	2-3AM	3-4AM	4-5AM	5-6AM	6-7AM	7-8AM	8-9 AM	9-10AM	10-11AM	1AM-12PN	12-1PM	1-2PM	2-3PM	3-4PM	4-5PM	5-6PM	6-7PM	7-8PM	8-9PM	9-10PM	10-11PM	11-12PM	EFLH/day	EFLH/year
Weekday	5%	5%	5%	5%	5%	5%	5%	20%	50%	90%	90%	90%	90%	90%	90%	90%	90%	90%	60%	60%	50%	20%	5%	5%	11.15	2,788
Saturday	5%	5%	5%	5%	5%	5%	5%	10%	30%	60%	90%	90%	90%	90%	90%	90%	90%	90%	50%	30%	30%	10%	5%	5%	9.85	512
Sunday	5%	5%	5%	5%	5%	5%	5%	5%	10%	10%	40%	40%	55%	55%	55%	55%	55%	40%	20%	5%	5%	5%	5%	5%	5.00	260
Holiday	5%	5%	5%	5%	5%	5%	5%	5%	10%	10%	40%	40%	55%	55%	55%	55%	55%	40%	20%	5%	5%	5%	5%	5%	5.00	55
Total Equivalent Full Load Hours of C	peration p	er Year																								3,615
Schedule Name:	Retail DH	IV Schedul	le																							
	12-1AM	1-2AM	2-3AM	3-4AM	4-5AM	5-6AM	6-7AM	7-8AM	8-9 AM	9-10AM	10-11AM	1AM-12PN	12-1PM	1-2PM	2-3PM	3-4PM	4-5PM	5-6PM	6-7PM	7-8PM	8-9PM	9-10PM	10-11PM	11-12PM	EFLH/day	EFLH/year
Weekdau	4%	5%	5%	4%	4%	4%	4%	15%	23%	32%	41%	57%	62%	61%	50%	45%	46%	47%	42%	34%	33%	23%	13%	8%	6.62	1,655
Saturdau	11%	10%	8%	6%	6%	6%	7%	20×	24%	27%	42%	54%	59%	60×	49%	48%	47%	46%	44%	36%	29%	22%	16×	13×	6,90	359
Sundau	7%	7%	7%	6%	6%	6%	7%	10%	12%	14%	29%	31%	36%	36%	34×	35%	37%	34%	25%	27%	21%	16%	10%	6%	4,59	239
Holidau	7%	72	7%	B%	6%	6%	7%	10%	12%	14%	29%	31%	36%	36%	34%	35%	37%	34%	25%	27%	21%	16%	10%	6%	4,59	50
Total Equivalent Full Load Hours of C	peration p	er Year	12		571							- VI			• //•			\$171			-1/1					2 303

135 Dudley St Condo, Roxbury, MA PNF Application Preliminary Energy Model Analysis

Multifamily Home Details

Complete the table for each building in the project. Input the number of units and the average floor area for units with the corresponding bedroom number.

Building Unit summary

	Stu	oibu	1Bed	room	2 Bedr	rooms	3 Bed	rooms	4 Bed	rooms	5 Bed	rooms	6 Bedi	rooms	7 Bedi	rooms	8 Bed	rooms
Building ID	Qty	Average Floor Area (sq	Qty	Average Floor Area (sq	Qty	Average Floor Area (sq	Qty	Average Floor Area (sq	Qty	Average Floor Area (sq	Qty	Average Floor Area (sq	Qty	Average Floor Area (sq	Qty	Average Floor Area (sq	Qty	Average Floor Area (sq
135 Dudley St Condo			15	800	84	1,200	7	1,350										
Total number of units																		106
Total number of bedrooms												204						
Total Area of Dwelling Units (square feet)												122,250						

Building ID	Total Number of Units	Total Number of Bedrroms	Total Area of Dwelling Units (square feet)	Average Number of Bedrooms Per Unit	Average Floor Area per Unit (square feet)	Average Floor Area Per Unit for Reference Building (square feet)
135 Dudley St Condo	106	204	122,250	1.92	1,153	1,554

Homes Dwelling Unit Equipment Calculator

Enter the appliances and equipment that is present in the residential dwelling units for the project. For clothes washers and dryers, enter the quantity of each unit installed within the project scope of work. For fans, enter the total supply volume for all fans installed for the project.

		Quantity		Average		Electric	Loads			Natural G	ias Loads		Annual Sei	rvice Hot Wa	ater Load (g	allons/year)
Building ID Load Source	(or sum total fan volume	Energy Star Eqp?	bedrooms per dwolling	Annual Co (kWh	nsumption /year)	Sensible	Latent	Annual Co (therm	onsumption hs/year)	Sensible	Latent	Baseline Per	Proposed Per	Baseline	Propose	
		[cfm] for fans)		unit	Baseline	Proposed	Hatio	Hatto	Baseline	Proposed	Hatio	Hatio	Equipmen t	Equipmen t	Total	diotai
135 Dudley St C	Refrigerator	106	Yes	1.924528	56074	44838	1	0	0	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0
135 Dudley St C	Dishwasher	106	Yes	1.924528	21836	17384	0.6	0.15	0	0	0.00	0.00	1290.00	860.00	136740.00	91160
135 Dudley St C	Cooking (electric stove/range)	106	Yes	1.924528	64024	64024	0.4	0.3	0	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0
135 Dudley St C	Clothes Dryer (In-unit electric)	106	Yes	1.924528	72664	72664	0.15	0.05	0	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0
135 Dudley St Cor	Bath / Utility Fan, 10 to 89 cfm	2825	Yes	1.924528	1,719	1,473	0	0	0	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.0	0.0
135 Dudley St Cor	Bath / Utility Fan, 10 to 89 cfm	5300	Yes	1.924528	3,224	2,764	0	0	0	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.0	0.0
135 Dudley St Cor	Clothes Washer (In-unit)	106	Yes	1.924528	8,586	6,042	0.8	0	0	0	0.00	0.00	2,435.80	1,127.40	258,194.8	119,504.4

Homes Dwelling Unit Equipment Modeling Summary

Report the modeled Receptacle Equipment and Appliances Equivalent Full Load Hours of Operation in the Schedules tab before referring to the table below. The Equivalent Full Load Hours of Operation is used to calculate the equipment power density for resdential dwelling units that must be modeled based on the building equipment reported for the building. After confirming the Equivalent Full Load Hours of Operation in the schedules tab, use the values below for the Baseline and Proposed Miscellaneous Equipment Loads in the Dwelling Units. These loads include 0.5 Vatts per square foot of electric miscellaneous equipment load with a 0.9 sensible ratio and 0.1 latent ratio in addition to the equipment load sources selected above.

	Building ID	Equivalent Full Load Hours of	Total Area of	Lieotho	appliance Baseline	eous Loa es and equ	as in Dweilir Jipment liste	ng Unit (inc ed above) Proposed	luaing	Natura	l Gas Misc applianc Baseline	ellaneous L es and equ	oads in Dwel ipment listed	lling Unit (inc Labove) Proposed	luding	Equipr Water	ng onit hent Hot Loads
	Building ID	Dwelling Unit Miscellaneous Equipment Operation Per Year	Dwelling Units (square feet)	Equipmen t Power Density (Watts/sq ft)	Sensible Ratio	Latent Ratio	Equipmen t Power Density (Watts/ sq ft)	Sensible Ratio	Latent Ratio	Equipmen t Power Density (Btul sq ft)	Sensible Ratio	Latent Ratio	Equipmen t Power Density (Btuł sq ft)	Sensible Ratio	Latent Ratio	Base	Propose d
Page 7 1	35 Dudley St Cor	2,117	122250	1.38	0.64	0.11	1.31	0.63	0.11	0.00			0.00			394934.80	210664.40

<u>Appendix B.1</u> 135 Dudley St Condo, Roxbury, MA PNF Application Preliminary Energy Model Analysis

Homes Service Water Heating Load Summary

	Residential Usage Profile Dependent on Project Demographics	Baseline Reside Excluding Clo	ential Usage per person othes / Dish Washers
Low	Demographics such as all occupants working, seniors, middle income, and higher population density.	12	gallons/day
Medium	Demographics such as mixture of working / non-working occupants, mixture of age groups, medium population densities.	25	gallons/day
High	Demographics such high percentages of children, low income, public assistance, or no occupants working.	44	gallons/day

Report the modeled Service Water Heating Full Load Hours of Operation in the Schedules tab before referring to the table below. The Equivalent Full Load Hours of Operation is used to calculate the DHW modeled peak residential flow a the DHW Heater that must be modeled to be consistent with the annual hot water consumption calculated here. After confirming the Equivalent Full Load Hours of Operation in the schedules tab, Identify the residential service water heating usage profile, and the average fixture flows for sink and shower fixtures. Supply temperature at fixture point of use shall be 120 degrees F. If the modeled supply DHW temperature from the DHW heater is higher than this, indicate the supply DHW temperature from the DHW heater and the average cold water input temperature below.

This information along with the appliance information entered above and the schedule data from the schedules tab is used to determine the DHW modeled Peak Flow at DHW heater, which should be input into the energy model.

		Average Ele	e Fixture	DHW T	emperature (degrees F)	Settings	DHW S Shower Fis	Sink and «ture Loads	DHW Appliance	In-Unit • Loads at	DHW Lau Equipme	ndry Room nt Loads at	DH'w Residenti	' Total al Loads at	DH'w Residenti	/ Total al Loads at	DHW	DHV Moo Resident	leled Peak ial Flow at
Building ID	Residential Usage Profile	(gallons	s/minute)	DHW	Average Cold	DHW Temp at	at Poin (gallon	it of Use is ∤year)	Point of U / y	se (gallons ear)	Point of U / y	lse (gallons ear)	Point of U /y	se (gallons ear)	DHW Hea 7 y	ter (gallons ear)	Equivalent Full Load	DHW Heal 7 mii	er (gallons nute)
Building ID		Showers	Sinks	Supply Temp	Water Temp	Fixture Point of Use	Baseline	Proposed	Baseline	Proposed	Baseline	Proposed	Baseline	Proposed	Baseline	Proposed	Hours of Operation	Baseline	Proposed
135 Dudley St Cor	High	1.50	0.50	135.0	50.0	120.0	3,276,240	2,315,408	394,935	210,664	0	0	3,671,175	2,526,073	3,023,320	2,080,235	2,446	20.605	14.178

135 Dudley St Condo, Roxbury, MA PNF Application Preliminary Energy Model Analysis

RESULTS		130.327 k	Wh/Year*
Print Results	System output may range fro	om 125,088 to 135,071 kWh pei Click HEF	r year near this location. RE for more information.
Month	Solar Radiation (kWh / m ² / day)	AC Energy (kWh)	Value (\$)
January	3.14	7,933	952
February	3.95	8,916	1,070
March	4.66	11,509	1,381
April	5.51	12,569	1,508
Мау	5.60	13,032	1,564
June	6.06	13,423	1,611
July	6.54	14,761	1,771
August	5.96	13,503	1,620
September	5.27	11,735	1,408
October	3.98	9,419	1,130
November	2.94	6,998	840
December	2.61	6,529	784
Annual	4.69	130,327	\$ 15,639

135 Dudley St Condo, Roxbury, MA PNF Application Preliminary Energy Model Analysis

Location and Station Identifica	tion
Requested Location	135 Dudley St, Roxbury, MA
Weather Data Source	Lat, Lon: 42.33, -71.1 0.8 mi
Latitude	42.33° N
Longitude	71.1° W

135 Dudley St Apartment, Roxbury, MA PNF Application Preliminary Energy Model Analysis

Summary

For the 135 Dudley Street Apartment PNF application, an energy analysis was performed based on the geometry and orientation described in the September 13, 2019 schematic building drawings. Analysis was performed by Allison Gaiko, PE, LEED AP for Soden Sustainability Consulting using eQuest3.65 to compare the proposed design case to two baseline scenarios:

- Energy cost comparison to ASHRAE 90.1-2010 Appendix G in accordance with LEED v4 requirements
- Energy use comparison to ASHRAE 90.1-2013 in accordance with MA Energy Code requirements

Model Input Assumptions

Below is a table summarizing the input of the proposed design and two baseline energy models

		ASHRAE 90.1-2010	ASHRAE 90.1-2013	Proposed
SS	Roof	R20ci insulation – U-0.048	R30ci insulation – U-0.032	R45ci insulation - U-0.022
que 1blie	Above Grade Walls	R13 + R7.5ci – U-0.064	R13 + R10ci – U-0.055	R13 + R13ci – U-0.048
Opa ssen	Exposed Floor	R30 – U-0.038	R30 – U-0.038	R30 – U-0.038
Ă	Slab on Grade (unheated)	F-0.730	F-0.520	F-0.520
ızing	Metal Framing U-Factor (other)	Assembly U-0.55 Apartment Assembly U-0.45 Retail	Assembly U-0.50 (operable) U-0.42 (fixed)	Assembly U-0.40
Gla	SHGC	Assembly SHGC - 0.4	Assembly SHGC - 0.4	Assembly SHGC - 0.4
	Residential Dwelling ³	0.90 W/SF	0.9 W/SF	0.9 W/SF
ting	Retail ³	1.68 W/SF	1.30 W/SF	1.26 W/SF
Ligh	Corridor/Transition ³	0.66 W/SF	0.59 W/SF	0.50 W/SF
	Parking Garage ³	0.19 W/SF	0.17 W/SF	0.14 W/SF
SS	Residential Dwelling ¹	1.38 W/SF	1.38 W/SF	1.31 W/SF
oce	Corridor/Transition	0.2 W/SF	0.2 W/SF	0.2 W/SF
P –	Elevator	30 kW/car	30 kW/car	30 kW/car

135 Dudley St Apartment, Roxbury, MA PNF Application Preliminary Energy Model Analysis

		ASHRAE 90.1-2010	ASHRAE 90.1-2013	Proposed
	Hot Water Heater Efficiency	80%	88%	95%
≥	Lavatory Sink Flow ²	2.2 GPM	2.2 GPM	0.5 GPM
НО	Kitchen Sink Flow ²	2.2 GPM	2.2 GPM	1.5 GPM
	Shower Flow ²	2.5 GPM	2.5 GPM	1.5 GPM
	Boiler ³	80%	88%	95%
AC	HW temperature	180 °F	180 °F	150 °F
Η	PTAC EER ³	9.3 EER	10.45 EER	13 EER
	Chiller ³	0.78 kW/ton	0.675 kW/ton	0.65 kW/ton

Please note that the energy model is not created to predict actual energy use for the proposed building but rather to compare energy consumption between the design case and baseline cases. Inputs such as occupancy, weather data and individual occupants' habits affect the proposed model's ability to predict energy use. For this reason, the baseline and design models were created with identical weather data as well as identical schedules for parameters such as occupancy, lighting EFLH (electrical full load hours), and temperature set points. Schedules were based on the EFLH Tab of the v4 Minimum Energy Performance Calculator created for LEED v4 and are summarized in the attached Appendix.

Table notes:

- 1. Reduction in plug load in the residential units is based on Energy Star appliances that have been incorporated in the project and has been calculated based on the Multi-Family Tab of the v4 Minimum Energy Performance Calculator created for LEED v4 and are summarized in the attached Appendix.
- 2. Reduction in domestic hot water flow in the residential units is based on the reduced flow fixtures and Energy Star appliances that have been incorporated in the project and has been calculated based on the Multi-Family Tab of the v4 Minimum Energy Performance Calculator created for LEED v4 and are summarized in the attached Appendix.
- In accordance with Massachusetts Code requirements section C406, two additional efficiency package options were included in the ASHARAE 90.1-2013 baseline case. The two options selected were (1) More efficient HVAC performance – Exceed energy efficiency provisions by 10% and (2) Reduced lighting power density by 10%.

135 Dudley St Apartment, Roxbury, MA PNF Application Preliminary Energy Model Analysis

Model Results

The results of the 135 Dudley Street Apartment Building preliminary energy model analysis show:

- 29.7% annual energy cost reduction vs ASHRAE 90.1-2010 (12 LEEDv4 points)
- 24.8% annual energy use reduction vs ASHRAE 90.1-2013 (MA Energy Code)



135 Dudley St Apartment, Roxbury, MA PNF Application Preliminary Energy Model Analysis

Most of the energy savings in the 135 Dudley Street Apartment are the result of efficient envelope design, reduced lighting power, condensing domestic hot water heaters and boilers, and solar photovoltaic panels; and are represented in the above graphs by reductions in space heating, interior lighting and service water heating energy use and cost. PV savings is shown as the yellow shaded block at the top of each design graph.

135 Dudley St Apartment, Roxbury, MA PNF Application Preliminary Energy Model Analysis

Appendix

(OPTIONAL) Equivalent Full Load Hours Calculator

Default Schedules

Residential Dwelling Unit Default Schedules

Schedule Name:	Dwelling U	nit Thermo	stat setpo	int schedu	le																					
	12-1AM	1-2AM	2-3AM	3-4AM	4-5AM	5-6AM	6-7AM	7-8AM	8-9 AM	9-10AM	10-11AM 1A	M-12PN	12-1PM	1-2PM	2-3PM	3-4PM	4-5PM	5-6PM	6-7PM	7-8PM	8-9PM	9-10PM	10-11PM	11-12PM	Hours/day	Hours/year
Daily Heating Setpoint	70.0	70.0	70.0	70.0	70.0	70.0	72.0	72.0	72.0	72.0	72.0	72.0	72.0	72.0	72.0	72.0	72.0	72.0	72.0	72.0	72.0	72.0	72.0	70.0	24.00	8,760
Daily Cooling Setpoint	78.0	78.0	78.0	78.0	78.0	78.0	78.0	78.0	78.0	80.0	80.0	80.0	80.0	80.0	80.0	78.0	78.0	78.0	78.0	78.0	78.0	78.0	78.0	78.0	24.00	8,760
Schedule Name:	Dwelling U	nit Lighting	g Schedule	9																						
	12-1AM	1-2AM	2-3AM	3-4AM	4-5AM	5-6AM	6-7AM	7-8AM	8-9 AM	9-10AM	10-11AM 1A	M-12PN	12-1PM	1-2PM	2-3PM	3-4PM	4-5PM	5-6PM	6-7PM	7-8PM	8-9PM	9-10PM	10-11PM	11-12PM	EFLH/day	EFLH/year
Weekday	1.6%	1.6%	1.6%	1.6%	1.6%	1.6%	7.7%	14.0%	14.0%	10.8%	10.8%	10.8%	7.7%	7.7%	7.7%	7.7%	7.7%	10.8%	21.7%	21.7%	21.7%	21.7%	18.6%	1.6%	2.34	585
Weekend	1.6%	1.6%	1.6%	1.6%	1.6%	1.6%	7.7%	14.0%	14.0%	10.8%	10.8%	10.8%	7.7%	7.7%	7.7%	7.7%	7.7%	10.8%	21.7%	21.7%	21.7%	21.7%	18.6%	1.6%	2.34	243
Holiday	1.6%	1.6%	1.6%	1.6%	1.6%	1.6%	7.7%	14.0%	14.0%	10.8%	10.8%	10.8%	7.7%	7.7%	7.7%	7.7%	7.7%	10.8%	21.7%	21.7%	21.7%	21.7%	18.6%	1.6%	2.34	26
Total Equivalent Full Load Hours of	Operation per Y	'ear																								854
-																										
Schedule Name:	Dwelling U	nit Miscell	aneous Eo	quipment S	chedule																					
	12-1AM	1-2AM	2-3AM	3-4AM	4-5AM	5-6AM	6-7AM	7-8AM	8-9 AM	9-10AM	10-11AM 1A	M-12PN	12-1PM	1-2PM	2-3PM	3-4PM	4-5PM	5-6PM	6-7PM	7-8PM	8-9PM	9-10PM	10-11PM	11-12PM	EFLH/day	EFLH/year
Weekday	5%	5%	5%	5%	5%	5%	5%	5%	50%	50%	50%	50%	30%	50%	50%	50%	50%	50%	35%	5%	5%	5%	5%	5%	5.80	1,450
Weekend	5%	5%	5%	5%	5%	5%	5%	5%	50%	50%	50%	50%	30%	50%	50%	50%	50%	50%	35%	5%	5%	5%	5%	5%	5.80	603
Holiday	5%	5%	5%	5%	5%	5%	5%	5%	50%	50%	50%	50%	30%	50%	50%	50%	50%	50%	35%	5%	5%	5%	5%	5%	5.80	64
Total Equivalent Full Load Hours of	Operation per Y	'ear									··															2,117
Schedule Name:	Residentia	Common	Area Mis	cellaneous	Equipment	Schedule																				
	12-1AM	1-2AM	2-3AM	3-4AM	4-5AM	5-6AM	6-7AM	7-8AM	8-9 AM	9-10AM	10-11AM 1A	M-12PN	12-1PM	1-2PM	2-3PM	3-4PM	4-5PM	5-6PM	6-7PM	7-8PM	8-9PM	9-10PM	10-11PM	11-12PM	EFLH/day	EFLH/year
Weekday	10%	10%	10%	10%	10%	30%	45%	45%	45%	45%	30%	30%	30%	30%	30%	30%	30%	30%	60%	80%	90%	80%	60%	30%	9.00	2,250
Weekend	10%	10%	10%	10%	10%	30%	45%	45%	45%	45%	30%	30%	30%	30%	30%	30%	30%	30%	60%	80%	90%	80%	60%	30%	9.00	936
Holiday	10%	10%	10%	10%	10%	30%	45%	45%	45%	45%	30%	30%	30%	30%	30%	30%	30%	30%	60%	80%	90%	80%	60%	30%	9.00	99
Total Equivalent Full Load Hours of	Operation per Y	'ear																								3,285
Schedule Name:	Residentia	DHW Sc	hedule																							
	12-1AM	1-2AM	2-3AM	3-4AM	4-5AM	5-6AM	6-7AM	7-8AM	8-9 AM	9-10AM	10-11AM 1A	M-12PN	12-1PM	1-2PM	2-3PM	3-4PM	4-5PM	5-6PM	6-7PM	7-8PM	8-9PM	9-10PM	10-11PM	11-12PM	EFLH/day	EFLH/year
Weekday	5%	5%	5%	5%	5%	5%	30%	50%	40%	30%	30%	35%	40%	35%	35%	30%	30%	50%	50%	40%	35%	45%	30%	5%	6 70	1 675
Weekend	5%	5%	5%	5%	5%	5%	30%	50%	40%	30%	30%	35%	40%	35%	35%	30%	30%	50%	50%	40%	35%	45%	30%	5%	6 70	697
Holiday	5%	5%	5%	5%	5%	5%	30%	50%	40%	30%	30%	35%	40%	35%	35%	30%	30%	50%	50%	40%	35%	45%	30%	5%	6 70	74
Total Equivalent Full Load Hours of (Operation per V	(ear	570	570	576	570	0070	5070	4070	5070	3070	5570	4070	5570	0070	50 /0	0070	5070	5070	4070	0070	4070	0070	570	0.70	2 446
Schedule Name:	Apt Elev]												
	12-1AM	1-2AM	2-3AM	3-4AM	4-5AM	5-6AM	6-7AM	7-8AM	8-9 AM	9-10AM	10-11AM 1A	M-12PN	12-1PM	1-2PM	2-3PM	3-4PM	4-5PM	5-6PM	6-7PM	7-8PM	8-9PM	9-10PM	10-11PM	11-12PM	EFLH/day	EFLH/year
Weekday	5%	5 59	6 5	% 5%	6 5%	5%	6 20%	40%	6 50%	40%	6 40%	35%	35%	30%	30%	30%	40%	45%	50%	40%	35%	30%	10%	5%	6.35	1,588
Weekend	5%	59	6 5	% 59	6 5%	5%	6 10%	25%	6 50%	50%	6 50%	40%	45%	45%	40%	40%	50%	45%	45%	45%	30%	30%	25%	15%	7.10	738

 5%
 5%
 5%
 5%
 10%
 25%
 50%
 50%
 40%
 45%
 40%
 40%
 50%
 45%
 30%
 30%
 25%
 15%

78

2,404

7.10

Holiday

5%

Total Equivalent Full Load Hours of Operation per Year

135 Dudley St Apartment, Roxbury, MA PNF Application Preliminary Energy Model Analysis

Schedule Name:	Retail HV	AC System	n - ON/OFF	F Schedule	•																					
	12-1AM	1-2AM	2-3AM	3-4AM	4-5AM	5-6AM	6-7AM	7-8AM	8-9 AM	9-10AM	10-11AM	1AM-12PN	12-1PM	1-2PM	2-3PM	3-4PM	4-5PM	5-6PM	6-7PM	7-8PM	8-9PM	9-10PM	10-11PM	11-12PM	Hours/dau	Hours/uear
Weekdau	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	0	0	0	15.00	3.750
Saturdau	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	0	0	16.00	832
Sundau	0	0	0	0	0	0	. 0	. 0	1	1	. 1	. 1	1	1	1	1	. 1		. 0		. 0	. 0	0	0	9.00	468
Holidau	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	. 1	. 1	. 1	. 1	1	. 1	1	1	. 1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	9.00	.00
Total hours of operation per year					•													•	•	•		Ŧ		•		5.149
Schedule Name:	Retail Oc	cupancy S	chedule																							
	12-1AM	1-2AM	2-3AM	3-4AM	4-5AM	5-6AM	6-7AM	7-8AM	8-9 AM	9-10AM	10-11AM	1AM-12PN	12-1PM	1-2PM	2-3PM	3-4PM	4-5PM	5-6PM	6-7PM	7-8PM	8-9PM	9-10PM	10-11PM	11-12PM	EFLH/day	EFLH/year
Weekday	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	10%	20%	50%	50%	70%	70%	70%	70%	80%	70%	50%	50%	30%	30%	0%	0%	0%	7.20	1,800
Saturday	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	10%	20%	50%	60%	80%	80%	80%	80%	80%	80%	60%	20%	20%	20%	10%	0%	0%	7.50	390
Sunday	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	10%	20%	20%	40%	40%	40%	40%	40%	20%	10%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	2.80	146
Holiday	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	10%	20%	20%	40%	40%	40%	40%	40%	20%	10%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	2.80	31
Total Equivalent Full Load Hours o	f Operation pe	er Year																								2,366
Schedule Name:	Retail Lig	hting Sche	dule																							
	12-1AM	1-2AM	2-3AM	3-4AM	4-5AM	5-6AM	6-7AM	7-8AM	8-9 AM	9-10AM	10-11AM	1AM-12PN	12-1PM	1-2PM	2-3PM	3-4PM	4-5PM	5-6PM	6-7PM	7-8PM	8-9PM	9-10PM	10-11PM	11-12PM	EFLH/day	EFLH/year
Weekday	5%	5%	5%	5%	5%	5%	5%	20%	50%	85%	85%	85%	85%	85%	85%	85%	85%	85%	55%	55%	50%	20%	5%	5%	10.60	2,650
Saturday	5%	5%	5%	5%	5%	5%	5%	10%	30%	55%	85%	85%	85%	85%	85%	85%	85%	85%	50%	30%	30%	10%	5%	5%	9.40	489
Sunday	5%	5%	5%	5%	5%	5%	5%	5%	10%	10%	40%	40%	55%	55%	55%	55%	55%	40%	20%	5%	5%	5%	5%	5%	5.00	260
Holiday	5%	5%	5%	5%	5%	5%	5%	5%	10%	10%	40%	40%	55%	55%	55%	55%	55%	40%	20%	5%	5%	5%	5%	5%	5.00	55
Total Equivalent Full Load Hours o	f Operation pe	er Year																								3,454
Schedule Name:	Retail Re	ceptacle S	chedule																							
								7.0444					40.4751.1	4.0004	0.0004	0.4514	4 5514		0.7514	2.0014	0.0014	0.40724.4	40.4001.1			FF1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1
	12-1AM	1-ZAIVI	2-3AIVI	3-4AIVI	4-SAIM	5-6AIVI	6-7AM	7-8AIVI	8-9 AIM	9-10AIVI	10-11AIM	IAM-IZPN	12-1P1VI	1-ZP1VI	2-3PIVI	3-4 PIVI	4-5P1VI	5-6P1VI	6-7PIVI	7-8P1VI	8-9PIVI	9-10P1VI	10-TIPIM	11-12P1VI	EFLHrday	EFLHryear
Weekday	5%	5%	5%	5%	5%	5%	5%	20%	50%	90%	90%	90%	90%	90%	90%	90%	90%	90%	60%	60%	50%	20%	5%	5%	11.15	2,788
Saturday	5%	5%	5%	5%	5%	5%	5%	10%	30%	60%	90%	90%	90%	90%	90%	90%	90%	90%	50%	30%	30%	10%	5%	5%	9.85	512
Sunday	5%	5%	5%	5%	5%	5%	5%	5%	10%	10%	40%	40%	55%	55%	55%	55%	55%	40%	20%	5%	5%	5%	5%	5%	5.00	260
Holiday	5%	5%	5%	5%	5%	5%	5%	5%	10%	10%	40%	40%	55%	55%	55%	55%	55%	40%	20%	5%	5%	5%	5%	5%	5.00	55
Total Equivalent Full Load Hours o	f Operation pe	er Year																								3,615
Schedule Name:	Retail DH	IW Schedu	le																							
	10 1444	4.0484			4 5 4 4 4		0.7414	7.0444					10 100 1	4.0004	0.0004	0.4554	4 5044	E ODA	0.7044	7.0044	0.0014	0.40728.4			FFULLER	FFI I I I I I I I I I
	12-1AM	I-ZAM	2-3AM	3-4AM	4-5AM	5-6AM	6-7.AM	7-8AM	8-9 AM	9-10AM	IU-IIAM	IAM-12PN	IZ-IPM	I-ZPIM	2-3PM	3-4PM	4-5PM	5-6P/M	6-7PM	7-8P/M	8-3PM	9-10PM	IU-IIPM	II-IZPM	EFLH/day	EFLHfyear
Weekday	4%	5%	5%	4%	4%	4%	4%	15%	23%	32%	41%	57%	62%	61%	50%	45%	46%	47%	42%	34%	33%	23%	13%	8%	6.62	1,655
Saturday	11%	10%	8%	6%	6%	6%	7%	20%	24%	27%	42%	54%	59%	60%	49%	48%	47%	46%	44%	36%	29%	22%	16%	13%	6.90	359
Sunday	7%	7%	7%	6%	6%	6%	7%	10%	12%	14%	29%	31%	36%	36%	34%	35%	37%	34%	25%	27%	21%	16%	10%	6%	4.59	239
Holiday	7%	7%	7%	6%	6%	6%	7%	10%	12%	14%	29%	31%	36%	36%	34%	35%	37%	34%	25%	27%	21%	16%	10%	6%	4.59	50
Total Equivalent Full Load Hours o	f Operation pe	er Year																								2,303

Report the modeled Receptacle Equipment and Appliances Equivalent Full Load Hours of Operation in the Schedules tab before referring to the table below. The Equivalent Full Load Hours of Operation is used to calculate the equipment power density for resdential dwelling units that must be modeled based on the building equipment reported for the building. After confirming the Equivalent Full Load Hours of Operation in the schedules tab, use the values below for the Baseline and Proposed Miscellaneous Equipment Loads in the Dwelling Units. These loads include 0.5 Vatts per square foot of electric miscellaneous equipment load with a 0.9 sensible ratio and 0.1 latent ratio in addition to the equipment load sources selected above.

		Equivalent Full Load Hours of	Total Area of		Electric	: Miscellar appliance Baseline	neous Loa es and equ	ds in Dwellir Jipment liste	ng Unit (ind ed above) Proposed	oluding	Natura	al Gas Misc applian Baseline	ellaneous L ses and equ	oads in Dwel iipment listeo	lling Unit (inc 1 above) Proposed	luding	Dwellin Equipm Water	ng Unit 1ent Hot 1Loads
	Building ID	Dwelling Unit Miscellaneous Equipment Operation Per Year	Dwelling Units (square feet)	E	Equipmen t Power Density Watts/sq ft)	Sensible Ratio	Latent Ratio	Equipmen t Power Density (Watts/ sq ft)	Sensible Ratio	Latent Ratio	Equipmen t Power Density (Btuł sq ft)	Sensible Ratio	Latent Ratio	Equipmen t Power Density (Btuł sq ft)	Sensible Ratio	Latent Ratio	Base	Propose d
Page 6	135 Dudley St Cor	2,117	122250		1.38	0.64	0.11	1.31	0.63	0.11	0.00			0.00			394934.80	210664.40
													-					

135 Dudley St Apartment, Roxbury, MA PNF Application Preliminary Energy Model Analysis

Multifamily Home Details

Complete the table for each building in the project. Input the number of units and the average floor area for units with the corresponding bedroom number.

Building Unit summary

	Sti	oibu	1Bed	room	2 Bed	rooms	3 Bed	rooms	4 Bed	rooms	5 Bed	rooms	6 Bed	rooms	7 Bed	rooms	8 Bed	rooms
Building ID		Average		Average		Average		Average		Average		Average		Average		Average		Average
- strang to	Qty	Floor	Qty	Floor	Qty	Floor	Qty	Floor	Qty	Floor	Qty	Floor	Qty	Floor	Qty	Floor	Qty	Floor
	-	Area (sq		Area (sq		Area (sq		Area (sq		Area (sq		Area (sq		Area (sq		Area (sq		Area (sq
135 Dudley St Apartment	29	1,000	8	1,400														
Total number of units																		55
Total number of bedrooms																		100
Total Area of Dwelling Units (square feet)																		52,800

Building ID	Total Number of Units	Total Number of Bedrroms	Total Area of Dwelling Units (square feet)	Average Number of Bedrooms Per Unit	Average Floor Area per Unit (square feet)	Average Floor Area Per Unit for Reference Building (square feet)
135 Dudley St Apartment	55	100	52,800	1.82	960	1,490

Homes Dwelling Unit Equipment Calculator

Enter the appliances and equipment that is present in the residential dwelling units for the project. For clothes washers and dryers, enter the quantity of each unit installed within the project scope of work. For fans, enter the total supply volume for all fans installed for the project.

		Quantity		Average		Electric	: Loads			Natural G	as Loads		Annual Se	rvice Hot Va	ater Load (g	jallons/year)
Building ID	Load Source	(or sum total fan volume	Energy Star Eqp?	bedrooms per duolling	Annual Co (kWh	nsumption /year)	Sensible	Latent	Annual Co (therm	insumption is/year)	Sensible	Latent	Baseline Per	Proposed Per	Baseline	Propose
		[cfm] for fans]		unit	Baseline	Proposed	Hatto	Hatto	Baseline	Proposed	Hatto	Hatto	Equipmen t	Equipmen t	rotai	d Iotal
135 Dudley St A	Refrigerator	55	Yes	1.818182	29095	23265	1	0	0	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0
135 Dudley St A	Dishwasher	55	Yes	1.818182	11330	9020	0.6	0.15	0	0	0.00	0.00	1290.00	860.00	70950.00	47300
135 Dudley St A	Cooking (electric stove/range)	55	Yes	1.818182	33220	33220	0.4	0.3	0	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0
135 Dudley St A	Clothes Dryer (In-unit electric)	55	Yes	1.818182	36890	36890	0.15	0.05	0	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0
135 Dudley St Ap:	Bath / Utility Fan, 10 to 89 cfm	1575	Yes	1.818182	958	821	0	0	0	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.0	0.0
135 Dudley St Ap:	Bath / Utility Fan, 10 to 89 cfm	2750	Yes	1.818182	1,673	1,434	0	0	0	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.0	0.0
135 Dudley St Ap:	Clothes Washer (In-unit)	55	Yes	1.818182	4,455	3,135	0.8	0	0	0	0.00	0.00	2,435.80	1,127.40	133,969.0	62,007.0

Homes Service Water Heating Load Summary

	Baseline Residential Usage per person Excluding Clothes / Dish Washers		
Low	Demographics such as all occupants working, seniors, middle income, and higher population density.	12	gallons/day
Medium	Demographics such as mixture of working / non-working occupants, mixture of age groups, medium population densities.	25	gallons/day
High	Demographics such high percentages of children, low income, public assistance, or no occupants working.	44	gallons/day

Report the modeled Service Water Heating Full Load Hours of Operation in the Schedules tab before referring to the table below. The Equivalent Full Load Hours of Operation is used to calculate the DHW modeled peak residential flow a the DHW Heater that must be modeled to be consistent with the annual hot water consumption calculated here. After confirming the Equivalent Full Load Hours of Operation in the schedules tab, dentify the residential service water heating usage profile, and the average fixture flows for sink and shower fixtures. Supply temperature at fixture point of use shall be 120 degrees F. If the modeled supply DHW temperature from the DHW heater is higher than this, indicate the supply DHW temperature from the DHW heater and the average cold water input temperature below.

This information along with the appliance information entered above and the schedule data from the schedules tab is used to determine the DHV modeled Peak Flow at DHV heater, which should be input into the energy model.

		Average	e Fixture	DHW T	emperature: (degrees F)	Settings	DHV S Shower Fis	Sink and «ture Loads	DHV Applianc	In-Unit • Loads at	DHW Laundry Room DHW Total DHW Total Equipment Loads at Residential Loads at Residential Loads at DHW Modeled Pe	deled Peak tial Flow at							
Building ID Residential Usage Profile		(gallons/minute)		DHV	Average Cold	ge DHW Tempat	at Point of Use (gallons / year)		Point of Use (gallons / year)		Point of Use (gallons / year)		Point of Use (gallons / year)		DHW Heater (gallons / year)		Equivalent Full Load	DHW Heater (gallons / minute)	
		Showers	Sinks	Supply Temp	Water Temp	Fixture Point of Use	Baseline	Proposed	Baseline	Proposed	Baseline	Proposed	Baseline	Proposed	Baseline	Proposed	Hours of Operation	Baseline	Proposed
135 Dudley St Apt	High	1.50	0.50	135.0	50.0	120.0	1,606,000	1,135,004	204,919	109,307	0	0	1,810,919	1,244,311	1,491,345	1,024,727	2,446	10.164	6.984

135 Dudley St Apartment, Roxbury, MA PNF Application Preliminary Energy Model Analysis

RESULTS

Print Results

58,647 kWh/Year*

System output may range from 56,290 to 60,782 kWh per year near this location. Click HERE for more information.

Month	Solar Radiation (kWh/m ² /day)	AC Energy (kWh)	Value (\$)
January	3.14	3,570	532
February	3.95	4,012	598
March	4.66	5,179	772
April	5.51	5,656	843
Мау	5.60	5,864	874
June	6.06	6,040	901
July	6.54	6,642	990
August	5.96	6,076	906
September	5.27	5,281	787
October	3.98	4,239	632
November	2.94	3,149	469
December	2.61	2,938	438
Annual	4.69	58,646	\$ 8,742

135 Dudley St Apartment, Roxbury, MA PNF Application Preliminary Energy Model Analysis

Location and Station Identification					
Requested Location	135 Dudley St, Roxbury, MA				
Weather Data Source	Lat, Lon: 42.33, -71.1 0.8 mi				
Latitude	42.33° N				
Longitude	71.1° W				

APPENDIX C – RESPONSES TO COB ACCESSIBILITY GUIDELINES

Appendix C. Response to Article 80 | ACCESSIBILTY CHECKLIST 135 Dudley Street, Roxbury

A requirement of the Boston Planning & Development Agency (BPDA) Article 80 Development Review Process

The Mayor's Commission for Persons with Disabilities strives to reduce architectural, procedural, attitudinal, and communication barriers that affect persons with disabilities in the City of Boston. In 2009, a Disability Advisory Board was appointed by the Mayor to work alongside the Commission in creating universal access throughout the city's built environment. The Disability Advisory Board is made up of 13 volunteer Boston residents with disabilities who have been tasked with representing the accessibility needs of their neighborhoods and increasing inclusion of people with disabilities.

In conformance with this directive, the BDPA has instituted this Accessibility Checklist as a tool to encourage developers to begin thinking about access and inclusion at the beginning of development projects, and strive to go beyond meeting only minimum MAAB / ADAAG compliance requirements. Instead, our goal is for developers to create ideal design for accessibility which will ensure that the built environment provides equitable experiences for all people, regardless of their abilities. As such, any project subject to Boston Zoning Article 80 Small or Large Project Review, including Institutional Master Plan modifications and updates, must complete this Accessibility Checklist thoroughly to provide specific detail about accessibility and inclusion, including descriptions, diagrams, and data.

For more information on compliance requirements, advancing best practices, and learning about progressive approaches to expand accessibility throughout Boston's built environment. Proponents are highly encouraged to meet with Commission staff, prior to filing.

Accessibility Analysis Information Sources:

- 1. Americans with Disabilities Act 2010 ADA Standards for Accessible Design http://www.ada.gov/2010ADAstandards_index.htm
- 2. Massachusetts Architectural Access Board 521 CMR http://www.mass.gov/eopss/consumer-prot-and-bus-lic/license-type/aab/aab-rules-and-regulations-pdf.html
- 3. Massachusetts State Building Code 780 CMR http://www.mass.gov/eopss/consumer-prot-and-bus-lic/license-type/csl/building-codebbrs.html
- 4. Massachusetts Office of Disability Disabled Parking Regulations http://www.mass.gov/anf/docs/mod/hp-parking-regulations-summary-mod.pdf
- 5. MBTA Fixed Route Accessible Transit Stations http://www.mbta.com/riding_the_t/accessible_services/
- 6. City of Boston Complete Street Guidelines <u>http://bostoncompletestreets.org/</u>
- City of Boston Mayor's Commission for Persons with Disabilities Advisory Board www.boston.gov/disability
- City of Boston Public Works Sidewalk Reconstruction Policy <u>http://www.cityofboston.gov/images_documents/sidewalk%20policy%200114_tcm3-41668.pdf</u>
- 9. City of Boston Public Improvement Commission Sidewalk Café Policy http://www.cityofboston.gov/images_documents/Sidewalk_cafes_tcm3-1845.pdf

Glossary of Terms:

- 1. *Accessible Route* A continuous and unobstructed path of travel that meets or exceeds the dimensional and inclusionary requirements set forth by MAAB 521 CMR: Section 20
- 2. *Accessible Group 2 Units* Residential units with additional floor space that meet or exceed the dimensional and inclusionary requirements set forth by MAAB 521 CMR: Section 9.4
- 3. *Accessible Guestrooms* Guestrooms with additional floor space, that meet or exceed the dimensional and inclusionary requirements set forth by MAAB 521 CMR: Section 8.4
- 4. *Inclusionary Development Policy (IDP)* Program run by the BPDA that preserves access to affordable housing opportunities, in the City. For more information visit: <u>http://www.bostonplans.org/housing/overview</u>
- 5. *Public Improvement Commission (PIC)* The regulatory body in charge of managing the public right of way. For more information visit: <u>https://www.boston.gov/pic</u>
- 6. *Visitability* A place's ability to be accessed and visited by persons with disabilities that cause functional limitations; where architectural barriers do not inhibit access to entrances/doors and bathrooms.
1. Project Information:

If this is a multi-phased or multi-building project, fill out a separate Checklist for each phase/building.

Project Name:	135 Dudley Street
Primary Project Address:	135 Dudley Street, Roxbury
Total Number of Phases/Buildings:	2 Buildings 1 Phase
Primary Contact (Name / Title / Company / Email / Phone):	John B. Cruz III, President Daniel Cruz, Jr., Vice President Tel: 617-445-6901
Owner / Developer:	Cruz Development Corporation One John Eliot Square Roxbury, MA 02119
	John B. Cruz III, President Daniel Cruz, Jr., Vice President
	Armond McCoy, Project Manager amccoy@cruzcompanies.com Tel: 617-445-6901
Architect:	The Architectural Team 50 Commandants Way at Admiral Hill Chelsea, MA 02150 Tel: 617-889-4402
	Robert Verrier FAIA, NCARB rverrier@architecturalteam.com
	Alexander Paul Donovan adonovan@architecturalteam.com
	Jeffrey Sargis jsargis@architecturalteam.com
	Michael Washington Architects 891 Centre Street, Suite 401 Jamaica Plain, MA 02130 Tel: 617-390-5515
	Michael Washington mwashington@mwaboston.com
	Elvin Phillips ephillips@mwaboston.com

Civil Engineer:	Bryant Associates 90 Canal Street Suite 301 Boston, MA 02114 Tel: 617-248-0300 T. Brayton Tbrayton@bryant-eng	rs.com	
	Jcomeau@bryant-eng	rs.com	
Landscape Architect:	Verdant Landscape A 318 Harvard Street, S Brookline, MA 02446 Tel: 617-735-1180 Katya Podsiadlo kp@verdantla.com	rchitecture Guite 25	
	Blair Hines, Principal bh@verdantla.com		
Permitting:	Mitchell L. Fischman ("MLF Consulting") LL 41 Brush Hill Road Newton, MA 02461 Mitchell Fischman mitchfischman@gmai Tel: 781-760-1726 Yvette Niwa yvetteniwa.mlfconsult Tel : 818-426-8157	Consulting .C il.com ting@gmail.com	
Construction Management:	John B. Cruz Construc One John Eliot Square Roxbury, MA 02119 John B. Cruz, III	ction Company e	
At what stage is the project at time of this questionnaire? Select below:			
	PNF / Expanded PNF Submitted		

Do you anticipate filing for any variances with the Massachusetts Architectural Access Board (MAAB)? *If yes,* identify and explain.

Yes; we anticipate filing for relief from 521 CMR 43.3.2 – Sink Depth for Group 1 market rate units.

2. Building Classification and Description:

This section identifies preliminary construction information about the project including size and uses.

What are the dimensions of the project?				
Site Area:	69,835 SF	Building Area:		346,716 GSF
Building Height:	65 FT. & 95 FT.	Number of Stories:		6 & 9 Flrs.
First Floor Elevation:		Is there below grade space:		No
What is the Construction Type? (Select most appropriate type)				
	Wood Frame	Masonry	Steel Frame	Concrete
What are the principal building uses? (IBC definitions are below – select all appropriate that apply)				
		Residential - Multi- unit, Four +		
	Business	Mercantile		
List street-level uses of the building:	Group A (Assembly) Group B (Business) Group M (Mercantile)			

3. Assessment of Existing Infrastructure for Accessibility:

This section explores the proximity to accessible transit lines and institutions, such as (but not limited to) hospitals, elderly & disabled housing, and general neighborhood resources. Identify how the area surrounding the development is accessible for people with mobility impairments and analyze the existing condition of the accessible routes through sidewalk and pedestrian ramp reports.

Provide a description of the neighborhood where this development is located and its identifying topographical characteristics:	The project site is located in the center of Dudley Square, between the Boston Police Area B Substation and the Dudley branch of the Boston Public Library. There is a very slight rise to the site from the western edge to the eastern boundary. From north to south, the site rises approximately 12'
List the surrounding accessible MBTA transit lines and their proximity to development site: commuter rail / subway stations, bus stops:	Dudley Square Station is located across Dudley Street from the proposed project site; approximately 150' away.

List the surrounding institutions: hospitals, public housing, elderly and disabled housing developments, educational facilities, others:	The proposed project is adjacent to the Boston Police Area B Substation on the East and the Boston Public Library, Dudley branch, on the West. Northwest of the development is Roxbury Municipal Courthouse. The Yawkey Boys and Girls Club is just beyond the Courthouse, along Warren Street.
List the surrounding government buildings: libraries, community centers, recreational facilities, and other related facilities:	See above.

4. Surrounding Site Conditions – Existing:

This section identifies current condition of the sidewalks and pedestrian ramps at the development site.

Is the development site within a historic district? <i>If yes,</i> identify which district:	The project is located in close proximity to the Dudley Station Historic District.
Are there sidewalks and pedestrian ramps existing at the development site? <i>If</i> <i>yes</i> , list the existing sidewalk and pedestrian ramp dimensions, slopes, materials, and physical condition at the development site:	There is currently an existing sidewalk along Dudley and Washington Streets. This sidewalk is in moderate condition and varies in width from approximately 8'-0" to 12'-0" over the length of the project site. It is anticipated that there will be two curb ramps at the Dudley / Washington Street intersection, similar to the existing curb ramps.
Are the sidewalks and pedestrian ramps existing-to-remain? <i>If yes,</i> have they been verified as ADA / MAAB compliant (with yellow composite detectable warning surfaces, cast in concrete)? <i>If yes,</i> provide description and photos:	It is undetermined at this time.

5. Surrounding Site Conditions – Proposed

This section identifies the proposed condition of the walkways and pedestrian ramps around the development site. Sidewalk width contributes to the degree of comfort walking along a street. Narrow sidewalks do not support lively pedestrian activity, and may create dangerous conditions that force people to walk in the street. Wider sidewalks allow people to walk side by side and pass each other comfortably walking alone, walking in pairs, or using a wheelchair.

Guidelines? <i>If yes</i> , choose which Street Type was applied: Downtown Commercial, Downtown Mixed-use, Neighborhood Main, Connector, Residential, Industrial, Shared Street, Parkway, or Boulevard.	Guidelines.
What are the total dimensions and slopes of the proposed sidewalks? List the widths of the proposed zones: Frontage, Pedestrian and Furnishing Zone:	The full scope of sidewalk work has not yet been determined. We anticipate a combined width of 10 feet for the Frontage and Pedestrian zones. We expect a 2'-0" to 3'-0" wide planting zone. to comply with the Guidelines.
List the proposed materials for each Zone. Will the proposed materials be on private property or will the proposed materials be on the City of Boston pedestrian right-of-way?	We are proposing cast-in-place concrete for all zones of the sidewalks. In accordance with other sidewalks in the neighborhood; we expect a number of street trees in the planting zone.
Will sidewalk cafes or other furnishings be programmed for the pedestrian right- of-way? <i>If yes,</i> what are the proposed dimensions of the sidewalk café or furnishings and what will the remaining right-of-way clearance be?	We are anticipating a public sidewalk café adjacent to the Boston Public Library. The final design has not yet been completed, but we expect a minimum width of 8'-0" for pedestrian access beyond the projection of the café furnishing area.
If the pedestrian right-of-way is on private property, will the proponent seek a pedestrian easement with the Public Improvement Commission (PIC)?	This is undetermined at this time.
Will any portion of the Project be going through the PIC? <i>If yes,</i> identify PIC actions and provide details.	We believe a portion of the total development project will require PIC review.

ssible Parking:

See Massachusetts Architectural Access Board Rules and Regulations 521 CMR Section 23.00 regarding accessible parking requirement counts and the Massachusetts Office of Disability -Disabled Parking Regulations.

What is the total number of parking	It is anticipated that 270 parking spaces within a parking garage are
spaces provided at the development site?	being constructed.
Will these be in a parking lot or garage?	

What is the total number of accessible spaces provided at the development site? How many of these are "Van Accessible" spaces with an 8 foot access aisle?	Of the 270 spaces, a minimum of 7 spaces will be designated accessible. The first floor of the parking structure has been designed to a 14'-0" minimum to allow for a minimum of 1 van accessible space.
Will any on-street accessible parking spaces be required? <i>If yes,</i> has the proponent contacted the Commission for Persons with Disabilities regarding this need?	No on-street parking spaces are expected to be provided at this time.
Where is the accessible visitor parking located?	Visitor Accessible parking is expected to be located on the first floor of the parking garage.
Has a drop-off area been identified? <i>If</i> <i>yes,</i> will it be accessible?	An Accessible Drop-off will be provided within the first floor of the parking garage.

7. Circulation and Accessible Routes:

The primary objective in designing smooth and continuous paths of travel is to create universal access to entryways and common spaces, which accommodates persons of all abilities and allows for visitability-with neighbors.

Describe accessibility at each entryway: Example: Flush Condition, Stairs, Ramp, Lift or Elevator:	All entryways will be a flush condition. Access to the upper floors of each building will be via elevator.
Are the accessible entrances and standard entrance integrated? <i>If yes,</i> describe. <i>If no</i> , what is the reason?	Yes; the standard entrances will be accessible.
If project is subject to Large Project Review/Institutional Master Plan, describe the accessible routes way- finding / signage package.	The project will provide wayfinding signage as required by 521 CMR.

8. Accessible Units (Group 2) and Guestrooms: (If applicable)

In order to facilitate access to housing and hospitality, this section addresses the number of accessible units that are proposed for the development site that remove barriers to housing and hotel rooms.

What is the total number of proposed housing units or hotel rooms for the development?	The project is providing (160) residential dwelling units.
<i>If a residential development,</i> how many units are for sale? How many are for rent? What is the breakdown of market value units vs. IDP (Inclusionary Development Policy) units?	The project is providing (55) rental units and (105) for-sale condominium units. The developer will provide the breakdown of market value vs IDP units.
<i>If a residential development,</i> how many accessible Group 2 units are being proposed?	(3) Group 2 Designated Accessible rental units are being provided.
<i>If a residential development,</i> how many accessible Group 2 units will also be IDP units? <i>If none</i> , describe reason.	Developer will provide the number of IDP units.
<i>If a hospitality development,</i> how many accessible units will feature a wheel-in shower? Will accessible equipment be provided as well? <i>If yes,</i> provide amount and location of equipment.	N/A
Do standard units have architectural barriers that would prevent entry or use of common space for persons with mobility impairments? Example: stairs / thresholds at entry, step to balcony, others. <i>If yes</i> , provide reason.	No barriers are anticipated.
Are there interior elevators, ramps or lifts located in the development for access around architectural barriers and/or to separate floors? <i>If yes</i> , describe:	Yes; elevators are being provided at both buildings to provide and Accessible Route to all Dwelling Units.

9. Community Impact:

Accessibility and inclusion extend past required compliance with building codes. Providing an overall scheme that allows full and equal participation of persons with disabilities makes the development an asset to the surrounding community.

Is this project providing any funding or	
improvements to the surrounding	The project anticipates it will be providing street trees along Dudley
street trees, building or refurbishing a	Boston Public Library building.
local park, or supporting other	
community-based initiatives?	

What inclusion elements does this development provide for persons with disabilities in common social and open spaces? Example: Indoor seating and TVs in common rooms; outdoor seating and barbeque grills in yard. Will all of these spaces and features provide accessibility?	Accessible outdoor seating will be provided at the Community Park. On the interior; accessible features and common amenities will be provided as required by 521 CMR.
Are any restrooms planned in common public spaces? <i>If yes,</i> will any be single- stall, ADA compliant and designated as "Family"/ "Companion" restrooms? <i>If no</i> , explain why not.	Restrooms will be provided in the public spaces. The restrooms will be designed in accordance with 521 CMR requirements.
Has the proponent reviewed the proposed plan with the City of Boston Disability Commissioner or with their Architectural Access staff? <i>If yes,</i> did they approve? <i>If no,</i> what were their comments?	This review has not yet occurred.
Has the proponent presented the proposed plan to the Disability Advisory Board at one of their monthly meetings? Did the Advisory Board vote to support this project? <i>If no,</i> what recommendations did the Advisory Board give to make this project more accessible?	The plan has not yet been presented to the Disability Advisory Board.

10. Attachments

Include a list of all documents you are submitting with this Checklist. This may include drawings, diagrams, photos, or any other material that describes the accessible and inclusive elements of this project.

Provide a diagram of the accessible routes to and from the accessible parking lot/garage and drop-off areas to the development entry locations, including route distances. **SEE Figures C-1 thru C-4**

Provide a diagram of the accessible route connections through the site, including distances.

Provide a diagram the accessible route to any roof decks or outdoor courtyard space? (if applicable)

Provide a plan and diagram of the accessible Group 2 units, including locations and route from accessible entry.

Provide any additional drawings, diagrams, photos, or any other material that describes the inclusive and accessible elements of this project.

This completes the Article 80 Accessibility Checklist required for your project. Prior to and during the review process, Commission staff are able to provide technical assistance and design review, in order to help achieve ideal accessibility and to ensure that all buildings, sidewalks, parks, and open spaces are usable and welcoming to Boston's diverse residents and visitors, including those with physical, sensory, and other disabilities.

For questions or comments about this checklist, or for more information on best practices for improving accessibility and inclusion, visit <u>www.boston.gov/disability</u>, or our office:

The Mayor's Commission for Persons with Disabilities 1 City Hall Square, Room 967, Boston MA 02201.

Architectural Access staff can be reached at:

accessibility@boston.gov | patricia.mendez@boston.gov | sarah.leung@boston.gov | 617-635-3682



Boston, MA | September 19, 2019 | 18143 | © The Architectural Team, Inc.

Proposed Site Accessibility







Figure C-3 Typical Lower Residential Floor Plan Access







APPENDIX D – RESPONSE TO BPDA BROADBAND QUESTIONNAIRE

The City of Boston is working to cultivate a broadband ecosystem that serves the current and future connectivity needs of residents, businesses, and institutions. The real estate development process offers a unique opportunity to create a building stock in Boston that enables this vision. In partnership with the development community, the Boston Planning and Development Authority and the City of Boston will begin to leverage this opportunity by adding a broadband readiness component to the Article 80 Design Review. This component will take the form of a set of questions to be completed as part of the Project Notification Form. Thoughtful integration of future-looking broadband practices into this process will contribute to progress towards the following goals:

- 1. Enable an environment of competition and choice that results in all residents and businesses having a choice of 2 or more wireline or fixed wireless high-speed Internet providers
- 2. Create a built environment that is responsive to new and emerging connectivity technologies
- 3. Minimize disruption to the public right of way during and after construction of the building

The information that is shared through the Project Notification Form will help BPDA and the City understand how developers currently integrate telecommunications planning in their work and how this integration can be most responsive to a changing technological landscape.

Upon submission of this online form, a PDF of the responses provided will be sent to the email address of the individual entered as Project Contact. Please include this PDF in the Project Notification Form packet submitted to BPDA.

Section 1: General Questions

For consistency, general intake questions below are modeled after Boston Planning and Development Agency Climate Change Resiliency and Preparedness Checklist.

Project Information

- Project Name: 135 Dudley Street
- Project Address Primary: 135 Dudley Street, Roxbury, MA
- Project Address Additional: N/A
- Project Contact: Daniel Cruz, Jr, Vice President, 617-445-6901
- Expected completion date: 2023-2025

Team Description

- Owner / Developer: Cruz Development Corporation
- Architect: The Architectural Team (TAT)
- Engineer (building systems): TBD
- Permitting: Mitchell L. Fischman Consulting ("MLF Consulting") LLC
- Construction Management: Cruz Construction

Section 2: Right of Way to Building

Point of Entry Planning Point of entry planning has important implications for the ease with which your building's telecommunications services can be installed, maintained, and expanded over time.

#1: Please provide the following information for your building's point of entry planning (conduits from building to street for telecommunications). Please enter 'unknown' if these decisions have not yet been made or you are presently unsure.

- Number of Points of Entry: **Unknown**
- Locations of Points of Entry: Warren Street and Dudley Street
- Quantity and size of conduits: **Unknown**
- Location where conduits connect (e.g. building-owned manhole, carrier-specific manhole or stubbed at property line): **Unknown**
- Other information/comments: **Unknown**

#2: Do you plan to conduct a utility site assessment to identify where cabling is located within the street? This information can be helpful in determining the locations of POEs and telco rooms. Please enter 'unknown' if these decisions have not yet been made or you are presently unsure.

- Yes
- No
- Unknown

Section 3: Inside of the Building

Riser Planning

Riser capacity can enable multiple telecom providers to serve tenants in your building.

#3: Please provide the following information about the riser plans throughout the building. Please enter 'unknown' if these decisions have not yet been made or you are presently unsure.

- Number of risers: **Unknown**
- Distance between risers (if more than one): **Unknown**
- Dimensions of riser closets: Unknown
- Riser or conduit will reach to top floor: Unknown
- Number and size of conduits or sleeves within each riser: Unknown
- Proximity to other utilities (e.g. electrical, heating): Unknown
- Other information/comments: Unknown

Telecom Room

A well-designed telecom room with appropriate security and resiliency measures can be an enabler of tenant choice and reduce the risk of service disruption and costly damage to telecom equipment.

#4: Please provide the following information about the telecom room plans. Please enter 'unknown' if these decisions have not yet been made or you are presently unsure.

- What is the size of the telecom room? Unknown
- Describe the electrical capacity of the telecom room (i.e. # and size of electrical circuits) Unknown
- Will the telecom room be located in an area of the building containing one or more load bearing walls? **Unknown**
- Will the telecom room be climate controlled?
- Yes
- o No
- Unknown
- If the building is within a flood-prone geographic area, will the telecom equipment will be located above the floodplain?
- Yes
- o No
- Unknown
- Will the telecom room be located on a floor where water or other liquid storage is present?
- Yes
- **No**
- Unknown
- Will the telecom room contain a flood drain?
- Yes
- o No
- Unknown
- Will the telecom room be single use (telecom only) or shared with other utilities?
- Telecom only
- Shared with other utilities
- Unknown

Delivery of Service Within Building (Residential Only)

Please enter 'unknown' if these decisions have not yet been made or you are presently unsure. Questions 5 through 8 are for residential development only.

#5: Will building/developer supply common inside wiring to all floors of the building?

- Yes
- No
- Unknown

#6: If so, what transmission medium (e.g. coax, fiber)? Please enter 'unknown' if these decisions have not yet been made or you are presently unsure. **Unknown**

#7: Is the building/developer providing wiring within each unit?

- Yes
- No
- Unknown

#8: If so, what transmission medium (e.g. coax, fiber)? Please enter 'unknown' if these decisions have not yet been made or you are presently unsure. **Unknown**

Section 4: Accommodation of New and Emerging Technologies

Cellular Reception

The quality of cellular reception in your building can have major impacts on quality of life and business operations.

Please provide the following information on your plans to facilitate high quality cellular coverage in your building. Please enter 'unknown' if these decisions have not yet been made or you are presently unsure.

#9: Will the building conduct any RF benchmark testing to assess cellular coverage?

- Yes
- No
- Unknown

#10: Will the building allocate any floor space for future in-building wireless solutions (DAS/small cell/booster equipment)?

- Yes
- No
- Unknown

#11: Will the building be providing an in-building solution (DAS/ Small cell/ booster)?

- Yes
- No
- Unknown

#12: If so, are you partnering with a carrier, neutral host provider, or self-installing?

- Carrier
- Neutral host provider
- Self-installing

Rooftop Access

Building rooftops are frequently used by telecommunications providers to install equipment critical to the provision of service to tenants.

Please provide the following information regarding your plans for roof access and usage. Please enter 'unknown' if these decisions have not yet been made or you are presently unsure.

#13: Will you allow cellular providers to place equipment on the roof?

- Yes
- No
- Unknown

#14: Will you allow broadband providers (fixed wireless) to install equipment on the roof?

- Yes
- No
- Unknown

Section 5: Telecom Provider Outreach

Supporting Competition and Choice

Having a choice of broadband providers is a value add for property owners looking to attract tenants and for tenants in Boston seeking fast, affordable, and reliable broadband service. In addition to enabling tenant choice in your building, early outreach to telecom providers can also reduce cost and disruption to the public right of way. The following questions focus on steps that property owners can take to ensure that multiple wireline or fixed wireless broadband providers can access your building and provide service to your tenants.

#15: (Residential Only) Please provide the date upon which each of the below providers were successfully contacted, whether or not they will serve the building, what transmission medium they will use (e.g. coax, fiber) and the reason they provided if the answer was 'no'.

TO BE COMPLETED DURING DESIGN DEVELOPMENT STAGE

- Comcast enter contact info
- RCN enter contact info
- Verizon enter contact info
- Wicked Broadband enter contact info
- WebPass
- Starry
- Level 3
- Cogent
- Lightower
- XO Communications
- AT&T
- Zayo
- Other(s) please specify enter contact info

#16: Do you plan to abstain from exclusivity agreements with broadband and cable providers?

- Yes
- No
- Unknown

#17: Do you plan to make public to tenants and prospective tenants the list of broadband/cable providers who serve the building?

- Yes
- No
- Unknown

Section 6: Feedback for Boston Planning and Development Agency

The Boston Planning and Development Agency looks forward to supporting the developer community in enabling broadband choice for resident and businesses. Please provide feedback on your experience completing these questions. Some of these questions are difficult to respond to at this point in the design process.

APPENDIX E – RESPONSE TO BPDA SMART UTILITIES CHECKLIST



Date Submitted: Submitted by: 09/27/19

MLF Consulting LLC

Background

The Smart Utilities Checklist will facilitate the Boston Smart Utilities Steering Committee's review of:

a) compliance with the Smart Utilities Policy for Article 80 Development Review, which calls for the integration of five (5) Smart Utility Technologies (SUTs) into Article 80 developments

b) integration of the Smart Utility Standards

More information about the Boston Smart Utilities Vision project, including the Smart Utilities Policy and Smart Utility Standards, is available at: www.http://bostonplans.org/smart-utilities

<u>Note:</u> Any documents submitted via email to <u>manuel.esquivel@boston.gov</u> will not be attached to the pdf form generated after submission, but are available upon request.

Part 1 - General Project Information

1.1 Project Name	135 Dudley Street, Roxbury
1.2 Project Address	Same
1.3 Building Size (square feet)	346,716 gsf



**For a multi-building development, enter total development size (square feet)*

1.4 Filing Stage	PNF					
1.5 Filing Contact Information						
1.5a Name	Mitchell Fischman					
1.5b Company	MLF Consulting LLC					
1.5c E-mail	<u>Mitchfischman@gmail.com</u>					
1.5d Phone Number	781-760-1726					
1.6 Project Team						
1.6a Project Owner/Developer	John B. Cruz, III					

1.6b ArchitectThe Architectural Team1.6c PermittingMLF Consulting LLC1.6d Construction ManagementCruz Construction

Part 2 - District Energy Microgrids

Fill out this section if the proposed project's total development size is equal to or greater than 1.5 million square feet.

Note on submission requirements timeline:

Feasibility Assessment Part A should be submitted with PNF or any other initial filing.



Feasibility Assessment Part B should be submitted with any major filing during the Development Review stage (i.e., DPIR)

District Energy Microgrid Master Plan Part A should be submitted before submission of the Draft Board Memorandum by the BPDA Project Manager (Note: Draft Board Memorandums are due one month ahead of the BPDA Board meetings)

District Energy Microgrid Master Plan Part B should be submitted before applying for a Building Permit

Please email submission to <u>manuel.esquivel@boston.gov</u>





2.6 Additional Information

Part 3 - Telecommunications Utilidor

Fill out this section if the proposed project's total development size is equal to or greater than 1.5 million square feet OR if the project will include the construction of roadways equal to or greater than 0.5 miles in length.

Please submit a map/diagram highlighting the sections of the roads on the development area where a Telecom Utilidor will be installed, including access points to the Telcom Utilidor (i.e., manholes)

Please email submission to manuel.esquivel@boston.gov

3.1 Consultant Assessing/Designing Telecom Utilidor (if applicable)	
3.2 Date Telecom Utilidor Map/Diagram was submitted	
3.3 Dimensions of Telecom Utilidor (include units)	
3.3a Cross-section (i.e., diameter, width X height)	
3.3b Length	



3.4 Capacity of Telecom Utilidor (i.e., number of interducts, 2 inch (ID) pipes, etc.)

3.5 Which of the following have you had engagement/review meetings with regarding the Telecom Utilidor? (select all that apply)

3.6 What engagement meetings have you had with utilities and/or other agencies (i.e., State agencies) regarding the Telecom Utilidor? (Optional: include dates)

3.7 Additional Information

Part 4 - Green Infrastructure

Fill out this section if the proposed project's total development size is equal to or greater than 100,000 square feet.

Please submit a map/diagram highlighting where on the development Green Infrastructure will be installed.

Please email submission to <u>manuel.esquivel@boston.gov</u>

4.1 Consultant Assessing/Designing Green Infrastructure (if applicable)

Bryant Associates, Inc. will be assessing/designing portions of green infrastructure



4.2 Date Green Infrastructure Map/Diagram was submitted	See Response to Item 4.3 below.
4.3 Types of Green Infrastructure included in the project (select all that apply)	It is too early to define type LLCs of Green Infrastructure and to determine if any are possible or feasible for this site until we are in design development.
4.4 Total impervious area of the development (in square inches)	7,246,368 square inches (See graphic of pervious and impervious areas that follows based on the architect's conceptual design plans contained in the PNF)
4.5 Volume of stormwater that will be retained (in cubic inches)*	9,057,960 cubic inches
<i>*Note: Should equal to at least "Total impervious area (entered in section 4.4)" times "1.25 inches"</i>	
4.6 Which of the following have you had engagement/review meetings with regarding Green Infrastructure? (select all	
that apply)	No meetings to date.
4.7 What engagement meetings have you had with utilities and/or other agencies (i.e., State agencies) regarding Green	
Infrastructure? (Optional: include dates)	No meetings to date.
4.8 Additional Information	



Part 5 - Adaptive Signal Technology (AST)

Fill out this section if as part of your project BTD will require you to install new traffic signals or make significant improvements to the existing signal system.

Please submit a map/diagram highlighting the context of AST around the proposed development area, as well as any areas within the development where new traffic signals will be installed or where significant improvements to traffic signals will be made. **TO BE DETERMINED AT TIME OF DPIR**

Please email submission to <u>manuel.esquivel@boston.gov</u>

5.1 Consultant Assessing/Designing Adaptive Signal Technology (if applicable)	
5.2 Date AST Map/Diagram was submitted	
5.3 Describe how the AST system will benefit/impact the following transportation modes	
5.3a Pedestrians	
5.3b Bicycles	
5.3c Buses and other Public Transportation	
5.3d Other Motorized Vehicles	
5.4 Describe the components of the AST	

system (including system design and



components)

5.5 Which of the following have you had engagement/review meetings with regarding AST? (select all that apply)

5.6 What engagement meetings have you had with utilities and/or other agencies (i.e., State agencies) regarding AST? (Optional: include dates)

5.7 Additional Information

Part 6 - Smart Street Lights

Fill out this section if as part of your project PWD and PIC will require you to install new street lights or make significant improvements to the existing street light system.

Please submit a map/diagram highlighting where new street lights will be installed or where improvements to street lights will be made. **TO BE DETERMINED AT TIME OF DPIR**

Please email submission to <u>manuel.esquivel@boston.gov</u>

6.1 Consultant Assessing/Designing Smart Street Lights (if applicable)





6.2 Date Smart Street Lights Map/Diagram was submitted

6.3 Which of the following have you had engagement/review meetings with regarding Smart Street Lights? (select all that apply)

6.4 What engagement meetings have you had with utilities and/or other agencies (i.e., State agencies) regarding Smart Street Lights? (Optional: include dates)

6.5 Additional Information

Part 7 - Smart Utility Standards

The Smart Utility Standards set forth guidelines for planning and integration of SUTs with existing utility infrastructure in existing or new streets, including cross-section, lateral, and intersection diagrams. The Smart Utility Standards are intended to serve as guidelines for developers, architects, engineers, and utility providers for planning, designing, and locating utilities. The Smart Utility Standards will serve as the baseline for discussions on any deviations from the standards needed/proposed for any given utility infrastructure.

Please submit typical below and above grade cross section diagrams of all utility infrastructure in the proposed development area (including infrastructure related to the applicable SUTs).

Please submit typical below and above grade lateral diagrams of all utility infrastructure in the proposed development area (including infrastructure related to the applicable SUTs).





TO BE DETERMINED AT TIME OF DPIR

Please email submission to manuel.esquivel@boston.gov

7.1 Date Cross Section Diagram(s) was submitted

7.2 Date Lateral Diagram(s) was submitted

7.3 Additional Information

APPENDIX F – TRANSPORTATION APPENDIX

Appendix F – Transportation

Vehicle, Pedestrian, and Bicycle Counts Seasonal Adjustment Factors Trip Generation Synchro Intersection Level of Service Reports

- Existing Condition
- No-Build (2026) Condition
- Build (2026) Condition

Vehicle, Pedestrian, and Bicycle Counts

N/S: Warren Street W: Boys and Girls Club Driveway City, State: Boston, MA Client: Parsons Brinckerhoff/S. Srinivas



File Name : 165327 B Site Code : 52606 Start Date : 10/13/2016 Page No : 1

Groups Printed- Cars - Buses - Heavy Vehicles											
		Warren Street			Warren Street		Boys an	d Girls Club Drive	eway		
		From North			From South			From West			
Start Time	Right	Thru	U-Turn	Thru	Left	U-Turn	Right	Left	U-Turn	Int. Total	
07:00 AM	1	141	1	289	6	8	3	1	0	450	
07:15 AM	3	126	0	315	9	1	5	0	0	459	
07:30 AM	4	116	1	293	2	0	1	1	0	418	
07:45 AM	3	137	1	286	7	0	3	2	0	439	
Total	11	520	3	1183	24	9	12	4	0	1766	
08:00 AM	10	153	1	314	12	0	3	5	0	498	
08:15 AM	11	134	0	248	12	5	2	3	0	415	
08:30 AM	14	131	0	295	18	1	5	5	0	469	
08:45 AM	8	147	0	230	17	3	7	2	0	414	
Total	43	565	1	1087	59	9	17	15	0	1796	
Grand Total	54	1085	4	2270	83	18	29	19	0	3562	
Apprch %	4.7	94.9	0.3	95.7	3.5	0.8	60.4	39.6	0		
Total %	1.5	30.5	0.1	63.7	2.3	0.5	0.8	0.5	0		
Cars	51	872	2	2020	81	16	28	16	0	3086	
% Cars	94.4	80.4	50	89	97.6	88.9	96.6	84.2	0	86.6	
Buses	1	93	0	111	1	1	1	0	0	208	
% Buses	1.9	8.6	0	4.9	1.2	5.6	3.4	0	0	5.8	
Heavy Vehicles	2	120	2	139	1	1	0	3	0	268	
% Heavy Vehicles	3.7	11.1	50	6.1	1.2	5.6	0	15.8	0	7.5	

		Warren	n Street North		Warren Street From South				Boys and Girls Club Driveway From West				
Start Time	Right	Thru	U-Turn	App. Total	Thru	Left	U-Turn	App. Total	Right	Left	U-Turn	App. Total	Int. Total
Peak Hour Analysis From	07:00 AM to 0	8:45 AM - P	eak 1 of 1										
Peak Hour for Entire	Intersection	Begins at (07:45 AM										
07:45 AM	3	137	1	141	286	7	0	293	3	2	0	5	439
08:00 AM	10	153	1	164	314	12	0	326	3	5	0	8	498
08:15 AM	11	134	0	145	248	12	5	265	2	3	0	5	415
08:30 AM	14	131	0	145	295	18	1	314	5	5	0	10	469
Total Volume	38	555	2	595	1143	49	6	1198	13	15	0	28	1821
% App. Total	6.4	93.3	0.3		95.4	4.1	0.5		46.4	53.6	0		
PHF	.679	.907	.500	.907	.910	.681	.300	.919	.650	.750	.000	.700	.914
Cars	36	433	1	470	1027	48	5	1080	12	13	0	25	1575
% Cars	94.7	78.0	50.0	79.0	89.9	98.0	83.3	90.2	92.3	86.7	0	89.3	86.5
Buses	1	53	0	54	49	1	1	51	1	0	0	1	106
% Buses	2.6	9.5	0	9.1	4.3	2.0	16.7	4.3	7.7	0	0	3.6	5.8
Heavy Vehicles	1	69	1	71	67	0	0	67	0	2	0	2	140
% Heavy Vehicles	2.6	12.4	50.0	11.9	5.9	0	0	5.6	0	13.3	0	7.1	7.7

N/S: Warren Street W: Boys and Girls Club Driveway City, State: Boston, MA Client: Parsons Brinckerhoff/S. Srinivas



 File Name
 : 165327 B

 Site Code
 : 52606

 Start Date
 : 10/13/2016

 Page No
 : 1

	Warren Street			0.000000	Warren Street		Boys a]		
	From North				From South		-			
Start Time	Right	Thru	U-Turn	Thru	Left	U-Turn	Right	Left	U-Turn	Int. Total
07:00 AM	1	113	0	243	5	7	3	0	0	372
07:15 AM	3	101	0	286	9	1	5	0	0	405
07:30 AM	3	108	1	258	2	0	1	1	0	374
07:45 AM	3	109	1	254	7	0	3	2	0	379
Total	10	431	2	1041	23	8	12	3	0	1530
08:00 AM	10	122	0	285	11	0	3	4	0	435
08:15 AM	10	101	0	222	12	4	1	3	0	353
08:30 AM	13	101	0	266	18	1	5	4	0	408
08:45 AM	8	117	0	206	17	3	7	2	0	360
Total	41	441	0	979	58	8	16	13	0	1556
Grand Total	51	872	2	2020	81	16	28	16	0	3086
Apprch %	5.5	94.3	0.2	95.4	3.8	0.8	63.6	36.4	0	
Total %	1.7	28.3	0.1	65.5	2.6	0.5	0.9	0.5	0	
Apprch % Total %	5.5 1.7	94.3 28.3	0.2 0.1	2020 95.4 65.5	3.8 2.6	0.8 0.5	63.6 0.9	36.4 0.5	0 0 0	

		Warren	n Street		Warren Street				Bo				
		From	North			From	South		From West				
Start Time	Right	Thru	U-Turn	App. Total	Thru	Left	U-Turn	App. Total	Right	Left	U-Turn	App. Total	Int. Total
Peak Hour Analysis From 07:00 AM to 08:45 AM - Peak 1 of 1													
Peak Hour for Entire	Intersection	Begins at (07:15 AM										
07:15 AM	3	101	0	104	286	9	1	296	5	0	0	5	405
07:30 AM	3	108	1	112	258	2	0	260	1	1	0	2	374
07:45 AM	3	109	1	113	254	7	0	261	3	2	0	5	379
08:00 AM	10	122	0	132	285	11	0	296	3	4	0	7	435
Total Volume	19	440	2	461	1083	29	1	1113	12	7	0	19	1593
% App. Total	4.1	95.4	0.4		97.3	2.6	0.1		63.2	36.8	0		
PHF	.475	.902	.500	.873	.947	.659	.250	.940	.600	.438	.000	.679	.916


File Name : 165327 B Site Code : 52606 Start Date : 10/13/2016 Page No : 1

Groups Printed- Buses Boys and Girls Club Driveway From West Warren Street From North Warren Street From South U-Turn Start Time U-Turn Right U-Turn Int. Total Right Left Thru Thru Left 07:00 AM 07:15 AM 07:30 AM 07:45 AM Total 08:00 AM 08:15 AM 08:30 AM 08:45 AM Total Grand Total Apprch % 1.1 98.9 98.2 0.9 0.9 0.5 Total % 0.5 53.4 0.5 0.5 44.7

(
		Warrer	1 Street			Warren	1 Street		Bo	ys and Girls	Club Drivewa	ıy	
		From	North			From	South			From	West		
Start Time	Right	Thru	U-Turn	App. Total	Thru	Left	U-Turn	App. Total	Right	Left	U-Turn	App. Total	Int. Total
Peak Hour Analysis From	07:00 AM to 08	8:45 AM - P	eak 1 of 1										
Peak Hour for Entire	Intersection 1	Begins at (07:45 AM										
07:45 AM	0	12	0	12	13	0	0	13	0	0	0	0	25
08:00 AM	0	14	0	14	12	1	0	13	0	0	0	0	27
08:15 AM	1	15	0	16	12	0	1	13	1	0	0	1	30
08:30 AM	0	12	0	12	12	0	0	12	0	0	0	0	24
Total Volume	1	53	0	54	49	1	1	51	1	0	0	1	106
% App. Total	1.9	98.1	0		96.1	2	2		100	0	0		
PHF	.250	.883	.000	.844	.942	.250	.250	.981	.250	.000	.000	.250	.883



Int. Total 45 29 24 35
Int. Total 45 29 24 35
45 29 24 35
29 24 35
24 35
35
133
36
32
37
30
135
268
0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0

		Warren	Street			Warren	Street		Bo	ys and Girls	Club Drivewa	ıy	
		From	North			From	South			From	west		
Start Time	Right	Thru	U-Turn	App. Total	Thru	Left	U-Turn	App. Total	Right	Left	U-Turn	App. Total	Int. Total
Peak Hour Analysis From	07:00 AM to 08	3:45 AM - Pe	eak 1 of 1										
Peak Hour for Entire I	Intersection 1	Begins at ()7:45 AM										
07:45 AM	0	16	0	16	19	0	0	19	0	0	0	0	35
08:00 AM	0	17	1	18	17	0	0	17	0	1	0	1	36
08:15 AM	0	18	0	18	14	0	0	14	0	0	0	0	32
08:30 AM	1	18	0	19	17	0	0	17	0	1	0	1	37
Total Volume	1	69	1	71	67	0	0	67	0	2	0	2	140
% App. Total	1.4	97.2	1.4		100	0	0		0	100	0		
PHF	.250	.958	.250	.934	.882	.000	.000	.882	.000	.500	.000	.500	.946



File Name : 165327 B Site Code : 52606 Start Date : 10/13/2016 Page No : 1

Groups Printed- Peds and Bicycles Boys and Girls Club Driveway From West ht Left Peds NB Peds SB Warren Street Warren Street From North From South Int. Total Start Time Thru Peds EB Peds WB Left Peds WB Right Peds EB Right Thru 07:00 AM 07:15 AM 07:30 AM 07:45 AM Total 08:00 AM 08:15 AM 08:30 AM 08:45 AM Total Grand Total 33.3 Apprch % 16.7 85.4 14.6 Total % 3.3 10.3 9.8 10.7 1.4 0.9 0.5 63.1

		W	arren Stre	et			۷	Varren Stre	et			Boys and	Girls Club	Driveway		
			From Nor	h				From Sout	h				From Wes	st		
Start Time	Right	Thru	Peds EB	Peds WB	App. Total	Thru	Left	Peds WB	Peds EB	App. Total	Right	Left	Peds NB	Peds SB	App. Total	Int. Total
Peak Hour Analysis From 0	7:00 AM to 08:	45 AM - Pea	k 1 of 1													
Peak Hour for Ent	ire Intersec	ction Beg	gins at 08	8:00 AM												
08:00 AM	0	0	0	1	1	1	0	2	1	4	0	0	12	5	17	22
08:15 AM	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	2	1	5	0	0	13	1	14	19
08:30 AM	0	0	0	0	0	5	0	2	2	9	0	0	14	2	16	25
08:45 AM	0	0	1	0	1	0	0	2	2	4	0	0	35	4	39	44
Total Volume	0	0	1	1	2	8	0	8	6	22	0	0	74	12	86	110
% App. Total	0	0	50	50		36.4	0	36.4	27.3		0	0	86	14		
PHF	.000	.000	.250	.250	.500	.400	.000	1.00	.750	.611	.000	.000	.529	.600	.551	.625



Boys and Girls Club Driveway			Street	Warren			n Street			
From West			South	From S			North	From		
Total Right Left U-Turn App. Total Int. Total	tal	App. Total	U-Turn	Left	Thru	App. Total	U-Turn	Thru	Right	Start Time
							eak 1 of 1	08:45 AM - P	07:00 AM to 0	Peak Hour Analysis From
							07:45 AM	Begins at	Intersection	Peak Hour for Entire
293 3 2 0 5 439	93	293	0	7	286	141	1	137	3	07:45 AM
326 3 5 0 8 498	26	326	0	12	314	164	1	153	10	08:00 AM
265 2 3 0 5 415	65	265	5	12	248	145	0	134	11	08:15 AM
314 5 5 0 10 469	14	314	1	18	295	145	0	131	14	08:30 AM
1198 13 15 0 28 1821	98	1198	6	49	1143	595	2	555	38	Total Volume
46.4 53.6 0			0.5	4.1	95.4		0.3	93.3	6.4	% App. Total
.919 .650 .750 .000 .700 .914	19	.919	.300	.681	.910	.907	.500	.907	.679	PHF
1080 12 13 0 25 1575	80	1080	5	48	1027	470	1	433	36	Cars
90.2 92.3 86.7 0 89.3 86.5	0.2	90.2	83.3	98.0	89.9	79.0	50.0	78.0	94.7	% Cars
51 1 0 0 1 106	51	51	1	1	49	54	0	53	1	Buses
4.3 7.7 0 0 3.6 5.8	1.3	4.3	16.7	2.0	4.3	9.1	0	9.5	2.6	% Buses
67 0 2 0 2 140	67	67	0	0	67	71	1	69	1	Heavy Vehicles
5.6 0 13.3 0 7.1 7.7	5.6	5.6	0	0	5.9	11.9	50.0	12.4	2.6	% Heavy Vehicles
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	93 26 65 14 98 19 80 0.2 51 4.3 67 5.6	293 326 265 314 1198 .919 1080 90.2 51 4.3 67 5.6	$ \begin{array}{c} 0\\ 0\\ 5\\ 1\\ 6\\ 0.5\\ 300\\ 5\\ 83.3\\ 1\\ 16.7\\ 0\\ 0\\ \end{array} $	7 12 12 18 49 4.1 .681 .681 .681 .0 1 2.0 0 0	286 314 248 295 1143 95.4 .910 1027 89.9 49 4.3 67 5.9	141 164 145 595 	$ \begin{array}{c} 1 \\ 1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 2 \\ 0.3 \\ \hline 0.3 \\ \hline 0 \\ 1 \\ 50.0 \\ 0 \\ 1 \\ 50.0 \\ \end{array} $	137 153 134 131 555 93.3 .907 433 78.0 53 9.5 69 12.4	$ \begin{array}{r} 3 \\ 10 \\ 11 \\ 14 \\ 38 \\ 6.4 \\ .679 \\ 36 \\ 94.7 \\ 1 \\ 2.6 \\ 1 \\ 2.6 \\ \end{array} $	07:45 AM 08:00 AM 08:15 AM 08:30 AM Total Volume % App. Total PHF Cars % Cars Buses % Buses Heavy Vehicles % Heavy Vehicles





			Groups	Printed- Cars - Buse	s - Heavy Vehic	les				
	W	arren Street		Wa	rren Street		Boys and Gi	rls Club Drivew	ay	
	F	From North		Fr	om South		Fr	om West		
Start Time	Right	Thru	U-Turn	Thru	Left	U-Turn	Right	Left	U-Turn	Int. Total
04:00 PM	6	234	0	225	11	5	18	5	0	504
04:15 PM	12	261	0	238	10	4	14	11	0	550
04:30 PM	11	227	0	215	10	1	32	14	0	510
04:45 PM	8	234	0	217	12	2	33	14	0	520
Total	37	956	0	895	43	12	97	44	0	2084
05:00 PM	12	232	0	210	12	2	19	5	0	492
05:15 PM	10	220	0	221	14	3	14	4	0	486
05:30 PM	10	237	0	216	17	4	19	9	0	512
05:45 PM	8	197	0	210	14	3	16	13	0	461
Total	40	886	0	857	57	12	68	31	0	1951
Grand Total	77	1842	0	1752	100	24	165	75	0	4035
Apprch %	4	96	0	93.4	5.3	1.3	68.8	31.2	0	
Total %	1.9	45.7	0	43.4	2.5	0.6	4.1	1.9	0	
Cars	77	1647	0	1500	98	24	163	72	0	3581
% Cars	100	89.4	0	85.6	98	100	98.8	96	0	88.7
Buses	0	89	0	124	1	0	2	2	0	218
<u> </u>	0	4.8	0	7.1	1	0	1.2	2.7	0	5.4
Heavy Vehicles	0	106	0	128	1	0	0	1	0	236
% Heavy Vehicles	0	5.8	0	7.3	1	0	0	1.3	0	5.8

		Warrer From	n Street North			Warren From S	Street South		Boys and Girls Club Driveway From West				
Start Time	Right	Thru	U-Turn	App. Total	Thru	Left	U-Turn	App. Total	Right	Left	U-Turn	App. Total	Int. Total
Peak Hour Analysis From	04:00 PM to 0	5:45 PM - Pe	ak 1 of 1										
Peak Hour for Entire	Intersection	Begins at (04:00 PM										
04:00 PM	6	234	0	240	225	11	5	241	18	5	0	23	504
04:15 PM	12	261	0	273	238	10	4	252	14	11	0	25	550
04:30 PM	11	227	0	238	215	10	1	226	32	14	0	46	510
04:45 PM	8	234	0	242	217	12	2	231	33	14	0	47	520
Total Volume	37	956	0	993	895	43	12	950	97	44	0	141	2084
% App. Total	3.7	96.3	0		94.2	4.5	1.3		68.8	31.2	0		
PHF	.771	.916	.000	.909	.940	.896	.600	.942	.735	.786	.000	.750	.947
Cars	37	826	0	863	760	41	12	813	95	41	0	136	1812
% Cars	100	86.4	0	86.9	84.9	95.3	100	85.6	97.9	93.2	0	96.5	86.9
Buses	0	61	0	61	67	1	0	68	2	2	0	4	133
% Buses	0	6.4	0	6.1	7.5	2.3	0	7.2	2.1	4.5	0	2.8	6.4
Heavy Vehicles	0	69	0	69	68	1	0	69	0	1	0	1	139
% Heavy Vehicles	0	7.2	0	6.9	7.6	2.3	0	7.3	0	2.3	0	0.7	6.7



		Warren Street		0104001	Warren Street		Boys ar	nd Girls Club Driv	eway	
		From North			From South			From West	-	
Start Time	Right	Thru	U-Turn	Thru	Left	U-Turn	Right	Left	U-Turn	Int. Total
04:00 PM	6	201	0	193	11	5	18	5	0	439
04:15 PM	12	221	0	208	9	4	13	10	0	477
04:30 PM	11	193	0	175	9	1	31	14	0	434
04:45 PM	8	211	0	184	12	2	33	12	0	462
Total	37	826	0	760	41	12	95	41	0	1812
05:00 PM	12	208	0	173	12	2	19	5	0	431
05:15 PM	10	206	0	187	14	3	14	4	0	438
05:30 PM	10	220	0	190	17	4	19	9	0	469
05:45 PM	8	187	0	190	14	3	16	13	0	431
Total	40	821	0	740	57	12	68	31	0	1769
Grand Total	77	1647	0	1500	98	24	163	72	0	3581
Apprch %	4.5	95.5	0	92.5	6	1.5	69.4	30.6	0	
Total %	2.2	46	0	41.9	2.7	0.7	4.6	2	0	

		Warren	1 Street			Warren	Street		Bo	ys and Girls	Club Drivewa	ıy	
		From	North			From	South			From	West		
Start Time	Right	Thru	U-Turn	App. Total	Thru	Left	U-Turn	App. Total	Right	Left	U-Turn	App. Total	Int. Total
Peak Hour Analysis From	04:00 PM to 05	5:45 PM - Pea	ak 1 of 1										
Peak Hour for Entire]	Intersection 1	Begins at ()4:00 PM										
04:00 PM	6	201	0	207	193	11	5	209	18	5	0	23	439
04:15 PM	12	221	0	233	208	9	4	221	13	10	0	23	477
04:30 PM	11	193	0	204	175	9	1	185	31	14	0	45	434
04:45 PM	8	211	0	219	184	12	2	198	33	12	0	45	462
Total Volume	37	826	0	863	760	41	12	813	95	41	0	136	1812
% App. Total	4.3	95.7	0		93.5	5	1.5		69.9	30.1	0		
PHF	.771	.934	.000	.926	.913	.854	.600	.920	.720	.732	.000	.756	.950



		Wannan Cturant		Groups P	Women Street		Davia an	d Ciala Club Dair		1
		Erom North			From South		воуз аг	Erom West	eway	
Start Time	Right	Thru	LI-Turn	Thru	Left	II-Turn	Right	I eft	II-Turn	Int Total
04:00 PM	0	16	0	15	0	0	0	0	0	31
04:15 PM	0	19	0	14	1	0	1	0	0	35
04:30 PM	0	16	0	20	0	0	1	0	0	37
04:45 PM	0	10	0	18	0	0	0	2	0	30
Total	0	61	0	67	1	0	2	2	0	133
05:00 PM	0	12	0	19	0	0	0	0	0	31
05:15 PM	0	5	0	17	0	0	0	0	0	22
05:30 PM	0	8	0	12	0	0	0	0	0	20
05:45 PM	0	3	0	9	0	0	0	0	0	12
Total	0	28	0	57	0	0	0	0	0	85
Grand Total	0	89	0	124	1	0	2	2	0	218
Apprch %	0	100	0	99.2	0.8	0	50	50	0	
Total %	0	40.8	0	56.9	0.5	0	0.9	0.9	0	

		Warren	Street			Warren	Street		Bo	ys and Girls	Club Drivewa	ay	
		From	North			From	South			From	West		
Start Time	Right	Thru	U-Turn	App. Total	Thru	Left	U-Turn	App. Total	Right	Left	U-Turn	App. Total	Int. Total
Peak Hour Analysis From	04:00 PM to 05	:45 PM - Pea	ak 1 of 1										
Peak Hour for Entire	Intersection I	Begins at (04:00 PM										
04:00 PM	0	16	0	16	15	0	0	15	0	0	0	0	31
04:15 PM	0	19	0	19	14	1	0	15	1	0	0	1	35
04:30 PM	0	16	0	16	20	0	0	20	1	0	0	1	37
04:45 PM	0	10	0	10	18	0	0	18	0	2	0	2	30
Total Volume	0	61	0	61	67	1	0	68	2	2	0	4	133
% App. Total	0	100	0		98.5	1.5	0		50	50	0		
PHF	.000	.803	.000	.803	.838	.250	.000	.850	.500	.250	.000	.500	.899



	Wa	ren Street		Wa	rren Street		Boys and Gir	av		
	Fr	om North		Fr	om South		Fre	om West	2	
Start Time	Right	Thru	U-Turn	Thru	Left	U-Turn	Right	Left	U-Turn	Int. Total
04:00 PM	0	17	0	17	0	0	0	0	0	34
04:15 PM	0	21	0	16	0	0	0	1	0	38
04:30 PM	0	18	0	20	1	0	0	0	0	39
04:45 PM	0	13	0	15	0	0	0	0	0	28
Total	0	69	0	68	1	0	0	1	0	139
05:00 PM	0	12	0	18	0	0	0	0	0	30
05:15 PM	0	9	0	17	0	0	0	0	0	26
05:30 PM	0	9	0	14	0	0	0	0	0	23
05:45 PM	0	7	0	11	0	0	0	0	0	18
Total	0	37	0	60	0	0	0	0	0	97
Grand Total	0	106	0	128	1	0	0	1	0	236
Apprch %	0	100	0	99.2	0.8	0	0	100	0	
Total %	0	44.9	0	54.2	0.4	0	0	0.4	0	

		Warren	Street			Warren	Street		Bo	ys and Girls	Club Drivewa	ау	
		From	North			From	South			From	west		
Start Time	Right	Thru	U-Turn	App. Total	Thru	Left	U-Turn	App. Total	Right	Left	U-Turn	App. Total	Int. Total
Peak Hour Analysis From	04:00 PM to 05	:45 PM - Pea	ak 1 of 1										
Peak Hour for Entire	Intersection I	Begins at (4:00 PM										
04:00 PM	0	17	0	17	17	0	0	17	0	0	0	0	34
04:15 PM	0	21	0	21	16	0	0	16	0	1	0	1	38
04:30 PM	0	18	0	18	20	1	0	21	0	0	0	0	39
04:45 PM	0	13	0	13	15	0	0	15	0	0	0	0	28
Total Volume	0	69	0	69	68	1	0	69	0	1	0	1	139
% App. Total	0	100	0		98.6	1.4	0		0	100	0		
PHF	.000	.821	.000	.821	.850	.250	.000	.821	.000	.250	.000	.250	.891



[]			~		Groups Pri	nted- Peds an	d Bicycles		-				
		Warren	Street			Warren	Street		Boys	and Girls C	lub Driveway	r l	
		From 1	North			From S	South			From V	West		
Start Time	Right	Thru	Peds EB	Peds WB	Thru	Left	Peds WB	Peds EB	Right	Left	Peds NB	Peds SB	Int. Total
04:00 PM	0	2	2	0	5	0	10	4	0	0	7	17	47
04:15 PM	0	1	0	0	1	0	2	2	0	0	11	20	37
04:30 PM	0	2	1	1	1	0	5	3	0	0	3	19	35
04:45 PM	0	1	0	0	2	0	7	2	0	0	5	8	25
Total	0	6	3	1	9	0	24	11	0	0	26	64	144
05:00 PM	0	0	0	0	1	0	4	2	0	0	17	16	40
05:15 PM	0	4	0	0	1	0	4	2	0	0	14	12	37
05:30 PM	0	3	0	0	2	0	3	2	0	0	9	10	29
05:45 PM	0	2	0	0	0	0	5	6	0	0	9	24	46
Total	0	9	0	0	4	0	16	12	0	0	49	62	152
	1												
Grand Total	0	15	3	1	13	0	40	23	0	0	75	126	296
Apprch %	0	78.9	15.8	5.3	17.1	0	52.6	30.3	0	0	37.3	62.7	
Total %	0	5.1	1	0.3	4.4	0	13.5	7.8	0	0	25.3	42.6	

		W	arren Stre	et			١	Warren Stre	et			Boys and	Girls Club	Driveway		
			From Nort	h				From Sout	h				From Wes	st		
Start Time	Right	Thru	Peds EB	Peds WB	App. Total	Thru	Left	Peds WB	Peds EB	App. Total	Right	Left	Peds NB	Peds SB	App. Total	Int. Total
Peak Hour Analysis From 0	4:00 PM to 05:4	45 PM - Peak	: 1 of 1													
Peak Hour for Ent	ire Intersec	ction Beg	gins at 05	:00 PM												
05:00 PM	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	4	2	7	0	0	17	16	33	40
05:15 PM	0	4	0	0	4	1	0	4	2	7	0	0	14	12	26	37
05:30 PM	0	3	0	0	3	2	0	3	2	7	0	0	9	10	19	29
05:45 PM	0	2	0	0	2	0	0	5	6	11	0	0	9	24	33	46
Total Volume	0	9	0	0	9	4	0	16	12	32	0	0	49	62	111	152
% App. Total	0	100	0	0		12.5	0	50	37.5		0	0	44.1	55.9		
PHF	.000	.563	.000	.000	.563	.500	.000	.800	.500	.727	.000	.000	.721	.646	.841	.826



		Warren	n Street			Warren	Street		Bo	ys and Girls	Club Drivewa	ıy	
		From	North			From	South			From	West		
Start Time	Right	Thru	U-Turn	App. Total	Thru	Left	U-Turn	App. Total	Right	Left	U-Turn	App. Total	Int. Total
Peak Hour Analysis From	04:00 PM to 05	:45 PM - Pe	ak 1 of 1										
Peak Hour for Entire	Intersection 1	Begins at (04:00 PM										
04:00 PM	6	234	0	240	225	11	5	241	18	5	0	23	504
04:15 PM	12	261	0	273	238	10	4	252	14	11	0	25	550
04:30 PM	11	227	0	238	215	10	1	226	32	14	0	46	510
04:45 PM	8	234	0	242	217	12	2	231	33	14	0	47	520
Total Volume	37	956	0	993	895	43	12	950	97	44	0	141	2084
% App. Total	3.7	96.3	0		94.2	4.5	1.3		68.8	31.2	0		
PHF	.771	.916	.000	.909	.940	.896	.600	.942	.735	.786	.000	.750	.947
Cars	37	826	0	863	760	41	12	813	95	41	0	136	1812
% Cars	100	86.4	0	86.9	84.9	95.3	100	85.6	97.9	93.2	0	96.5	86.9
Buses	0	61	0	61	67	1	0	68	2	2	0	4	133
% Buses	0	6.4	0	6.1	7.5	2.3	0	7.2	2.1	4.5	0	2.8	6.4
Heavy Vehicles	0	69	0	69	68	1	0	69	0	1	0	1	139
% Heavy Vehicles	0	7.2	0	6.9	7.6	2.3	0	7.3	0	2.3	0	0.7	6.7



Seasonal Adjustment Factors

Massachusetts Highway Department Statewide Traffic Data Collection 2017 Weekday Seasonal Factors

Factor Group	JAN	FEB	MAR	APR	MAY	JUN	JUL	AUG	SEP	OCT	NOV	DEC	Axle Factor
R1	1.30	1.23	1.21	1.04	0.98	0.92	0.86	0.81	0.95	0.99	1.03	1.10	0.80
R2	0.95	0.96	0.98	0.97	0.97	0.93	0.97	0.94	0.96	0.90	0.92	0.93	0.96
R3	1.05	1.01	1.04	0.99	0.94	0.93	0.91	0.92	0.96	0.94	1.01	1.03	0.97
R4-R7	1.10	1.07	1.09	1.00	0.95	0.89	0.88	0.87	0.92	0.95	1.04	1.09	0.93
U1-Boston	1.01	1.04	0.99	0.94	0.93	0.92	0.96	0.93	0.94	0.93	0.95	0.98	0.95
U1-Essex	1.04	1.05	1.00	0.96	0.93	0.89	0.90	0.90	0.93	0.93	0.98	1.03	0.90
U1-Southeast	1.07	1.05	1.02	0.97	0.95	0.90	0.89	0.88	0.92	0.94	0.98	1.01	0.97
U1-West	1.00	0.96	0.94	0.92	0.93	0.92	0.95	0.93	0.92	0.92	0.97	0.97	0.89
U1-Worcester	1.10	1.10	1.04	0.97	0.95	0.94	0.93	0.91	0.95	0.96	0.98	1.04	0.89
U2	1.01	1.03	0.98	0.95	0.93	0.91	0.94	0.92	0.95	0.95	0.95	0.97	0.98
U3	1.03	1.05	1.01	0.95	0.92	0.90	0.94	0.93	0.93	0.92	0.96	0.99	0.96
U4-U7	1.06	1.05	1.02	0.96	0.92	0.89	0.95	0.95	0.92	0.92	0.98	1.03	0.98
Rec - East	1.18	1.17	1.08	1.03	0.95	0.87	0.83	0.83	0.97	0.98	1.19	1.19	0.98
Rec - West	1.30	1.23	1.32	1.18	0.95	0.82	0.70	0.69	0.97	0.96	1.16	1.15	0.95

Round off:

0-999 = 10

>1000 = 100

U = Urban

R = Rural

1 - Interstate

2 - Freeway and Expressway

3 - Other Principal Arterial

4 - Minor Arterial

5 - Major Collector

6 - Minor Collector

7 - Local Road and Street

 Recreational - East Group
 - Cape Cod (all towns) including the town of Plymouth south of Route 3A (stations

 7014,7079,7080,7090,7091,7092,7093,7094,7095,7096,7097,7108 and 7178), Martha's Vineyard and Nantucket.

 Recreational - West Group
 - Continuous Stations 2 and 189 including stations

 1066,1067,1083,1084,1085,1086,1087,1088,1089,1090,1091,1092,1093,1094,1095,1096,1097,1098,1099,1100,1101,1102,1103,1104,1105,1106,1107,1108,1113,111

 4,1116,2196,2197 and 2198.

Trip Generation - Proposed Program

135 Dudley Street Trip Generation Assessment

HOWARD STEIN HUDSON 29-Aug-2019

			Directional	I Average Trip	Unadjusted	Assumed National Vehicle Occupancy	Unadjusted	Transit	Transit Person-	Walk/Bike/	Walk/ Bike/		Auto Person-		Taxi/TNC Person-	Assumed Local Auto Occupancy	Private Auto	Primary Auto-	Assumed Local Auto Occupancy	Taxi/TNC	Primary	Total Auto Trips (Private
Land Use	Size	Category	Split	Rate	Vehicle Trips	Rate ¹	Person-Trips	Share ³	Trips	Other Share	³ Other Trips	Auto Share ³	Trips	% Taxi/ TNC ⁴	Trips	Rate for Taxis ⁵	Person-Trips	Person Trips	Rate ⁵	Auto Trips	AutoTrips	+ TNC)
Daily Peak Hour																						
Multifamily Housing (Low Rise) ⁶	160	Total		7.320	1,172	1.18	1,382	17%	234	27%	374	56%	774	5%	38	1.18	736	736	1.18	64	624	688
	units	In	50%	3.660	586	1.18	691	17%	117	27%	187	56%	387	5%	19	1.18	368	368	1.18	32	312	344
		Out	50%	3.660	586	1.18	691	17%	117	27%	187	56%	387	5%	19	1.18	368	368	1.18	32	312	344
Shopping Center'	15.512	Total		37.750	586	1.82	1,066	12%	128	35%	374	53%	564	5%	28	1.82	536	536	1.82	32	294	326
	KSF	In	50%	18.875	293	1.82	533	12%	64	35%	187	53%	282	5%	14	1.82	268	268	1.82	16	147	163
0	070	Out	50%	18.875	293	1.82	533	12%	64	35%	187	53%	282	5%	14	1.82	268	268	1.82	16	147	163
Parking Garage	270	Total	500/		0	2.10	0	0%	0	0%	0	100%	0	0%	0	1.00	0	0	1.00	0	0	0
	KSF	In Out	50%	0.000	U	2.10	0	0%	U	0%	U	100%	U	0%	U	1.00	0	0	1.00	0	U	U
Total		Out Total	20%	0.000	4 759	2.10	2 449	0%	0	U%	749	100%	4 229	U%	U	1.00	U	U	1.00	06	019	1 014
lotai		lotai			1,755		2,440		362 181		746 374		1,335							90 48	918	1,014
		Out			879		1 224		181		374		600							40	459	507
AM Peak Hour		Gui					1,227				0.4			<u>u</u>								
Multifamily Housing (Low Rise) ⁶	160	Total		0.460	74	1.18	87	1	23	1	23	1	41	5%	3	1.18	39	39	1.18	8	33	41
	units	In	23%	0.106	17	1.18	20	19%	4	27%	5	54%	11	5%	1	1.18	10	10	1.18	4	8	12
		Out	77%	0.354	57	1.18	67	29%	19	27%	18	44%	30	5%	2	1.18	29	29	1.18	4	25	29
Shopping Center ⁷	15.512	Total		0.94	15	1.82	27		4		10		13	5%	0	1.82	13	13	1.82	0	7	7
	KSF	In	62%	0.583	9	1.82	16	13%	2	36%	6	51%	8	5%	0	1.82	8	8	1.82	0	4	4
		Out	38%	0.357	6	1.82	11	21%	2	37%	4	42%	5	5%	0	1.82	5	5	1.82	0	3	3
Parking Garage ⁸	270	Total			0	2.10	0							5%	0	1.00	0	0	2.10	0	30	30
	Spaces	In			0	2.10	0	0%		0%		100%		5%	0	1.00	0	0	2.10	0	25	25
		Out			0	2.10	0	0%		0%		100%		5%	0	1.00	0	0	2.10	0	5	5
Total		Total			89		114		27		33		54							8	70	78
		In			26		36		6		11		19							4	37	41
		Out			63		78		21		22		35							4	33	37
PM Peak Hour										1		1										
Multifamily Housing (Low Rise)	160	Total		0.560	89	1.18	105		26		29		50	5%	2	1.18	48	48	1.18	4	41	45
	units	In .	63%	0.353	56	1.18	66	29%	19	27%	18	44%	29	5%	1	1.18	28	28	1.18	2	24	26
Channing Center ⁷	15 510	Out	31%	0.207	33	1.18	39	19%	1	21%	11	54%	21	5%	1	1.18	20	20	1.18	2	1/	19
Shopping Center	KSE	I Otali	48%	1.820	28	1.02	51	21%	10	37%	35	12%	21	5%	4	1.02	40	40	1.62	* 2	20	30
	Nor	In Out	40% 52%	1.029	20	1.02	56	2170	7	36%	20	42 70 51%	21	5%	1	1.02	20	20	1.02	2	15	13
Parking Garage ⁸	270	Total	UZ /0	1.801	0	2 10	0	1370	0	3070	0	5170	0	5%	0	1.02	0	0	2 10		30	30
- Grong	KSF	In			0	2 10	0	0%	0	0%	0	100%	0	5%	0	1.00	0	0	2 10	ő	5	5
		Out			0	2.10	0	0%	0	0%	0	100%	0	5%	0	1.00	0	0	2.10	ō	25	25
Total		Total			148	· · · · ·	212	-	44		68		100	-						8	97	105
		In			84		117		30		37		50							4	40	44
		Out			64		05		44		24		50								57	64

2017 National vehicle occupancy rates - 1.18:home to work; 1.82: family/personal business; 1.82: shopping; 2.1 social/recreational
 Based on ITE Trip Generation Handbook; 3rd Edition method
 Mode shares based on peak-hour BTD Data for Area 15
 Assumed Tax/TNC percentage
 Local vehicle occupancy rates based on 2009 National vehicle occupancy rates
 Local vehicle occupancy rates based on 2009 National vehicle occupancy rates
 TTr Trip Generation Manual, 10th Edition, LUC 820 (Nhtpanily Housing Low-Rise (1-2 floors), average rate
 TTr Trip Generation Manual, 10th Edition, LUC 820 (Shopping Center), average rate
 Herror to retrieve for form action state of thema

8. Based on trips redirected from existing site driveway

Synchro Intersection Level of Service Reports

• Existing (2019) Condition

	≯	\mathbf{r}	₹Ĩ	٠	Ť	L	ŧ	~
Lane Group	EBL	EBR	NBU	NBL	NBT	SBU	SBT	SBR
Lane Configurations	Y			٦	† †		≜ †≱	
Future Volume (vph)	7	12	1	29	1098	2	446 446	19 19
Ideal Flow (vphpl)	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900
Grade (%)	0%	0		05	0%	0	4%	0
Storage Length (It) Storage Lanes	1	0		95		0		0
Taper Length (ft)	25	0		25		25		0
Lane Util. Factor	1.00	1.00	0.95	1.00	0.95	0.95	0.95	0.95
Ped Bike Factor	0.97			0.86			0.99	
FIT Fit Protected	0.915			0.050			0.994	
Satd. Flow (prot)	1538	0	0	1805	3406	0	3106	0
Flt Permitted	0.982	J. J	Ŭ	0.463	2.00	Ŭ	0.953	Ŭ
Satd. Flow (perm)	1536	0	0	754	3406	0	2960	0
Right Turn on Red	17	Yes					10	Yes
Link Speed (mph)	30				30		30	
Link Distance (ft)	392				450		200	
Travel Time (s)	8.9				10.2		4.5	
Confl. Peds. (#/hr)	2	14	0.00	86	0.00	0.00	0.01	86
Heavy Vehicles (%)	0.70	0.70	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.91	0.91
Adj. Flow (vph)	10	13%	0%	32	1193	2	490	21
Shared Lane Traffic (%)						_		
Lane Group Flow (vph)	27	0	0	33	1193	0	513	0
Lurn Type Drotoctod Dhococ	Prot		Perm	Perm	NA	Perm	NA	
Permitted Phases	4		2	2	2	6	0	
Detector Phase	4		2	2	2	6	6	
Switch Phase								
Minimum Initial (s)	4.0		4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	
Total Split (s)	20.0		20.0 89.0	20.0	20.0 89.0	20.0	20.0	
Total Split (%)	19.1%		80.9%	80.9%	80.9%	80.9%	80.9%	
Maximum Green (s)	17.0		85.0	85.0	85.0	85.0	85.0	
Yellow Time (s)	3.5		3.5	3.5	3.5	3.5	3.5	
All-Red Time (s)	0.5		0.5	0.5	0.5	0.5	0.5	
Total Lost Time (s)	4.0			4.0	4.0		4.0	
Lead/Lag								
Lead-Lag Optimize?	2.0		2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	
Recall Mode	3.0 None		3.0 C-Max	3.0 C-Max	3.0 C-Max	3.0 C-Max	3.0 C-Max	
Walk Time (s)	5.0		5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	
Flash Dont Walk (s)	11.0		11.0	11.0	11.0	11.0	11.0	
Pedestrian Calls (#/hr)	0		0	0	0	0	0	
Act LITCI Green (S)	6.7			0.07	00.7		100.7	
v/c Ratio	0.06			0.92	0.92		0.92	
Control Delay	33.3			1.3	1.6		1.0	
Queue Delay	0.0			0.0	0.4		0.0	
Total Delay	33.3			1.3	2.0		1.0	
LUS Approach Delay	22.2			A	A 1 Q		A 1.0	
Approach LOS	33.3 C				1.9 A		1.0 A	
90th %ile Green (s)	8.8		93.2	93.2	93.2	93.2	93.2	
90th %ile Term Code	Gap		Coord	Coord	Coord	Coord	Coord	
/Uth %ile Green (s)	7.3		94.7 Coord	94.7	94.7	94.7	94.7 Coord	
50th %ile Green (s)	Gap 6.2		000ra 95.8	95.8	95.8	95.8	95.8	
50th %ile Term Code	Gap		Coord	Coord	Coord	Coord	Coord	
30th %ile Green (s)	0.0		106.0	106.0	106.0	106.0	106.0	
30th %ile Term Code	Skip		Coord	Coord	Coord	Coord	Coord	
10th %ile Term Code	0.0 Skip		Coord	Coord	Coord	Coord	Coord	
Queue Length 50th (ft)	Зкір 7		JUUIU	2	55	JUUIU	16	
Queue Length 95th (ft)	24			7	90		25	
Internal Link Dist (ft)	312				370		120	
Turn Bay Length (ft) Base Canacity (upb)	252			95	2110		2711	
Starvation Can Reducto	252			090	3119 1265		2/11	
Spillback Cap Reductn	0			0	1200		0	
Storage Cap Reductn	0			0	0		0	
Reduced v/c Ratio	0.11			0.05	0.64		0.19	
Intersection Summary								
Area Type:	Other							
Cycle Length: 110 Actuated Cycle Length: 110								
Offset: 0 (0%). Referenced to	o phase 2:NR	TL and 6	SBTU SI	art of Gre	en			
Natural Cycle: 45	- F- 300 2.110	2 4 4 0						
Control Type: Actuated-Coor	rdinated							
Maximum v/c Ratio: 0.38	2			1.	torcotic	100.4		
Intersection Canacity Hitizat	∠ tion 44.1%			lr IC	nersection CU Level o	of Service	Ą	
Analysis Period (min) 15				K				
	_							
Splits and Phases: 38: Wa	arren St & Dri	veway						
Ø2 (R)								
89 s								
📕 🖣 Ø6 (R)								
90 c								

	٦	\mathbf{r}	₽	1	1	ŧ	-
Lane Group	EBL	EBR	NBU	NBL	NBT	SBT	SBR
Lane Configurations Traffic Volume (vph)	¥ 44	97	12	43	†† 908	↑1 → 971	37
Future Volume (vph)	44	97	12	43	908	971	37
Ideal Flow (vphpl) Grade (%)	1900 0%	1900	1900	1900	1900 0%	1900 4%	1900
Storage Length (ft)	0	0		95	570		0
Storage Lanes Taper Length (ft)	1	0		25			0
Lane Util. Factor	1.00	1.00	0.95	1.00	0.95	0.95	0.95
Ped Bike Factor Frt	0.95			0.94		0.99	
Flt Protected	0.985			0.950			
Satd. Flow (prot) Flt Permitted	1612 0.985	0	0	1777	3343	3246	0
Satd. Flow (perm)	1612	0	0	423	3343	3246	0
Right Turn on Red Satd_Flow (RTOR)	70	Yes				11	Yes
Link Speed (mph)	30				30	30	
Link Distance (ft) Travel Time (s)	392				450	200	
Confl. Peds. (#/hr)	0.7	28		111	10.2	4.5	111
Confl. Bikes (#/hr) Peak Hour Factor	0.75	0.75	0.04	0.04	0.0/	0.01	9
Heavy Vehicles (%)	1%	0%	0%	2%	8%	7%	0.91
Adj. Flow (vph) Shared Lane Traffic (%)	59	129	13	46	966	1067	41
Lane Group Flow (vph)	188	0	0	59	966	1108	0
Turn Type Protected Phases	Prot		Perm	Perm	NA	NA	
Permitted Phases	4		2	2	2	0	
Detector Phase	4		2	2	2	6	
Minimum Initial (s)	4.0		4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	
Minimum Split (s)	20.0		20.0	20.0	20.0	20.0	
Total Split (S) Total Split (%)	21.0 19.1%		89.0 80.9%	89.0 80.9%	89.0 80.9%	89.0 80.9%	
Maximum Green (s)	17.0		85.0	85.0	85.0	85.0	
Yellow Time (s) All-Red Time (s)	3.5		3.5	3.5	3.5	3.5 0.5	
Lost Time Adjust (s)	0.0		2.5	0.0	0.0	0.0	
i otal Lost Time (s) Lead/Lag	4.0			4.0	4.0	4.0	
Lead-Lag Optimize?							
Vehicle Extension (s) Recall Mode	3.0 None		3.0 C-Max	3.0 C-Max	3.0 C-Max	3.0 C-Max	
Walk Time (s)	5.0		5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	
Flash Dont Walk (s)	11.0		11.0	11.0	11.0	11.0	
Act Effct Green (s)	12.4		U	89.6	89.6	89.6	
Actuated g/C Ratio	0.11			0.81	0.81	0.81	
Control Delay	44.6			2.8	2.0	3.4	
Queue Delay	0.1			0.0	0.2	0.0	
LOS	44./ D			2.8 A	2.1 A	3.4 A	
Approach Delay	44.7				2.2	3.4	
Approach LOS 90th %ile Green (s)	D 17.0		85.0	85.0	A 85.0	A 85.0	
90th %ile Term Code	Max		Coord	Coord	Coord	Coord	
70th %ile Green (s) 70th %ile Term Code	15.9 Gap		86.1 Coord	86.1 Coord	86.1 Coord	86.1 Coord	
50th %ile Green (s)	13.1		88.9	88.9	88.9	88.9	
50th %ile Term Code 30th %ile Green (s)	Gap 10.2		Coord 91.8	Coord 91.8	Coord 91.8	Coord 91.8	
30th %ile Term Code	Gap		Coord	Coord	Coord	Coord	
10th %ile Green (s) 10th %ile Term Code	6.0		96.0	96.0	96.0	96.0	
Queue Length 50th (ft)	Gap 74		COOLO	5	42	81	
Queue Length 95th (ft)	109			m10	m54	m141	
Turn Bay Length (ft)	312			95	370	120	
Base Capacity (vph)	315			344	2721	2644	
Starvation Cap Reductn Spillback Cap Reductn	0			0	772	0 169	
Storage Cap Reductn	4 0			0	0	0	
Reduced v/c Ratio	0.60			0.17	0.50	0.45	
Intersection Summary	Other						
Cycle Length: 110	Other						
Actuated Cycle Length: 110)	TI - 11	CDT C		_		
Utrset: 0 (0%), Referenced Natural Cycle: 45	to phase 2:NE	SIL and 6	SBT, Sta	rt of Gree	n		
Control Type: Actuated-Coc	ordinated						
Maximum v/c Ratio: 0.75	2				ntersection	105.1	
Intersection Capacity Utiliza	ation 53.7%			10	CU Level of	of Service A	1
Analysis Period (min) 15	ntile quous is	notored -	w upstros	m signal			
in volume for 95th percen	nne queue is r	neterea p	y upstrea	n signai.			
Splits and Phases: 37: W	/arren St & Dr	iveway					
Ø2 (R)							
89 s							
📕 🕈 🖉 6 (R)							

• No-Build (2026) Condition

	٦	\mathbf{F}	₹	•	Ť	L	ŧ	∢
Lane Group	EBL	EBR	NBU	NBL	NBT	SBU	SBT	SBR
Lane Configurations	Y	10	1	1	*	^	≜ †⊅	~~
Future Volume (vph)	7	12 12	1	30	1155	2	477 477	20
Ideal Flow (vphpl)	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900
Grade (%)	0%	^		05	0%	^	4%	
Storage Length (ft) Storage Lanes	0	0		95 1		0		0
Taper Length (ft)	25	U		25		25		U
Lane Util. Factor	1.00	1.00	0.95	1.00	0.95	0.95	0.95	0.95
Ped Bike Factor	0.97			0.87			0.99	
FIL Protected	0.982			0.950			0.994	
Satd. Flow (prot)	1538	0	0	1805	3406	0	3107	0
Flt Permitted	0.982			0.447			0.953	
Satd. Flow (perm) Right Turn on Pod	1536	0 Voc	0	735	3406	0	2961	0 Voc
Satd. Flow (RTOR)	17	162					12	162
Link Speed (mph)	30				30		30	
Link Distance (ft)	392				450		200	
Confl. Peds (#/hr)	8.9	14		86	10.2		4.5	86
Peak Hour Factor	0.70	0.70	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.91	0.91
Heavy Vehicles (%)	0%	13%	0%	0%	6%	50%	12%	3%
Adj. Flow (vph)	10	17	1	33	1255	2	524	22
Snared Lane Traffic (%)	27	0	0	34	1255	0	548	0
Turn Type	Prot	U	Perm	Perm	NA	Perm	NA	U
Protected Phases	4				2		6	
Permitted Phases			2	2	0	6	,	
Switch Phase	4		2	2	2	0	0	
Minimum Initial (s)	4.0		4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	
Minimum Split (s)	20.0		20.0	20.0	20.0	20.0	20.0	
Total Split (S) Total Split (%)	21.0		80.0%	89.0 80.0%	89.0 80 Q%	89.0 80.9%	89.0 80.9%	
Maximum Green (s)	17.0		85.0	85.0	85.0	85.0	85.0	
Yellow Time (s)	3.5		3.5	3.5	3.5	3.5	3.5	
All-Red Time (s)	0.5		0.5	0.5	0.5	0.5	0.5	
Total Lost Time (s)	4.0			4.0	4.0		4.0	
Lead/Lag								
Lead-Lag Optimize?	~ ~		2.0			2.0	2.0	
venicle Extension (s) Recall Mode	3.0 None		3.0 C-Max	3.0 C-Max	3.0 C-Max	3.0 C-Max	3.0 C-Max	
Walk Time (s)	5.0		5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	
Flash Dont Walk (s)	11.0		11.0	11.0	11.0	11.0	11.0	
Pedestrian Calls (#/hr)	0		0	100.7	100.7	0	0	
Actuated g/C Ratio	0.7			0.92	0.92		0.92	
v/c Ratio	0.25			0.05	0.40		0.20	
Control Delay	33.3			1.3	1.6		1.0	
Total Delay	0.0			0.0	0.4		0.0	
LOS	53.5 C			1.5 A	2.1 A		A	
Approach Delay	33.3				2.0		1.0	
Approach LOS	С		02.2	02.2	A	02.2	A	
90th %ile Term Code	ö.ö Gap		V3.2 Coord	93.2 Coord	93.2 Coord	93.2 Coord	93.2 Coord	
70th %ile Green (s)	7.3		94.7	94.7	94.7	94.7	94.7	
70th %ile Term Code	Gap		Coord	Coord	Coord	Coord	Coord	
50th %ile Green (s)	6.2 Gan		95.8 Coord	95.8 Coord	95.8 Coord	95.8 Coord	95.8 Coord	
30th %ile Green (s)	0.0		106.0	106.0	106.0	106.0	106.0	
30th %ile Term Code	Skip		Coord	Coord	Coord	Coord	Coord	
10th %ile Green (s) 10th %ile Term Code	0.0 Skip		106.0	106.0	106.0	106.0	106.0	
Queue Length 50th (ft)	SKIP 7		Coord	2	60	COOLO	17	
Queue Length 95th (ft)	24			7	97		26	
Internal Link Dist (ft)	312				370		120	
Turn Bay Length (ft) Base Canacity (uph)	253			95	2110		2712	
Dase Capacity (Vph) Starvation Cap Reducto	252			6/3	3119		2/12	
Spillback Cap Reductn	0			0	0		0	
Storage Cap Reductn	0			0	0		0	
Reduced v/c Ratio	0.11			0.05	0.66		0.20	
Intersection Summary	Other							
Area Type: Cycle Length: 110	Uther							
Actuated Cycle Length: 110								
Offset: 0 (0%), Referenced to	phase 2:NB	TL and 6:	SBTU, S	tart of Gre	en			
Natural Cycle: 45	lingtod							
Control Type: Actuated-Coord Maximum v/c Ratio: 0.40	unated							
Intersection Signal Delay: 2.2				Ir	ntersection	LOS: A		
Intersection Capacity Utilization	on 45.7%			IC	CU Level o	of Service A	A	
Analysis Period (min) 15								
Splits and Phases: 38. War	rren St & Dri	vewav						
▼1.0/2 (R) 89 s								
A (P)								

	٦	\mathbf{r}	₹	•	Ť	ŧ	~
Lane Group	EBL	EBR	NBU	NBL	NBT	SBT	SBR
Lane Configurations	Y	07	10	1	^	1 000	20
Traffic Volume (vph) Future Volume (vph)	44	97 97	12 12	45 45	961 961	1022	38 38
Ideal Flow (vphpl)	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900
Grade (%) Storage Length (ff)	0%	0		95	0%	4%	0
Storage Lanes	1	0		1			0
Taper Length (ft)	25	1.00	0.05	25	0.05	0.05	0.05
Ped Bike Factor	0.95	1.00	0.95	0.95	0.95	0.95	0.95
Frt	0.907			0.70		0.995	
Fit Protected	0.985	0	0	0.950	2242	2250	0
Flt Permitted	0.985	U	U	0.225	JJ4J	3230	U
Satd. Flow (perm)	1612	0	0	398	3343	3250	0
Right Turn on Red	71	Yes				11	Yes
Link Speed (mph)	30				30	30	
Link Distance (ft)	392				450	200	
Travel Time (s) Confl. Peds. (#/hr)	8.9	28		111	10.2	4.5	111
Confl. Bikes (#/hr)		20					9
Peak Hour Factor	0.75	0.75	0.92	0.94	0.94	0.91	0.91
Heavy venicles (%) Adi, Flow (vph)	1%	0% 129	0% 13	2% 48	8%	1123	0% 42
Shared Lane Traffic (%)	57	127	15	40	1022	1125	72
Lane Group Flow (vph)	188	0	0	61	1022	1165	0
Protected Phases	Prot 4		rem	Perm	NA 2	NA 6	
Permitted Phases			2	2	_	-	
Detector Phase Switch Phase	4		2	2	2	6	
Minimum Initial (s)	4.0		4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	
Minimum Split (s)	20.0		20.0	20.0	20.0	20.0	
Total Split (s) Total Split (%)	21.0 19.1%		89.0 80.9%	89.0 80.9%	89.0 80.9%	89.0 80.9%	
Maximum Green (s)	17.0		85.0	85.0	85.0	85.0	
Yellow Time (s)	3.5		3.5	3.5	3.5	3.5	
All-Red Time (s)	0.5		0.5	0.5	0.5	0.5	
Total Lost Time (s)	4.0			4.0	4.0	4.0	
Lead/Lag							
Vehicle Extension (s)	3.0		3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	
Recall Mode	None		C-Max	C-Max	C-Max	C-Max	
Walk Time (s)	5.0		5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	
Pedestrian Calls (#/hr)	0		11.0	11.0	0	11.0	
Act Effct Green (s)	12.9		Ŭ	89.1	89.1	89.1	
Actuated g/C Ratio	0.12			0.81	0.81	0.81	
Control Delay	46.9			3.1	2.1	3.7	
Queue Delay	0.1			0.0	0.2	0.0	
Total Delay	47.0			3.1	2.3	3.7	
Approach Delay	47.0			A	A 2.4	A 3.7	
Approach LOS	D				А	А	
90th %ile Green (s) 90th %ile Term Code	17.0 May		85.0 Coord	85.0 Coord	85.0 Coord	85.0 Coord	
70th %ile Green (s)	16.4		85.6	85.6	85.6	85.6	
70th %ile Term Code	Gap		Coord	Coord	Coord	Coord	
50th %ile Green (s) 50th %ile Term Code	13.6 Gan		88.4 Coord	88.4 Coord	88.4 Coord	88.4 Coord	
30th %ile Green (s)	10.7		91.3	91.3	91.3	91.3	
30th %ile Term Code	Gap		Coord	Coord	Coord	Coord	
10th %ile Green (s) 10th %ile Term Code	6.6 Gan		95.4 Coord	95.4 Coord	95.4 Coord	95.4 Coord	
Queue Length 50th (ft)	80		JUUIU	5	47	91	
Queue Length 95th (ft)	115			m11	m60	154	
Internal Link Dist (ft) Turn Bay Length (ft)	312			95	370	120	
Base Capacity (vph)	309			322	2709	2635	
Starvation Cap Reductn	0			0	738	0	
Spillback Cap Reductn	3			0	0	163	
Reduced v/c Ratio	0.61			0.19	0.52	0.47	
Intersection Summary							
Area Type:	Other						
Cycle Length: 110							
Actuated Cycle Length: 110 Offset: 0 (0%) Referenced to	nhase 2-MR	TL and A	SBT Sta	rt of Gree	n		
Natural Cycle: 50	2 PHOSE 2.1VD	anu 0	, 3id	. or oree			
Control Type: Actuated-Coord	dinated						
Maximum v/c Ratio: 0.75 Intersection Signal Delay: 4 5	i			l.	ntersection	1105- 4	
Intersection Capacity Utilizati	, ion 55.1%			10	CU Level o	of Service E	3
Analysis Period (min) 15							
m Volume for 95th percenti	le queue is n	netered b	y upstrear	m signal.			
Splits and Phases: 37: Wa	rren St & Dri	veway					
(R)							
89 s							
Ø6 (R)							

• Build (2026) Condition

	٦	\mathbf{r}	₹I	٩	Ť	L	ŧ	∢
Lane Group	EBL	EBR	NBU	NBL	NBT	SBU	SBT	SBR
Lane Configurations	Y	24	1	1	1100	2	↑ 1→	44
Future Volume (vph)	32	24	1	47	1155	2	4/7	44 44
Ideal Flow (vphpl)	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900
Grade (%)	0%				0%		4%	
Storage Length (ft) Storage Lanes	0	0		95		0		0
Taper Length (ft)	25	U		25		25		U
Lane Util. Factor	1.00	1.00	0.95	1.00	0.95	0.95	0.95	0.95
Ped Bike Factor	0.98			0.87			0.97	
FIT Fit Protected	0.943			0.050			0.987	
Satd. Flow (prot)	1622	0	0	1805	3406	0	3054	0
Flt Permitted	0.972	Ŭ	Ŭ	0.436	2.00	Ŭ	0.953	Ŭ
Satd. Flow (perm)	1619	0	0	722	3406	0	2910	0
Right Turn on Red	25	Yes					77	Yes
Link Speed (mph)	25 30				30		27	
Link Distance (ft)	392				450		200	
Travel Time (s)	8.9				10.2		4.5	
Confl. Peds. (#/hr)	2	14	0.00	86	0.00	0.00	0.01	86
Peak Hour Factor Heavy Vehicles (%)	0.95	0.95	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.91	0.91
Adj. Flow (vph)	34	25	1	51	1255	2	524	48
Shared Lane Traffic (%)								
Lane Group Flow (vph)	59	0	0	52	1255	0	574	0
Turn Type Protected Phases	Prot		Perm	Perm	NA 2	Perm	NA 6	
Permitted Phases	4		2	2	2	6	U	
Detector Phase	4		2	2	2	6	6	
Switch Phase								
Minimum Initial (s)	4.0		4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	
Total Split (s)	20.0		20.0	20.0	20.0	20.0	20.0	
Total Split (%)	19.1%		80.9%	80.9%	80.9%	80.9%	80.9%	
Maximum Green (s)	17.0		85.0	85.0	85.0	85.0	85.0	
Yellow Lime (s)	3.5		3.5	3.5	3.5	3.5	3.5	
Lost Time Adjust (s)	0.5		0.5	0.0	0.0	0.5	0.0	
Total Lost Time (s)	4.0			4.0	4.0		4.0	
Lead/Lag								
Leau-Lay Op(IMIZe? Vehicle Extension (s)	3.0		3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	
Recall Mode	None		C-Max	C-Max	C-Max	C-Max	C-Max	
Walk Time (s)	5.0		5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	
Flash Dont Walk (s)	11.0		11.0	11.0	11.0	11.0	11.0	
Act Effct Green (s)	0		U	96.7	96.7	U	96.7	
Actuated g/C Ratio	0.07			0.88	0.88		0.88	
v/c Ratio	0.42			0.08	0.42		0.22	
Control Delay	39.8			1.9	2.3		1.5	
Total Delay	0.0 30.8			0.0	0.0 2.8		0.0	
LOS	57.0 D			1.7 A	2.0 A		1.5 A	
Approach Delay	39.8				2.8		1.5	
Approach LOS	D		00.5	00.5	A	005	A	
90th %ile Term Code	11.5 Gap		90.5 Coord	90.5 Coord	90.5 Coord	90.5 Coord	90.5 Coord	
70th %ile Green (s)	9.3		92.7	92.7	92.7	92.7	92.7	
70th %ile Term Code	Gap		Coord	Coord	Coord	Coord	Coord	
50th %ile Green (s)	7.7		94.3	94.3	94.3	94.3	94.3	
50th %ile Term Code	Gap		Coord	Coord	Coord	Coord	Coord	
30th %ile Term Code	Gap		Coord	Coord	75.6 Coord	70.0 Coord	Coord	
10th %ile Green (s)	0.0		106.0	106.0	106.0	106.0	106.0	
10th %ile Term Code	Skip		Coord	Coord	Coord	Coord	Coord	
Queue Length 50th (ft)	23			4	101		21	
Internal Link Dist (ff)	312			12	370		43	
Turn Bay Length (ft)	5.2			95				
Base Capacity (vph)	271			634	2993		2560	
Starvation Cap Reductn	0			0	1192		0	
Spillback Cap Reductn Storage Cap Reductn	0			0	0		0	
Reduced v/c Ratio	0.22			0.08	0.70		0.22	
Intersection Summary								
Area Type:	Other							
Cycle Length: 110								
Actuated Cycle Length: 110	o phone O MD	TI ord (CDTU C	art of C				
Unset: 0 (0%), Referenced t Natural Cycle: 45	o pnase 2:NB	L and 6	:5B1U, St	art of Gre	en			
Control Type: Actuated-Con	rdinated							
Maximum v/c Ratio: 0.42	anatou							
Intersection Signal Delay: 3.	5			lr	tersectior	LOS: A		
Intersection Capacity Utilizat	tion 53.9%			10	CU Level o	of Service	A	
Analysis Period (Min) 15								
Splits and Phases: 38: Wa	arren St & Dri	veway						
1 (m)								
89 s								
. ⊭								
v ⊈ 126 (R) 89 s								

	٦	\mathbf{r}	₹Ĩ	1	Ť	Ŧ	<
Lane Group	EBL	EBR	NBU	NBL	NBT	SBT	SBR
Lane Configurations	¥	104	10	5	*	1022	74
Future Volume (vph)	78 78	124 124	12 12	53 53	961 961	1022	74 74
Ideal Flow (vphpl)	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900
Grade (%) Storage Length (ft)	0%	0		95	U%	4%	0
Storage Lanes	1	0		1			0
Taper Length (ft) Lane Util, Factor	25 1.00	1.00	0.95	25 1.00	0.95	0.95	0.95
Ped Bike Factor	0.96		5.75	0.95	5.75	0.97	0.70
Frt Elt Protected	0.917			0.050		0.990	
Satd. Flow (prot)	1631	0	0	1776	3343	3200	0
Fit Permitted	0.981	^	^	0.212	22.40	2202	^
Said. Flow (perm) Right Turn on Red	1631	0 Yes	U	376	3343	3200	0 Yes
Satd. Flow (RTOR)	62					21	. 00
Link Speed (mph)	30				30	30	
Travel Time (s)	8.9				10.2	4.5	
Confl. Peds. (#/hr)		28		111			111
Peak Hour Factor	0.95	0.95	0.94	0.94	0.94	0.91	9 0.91
Heavy Vehicles (%)	1%	0%	0%	2%	8%	7%	0%
Adj. Flow (vph) Shared Lane Traffic (%)	82	131	13	56	1022	1123	81
Lane Group Flow (vph)	213	0	0	69	1022	1204	0
Turn Type Protected Discos	Prot		Perm	Perm	NA	NA	
Permitted Phases	4		2	2	2	0	
Detector Phase	4		2	2	2	6	
Switch Phase Minimum Initial (s)	4.0		4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	
Minimum Split (s)	20.0		20.0	20.0	20.0	20.0	
Total Split (s) Total Split (%)	21.0		89.0	89.0	89.0	89.0	
Maximum Green (s)	17.1%		85.0	85.0	85.0	85.0	
Yellow Time (s)	3.5		3.5	3.5	3.5	3.5	
Lost Time Adjust (s)	0.5		0.5	0.5	0.5	0.5	
Total Lost Time (s)	4.0			4.0	4.0	4.0	
Lead/Lag Lead-Lag Ontimize?							
Vehicle Extension (s)	3.0		3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	
Recall Mode	None		C-Max	C-Max	C-Max	C-Max	
Flash Dont Walk (s)	5.0		5.0 11.0	5.0	5.0 11.0	5.0 11.0	
Pedestrian Calls (#/hr)	0		0	0	0	0	
Act Effct Green (s)	14.4			87.6	87.6	87.6	
v/c Ratio	0.13			0.23	0.38	0.47	
Control Delay	54.3			3.7	2.4	4.2	
Total Delay	0.2 54.4			0.0	2.5	0.0 4.3	
LOS	D			A	A	A	
Approach Delay	54.4				2.6	4.3	
90th %ile Green (s)	17.0		85.0	85.0	85.0	85.0	
90th %ile Term Code	Max		Coord	Coord	Coord	Coord	
70th %ile Green (s) 70th %ile Term Code	17.0 Max		85.0 Coord	85.0 Coord	85.0 Coord	85.0 Coord	
50th %ile Green (s)	16.0		86.0	86.0	86.0	86.0	
50th %ile Term Code	Gap		Coord	Coord	Coord	Coord	
30th %ile Green (s)	I 3.1 Gap		88.9 Coord	88.9 Coord	88.9 Coord	88.9 Coord	
10th %ile Green (s)	8.7		93.3	93.3	93.3	93.3	
10th %ile Term Code	Gap 102		Coord	Coord	Coord	Coord	
Queue Length 95th (ft)	#200			m12	50 m61	121	
Internal Link Dist (ft)	312			05	370	120	
Furn Bay Length (ft) Base Capacity (vph)	304			95 299	2663	2553	
Starvation Cap Reductn	0			0	726	0	
Spillback Cap Reductn	3			0	0	159	
Storage Cap Reductn Reduced v/c Ratio	0.71			0.23	0.53	0.50	
Intersection Summary							
Area Type:	Other						
Cycle Length: 110							
Actuated Cycle Length: 110 Offset: 0 (0%) Referenced to	nhase 2-NE	STL and A	SBT Sta	rt of Green	n		
Natural Cycle: 50	- pridoe 2:IVE	, i ∟ ailu 0	, Sid				
Control Type: Actuated-Coord	dinated						
Maximum v/c Ratio: 0.80 Intersection Signal Delay: 7.8	3			In	ntersection	LOS: A	
Intersection Capacity Utilization	ion 58.1%			IC	CU Level o	of Service E	;
Analysis Period (min) 15	veoode e==	city are	o mou b	longer			
 your percenule volume ex Queue shown is maximum 	n after two ci	uny, queu ycles.	е пау ре	ionger.			
m Volume for 95th percentil	ile queue is r	netered b	y upstrear	m signal.			
Solits and Phases 27- Mar	rren St & Dri	vewav					
/ ⊤1 Ø2 (R) 89 s							
Ø6 (R)							
🕈 Ø6 (R)							



135 Dudley Street, Roxbury

