

| Num | Paper or Online | GlobalID                             | Creation Date | Which Scenario's land use proposal aligns closest with your vision for Charlestown's future? | What is the appropriate ratio of residential to commercial development in the Sullivan Square area? Ground floor retail may be permitted in both housing and commercial use areas. | Please share as much as you can about the land uses you would most or least like to see, what land uses should be in specific areas, or any other feedback you would like to give:   | What is the appropriate ratio of residential to commercial development for the industrial area along the Mystic River? | What is the appropriate ratio of residential to commercial development for the land surrounding Bunker Hill Community College, including the parking lots south of Austin Street? | What is the appropriate ratio of residential to commercial development for the land surrounding Bunker Hill Community College? | What is the appropriate ratio of residential to commercial development for the Lost Village? | What is the appropriate ratio of residential to commercial development for parking lots south of Austin Street? | Considering rental units versus home ownership units, what mix of housing types do you want to see added in Charlestown? | What housing price-points should be prioritized for new housing added in Charlestown? |
|-----|-----------------|--------------------------------------|---------------|--|--|--|--|---|--|--|---|--|---|
| 1   | Online          | 867d6337-f51b-40f1-8903-71f438abcc89 | 7/20/22       | Some Preference for Scenario 2   | Significantly more housing than commercial   | as much housing as possible, we are in a housing crisis for renters and its hard to find land to build on so the more we can support this the better   | Significantly more housing than commercial   | Significantly more housing than commercial  | Significantly more housing than commercial   |  | Significantly more housing than commercial  |  |   |
| 2   | Online          | 809484c3-6b6b-432e-8678-a47bf5310c90 | 7/21/22       | Strong Preference for Scenario 2   | Slightly more housing than commercial  | Sullivan Sq, Medford St/The Neck definitely need more mixed use commercial/residential zoning. There is currently very little in the way of restaurants, cafes, and small retail in this area which puts residents in this area at a disadvantage compared to residents closer to Thompson/City Sq of Charlestown or other areas of Boston like Charles St (Beacon Hill), Newbury St (Back Bay), or Tremont St (South End).  | Slightly more housing than commercial  | Slightly less housing than commercial   | Slightly less housing than commercial  |  | Slightly less housing than commercial   |  |   |
| 3   | Online          | 7afda487-97d9-4c6c-896a-40776bddbf11 | 7/21/22       | Strong Preference for Scenario 2   | Slightly more housing than commercial  | I am a strong supporter of all kinds of development but I'm worried about too much lab/commercial development is happening at the cost of more housing because the current market is more lucrative for lab development. We should ensure that for every lab project that goes up there has an adequate number of housing units provided otherwise the pressure on the existing housing stock will grow worse and worse. I hope these updated zoning guidelines can encourage more housing development.<br><br>I also think we should remove height or density restrictions (other than FAA restrictions) on the edge of Charlestown (around Sullivan Square/Community College and the Hood Park area) and build as densely as possible. Given the enormous cost of living and housing crisis we are facing, maximizing what little underused space remains in the city of Boston should be more important than concerns about 'too tall, too dense' | Slightly more housing than commercial  | Significantly more housing than commercial  | Significantly more housing than commercial   |  | Significantly more housing than commercial  |  |   |
| 4   | Online          | ad51316b-dc96-4cd1-8a16-9d45fc33f416 | 7/22/22       | Strong Preference for Scenario 2   | Significantly less housing than commercial   | I believe that commercial provides a buffer between infrastructure such as highways (93) that are polluting, noisy and not healthy.  | Significantly less housing than commercial   | Significantly less housing than commercial  | Significantly less housing than commercial   |  | Significantly less housing than commercial  |  |   |
| 5   | Online          | 5d4405cd-313c-4774-8143-189aab91b75  | 7/22/22       | Strong Preference for Scenario 2   | Significantly less housing than commercial   |  | Slightly more housing than commercial  | An equal amount of housing and commercial   | An equal amount of housing and commercial  |  | An equal amount of housing and commercial   |  |   |
| 6   | Online          | 7b8f0c31-c92f-471c-8b69-6e73ca08e910 | 7/22/22       | Strong Preference for Scenario 2   | An equal amount of housing and commercial  | I am interested in seeing boutique grocery stores, restaurants, yoga studios and coffee shops enter the Lost Village, inner belt area.   | An equal amount of housing and commercial  | Slightly more housing than commercial   | Slightly more housing than commercial  |  | Slightly more housing than commercial   |  |   |
| 7   | Online          | 1683163d-8376-4666-8097-60624c0a963c | 7/22/22       | Strong Preference for Scenario 2   | An equal amount of housing and commercial  |  | An equal amount of housing and commercial  | Slightly less housing than commercial   | Slightly less housing than commercial  |  | Slightly less housing than commercial   |  |   |
| 8   | Online          | 87add9cc-460b-4328-8690-f3faac410c1f | 7/22/22       | Strong Preference for Scenario 2   | Slightly less housing than commercial  |  | An equal amount of housing and commercial  | Slightly less housing than commercial   | Slightly less housing than commercial  |  | Slightly less housing than commercial   |  |   |
| 9   | Online          | ed4818b4-f824-4da0-84e7-59a312ff7c5c | 7/23/22       | Some Preference for Scenario 1   | Slightly more housing than commercial  |  | An equal amount of housing and commercial  | Slightly less housing than commercial   | Slightly less housing than commercial  |  | Slightly less housing than commercial   |  |   |

| Num | Paper or Online | GlobalID                             | Creation Date | Which Scenario's land use proposal aligns closest with your vision for Charlestown's future? | What is the appropriate ratio of residential to commercial development in the Sullivan Square area? Ground floor retail may be permitted in both housing and commercial use areas. | Please share as much as you can about the land uses you would most or least like to see, what land uses should be in specific areas, or any other feedback you would like to give:   | What is the appropriate ratio of residential to commercial development for the industrial area along the Mystic River? | What is the appropriate ratio of residential to commercial development for the land surrounding Bunker Hill Community College, including the parking lots south of Austin Street? | What is the appropriate ratio of residential to commercial development for the land surrounding Bunker Hill Community College? | What is the appropriate ratio of residential to commercial development for the Lost Village? | What is the appropriate ratio of residential to commercial development for parking lots south of Austin Street? | Considering rental units versus home ownership units, what mix of housing types do you want to see added in Charlestown? | What housing price-points should be prioritized for new housing added in Charlestown? |
|-----|-----------------|--------------------------------------|---------------|--|--|--|--|---|--|--|---|--|---|
| 10  | Online          | d2d56b05-8cbe-4790-8280-2e9944bc9db5 | 7/23/22       | Strong Preference for Scenario 2   | Slightly less housing than commercial  | I personally would like to see more mixed use with a preference towards restaurants in the neighborhood (there are simply enough restaurants/bars here and it appears, to me, there is quite a bias against that). I also don't want to see Charlestown turn into South Boston where you can't swing a dead cat and hit another \$1mm condominium development.   | Significantly less housing than commercial   | Slightly more housing than commercial   | Slightly more housing than commercial  |  | Slightly more housing than commercial   |  |   |
| 11  | Online          | a282f509-fd50-4f83-8a5a-80e77092d381 | 7/23/22       | Some Preference for Scenario 1   | An equal amount of housing and commercial  | Mixed use to the largest degree possible.  | Slightly more housing than commercial  | An equal amount of housing and commercial   | An equal amount of housing and commercial  |  | An equal amount of housing and commercial   |  |   |
| 12  | Online          | 50f65213-7ddf-4bd9-83fb-e7fd109af37d | 7/23/22       | Strong Preference for Scenario 2   | Significantly more housing than commercial   | More open space<br>More trees<br>More community resources<br>A fixed outdoor pool<br><br>No heavy or medium manufacturing<br>No biohazard or chemical testing labs   | Slightly more housing than commercial  | An equal amount of housing and commercial   | An equal amount of housing and commercial  |  | An equal amount of housing and commercial   |  |   |
| 13  | Online          | 30c1b51b-48f6-4aee-8c38-031cd6399b9c | 7/25/22       | No Preference  | Significantly more housing than commercial   | I would like to see a shift from talking about mixed-use as a "buffer" - what this sounds like is that people who move into mixed-use developments are less valuable than those who live in currently residential neighborhoods. I would also like to see more flexibility and mixed-use overall - if someone wants to open up a law office on their first floor, or sell coffee, or whatever, zoning shouldn't stop someone from opening up a little corner shop or something like that. I do somewhat like the idea of using industrial as a buffer for I-93, which also could in the future be a rail-served industrial area and retain (dense) industrial uses close to downtown. I would love to see Boston pushing for multistory job-intensive industrial areas, to encourage industry to take advantage of connections and build those opportunities in the city once more. Rail service would allow for fewer trucks on the road as well. | Slightly less housing than commercial  | Slightly more housing than commercial   | Slightly more housing than commercial  |  | Slightly more housing than commercial   |  |   |
| 14  | Online          | bb1757ba-0e82-49b9-82a0-50ff4a880c22 | 7/26/22       | Strong Preference for Scenario 2   | Significantly more housing than commercial   | housing not hotels   | Significantly more housing than commercial   | Significantly more housing than commercial  | Significantly more housing than commercial   |  | Significantly more housing than commercial  |  | Deeply affordable housing only  |
| 15  | Online          | a8e83def-89ed-4b55-8ac4-397d57484c6d | 7/26/22       | Strong Preference for Scenario 2   | Slightly more housing than commercial  |  | Slightly more housing than commercial  | An equal amount of housing and commercial   | An equal amount of housing and commercial  |  | An equal amount of housing and commercial   | Slightly more rental units than home ownership units   | An equal amount of all three types  |
| 16  | Online          | d85776a7-f179-4da1-8cdd-4fc4b55922a7 | 7/27/22       | Strong Preference for Scenario 2   | An equal amount of housing and commercial  | Keeping in mind that is getting harder to get into and out of Charlestown these days. I don't actually see anything that would make that easier. I see that you propose some changes to the streets around Rutherford Ave but if we can't add new roads in and out of town I truly don't see how this is going to get any better. The North Washington St bridge is stalled for who knows how long, the rotary is an absolute shitshow and honestly the changes made to prison point bridge/ road by the museum are ridiculous. Trying to get back into Charlestown from MGH/ Sturrow Drive takes double the time it did before  | An equal amount of housing and commercial  | Significantly less housing than commercial  | Significantly less housing than commercial   |  | Significantly less housing than commercial  | An equal amount of rental and home ownership units   | An equal amount of all three types  |

| Num | Paper or Online | GlobalID                             | Creation Date | Which Scenario's land use proposal aligns closest with your vision for Charlestown's future? | What is the appropriate ratio of residential to commercial development in the Sullivan Square area? Ground floor retail may be permitted in both housing and commercial use areas. | Please share as much as you can about the land uses you would most or least like to see, what land uses should be in specific areas, or any other feedback you would like to give:  | What is the appropriate ratio of residential to commercial development for the industrial area along the Mystic River? | What is the appropriate ratio of residential to commercial development for the land surrounding Bunker Hill Community College, including the parking lots south of Austin Street? | What is the appropriate ratio of residential to commercial development for the land surrounding Bunker Hill Community College? | What is the appropriate ratio of residential to commercial development for the Lost Village? | What is the appropriate ratio of residential to commercial development for parking lots south of Austin Street? | Considering rental units versus home ownership units, what mix of housing types do you want to see added in Charlestown? | What housing price-points should be prioritized for new housing added in Charlestown? |
|-----|-----------------|--------------------------------------|---------------|--|--|---|--|---|--|--|---|--|---|
| 17  | Online          | a8b2f4fc-b580-4367-8b5d-0475cbbd572c | 7/27/22       | Some Preference for Scenario 2   | Slightly more housing than commercial  |   | An equal amount of housing and commercial  | An equal amount of housing and commercial   | An equal amount of housing and commercial  |  | An equal amount of housing and commercial   | Slightly fewer rental units than home ownership units  | Deeply affordable housing only  |
| 18  | Online          | 6f17dc38-3d41-45f3-8a41-7dbd3c68b449 | 7/27/22       | No Preference  | Slightly more housing than commercial  | Rental versus home ownership question does not allow for a significantly more home ownership option! Home owners are more invested in the community. I think the use is less important than the conformity with the neighborhood. Sullivan Square and the Mystic River areas should be required to stay within the allowable zoning heights of 55'. Charlestown is not downtown, we are a historic neighborhood and the integrity of that history must be preserved, not overshadowed. Despite the major developers touting "another assembly row, smaller size" we don't want assembly row (especially 3 of them) in our 1 square mile neighborhood. We want housing, preferably row housing, mixed income. The only place that a height variance should be given is along Rutherford ave (Cambridge side) | Slightly more housing than commercial  | Significantly less housing than commercial  | Significantly less housing than commercial   |  | Significantly less housing than commercial  |  | An equal amount of all three types  |
| 19  | Online          | cef9b9e5-adce-4148-80bc-106b6610ad56 | 7/28/22       | Some Preference for Scenario 2   | Slightly more housing than commercial  | Along the mystic there is a height restriction of 55 ft. That should not be changed.<br>Significant more home ownership than rentals was not an option. That's my vote.   | Significantly more housing than commercial   | An equal amount of housing and commercial   | An equal amount of housing and commercial  |  | An equal amount of housing and commercial   |  | An equal amount of all three types  |
| 20  | Online          | bac501bd-0753-4361-84ae-12a1b0119455 | 7/28/22       | Strong Preference for Scenario 2   | An equal amount of housing and commercial  | Question on rental units is mistyped and same answer is there two times. The option for more house fewer rental units is missing. I think that the number of rental units should take into account amount of green space, road capacity, schools. Already the Charlestown schools are overfilled. The people who move in will have their kids bused to other neighborhoods. My kids are being sent to Southie for school. They sit in traffic for 1.5 hours on a bad traffic day. There are few parks where they can play. The proposed plan emphasizes housing at expense of supporting normal life. Maybe it should be less about commercial development and more about bringing schools to the neighborhood.   | Significantly less housing than commercial   | An equal amount of housing and commercial   | An equal amount of housing and commercial  |  | An equal amount of housing and commercial   | Slightly fewer rental units than home ownership units  | Both workforce and market rate  |
| 21  | Online          | 8ffccbbd-a066-4245-8d41-196501668478 | 7/28/22       | Strong Preference for Scenario 2   | Slightly less housing than commercial  | Charlestown is a sleepy part of Boston, and I think it would be fantastic to bring more vibrancy to the neighborhood, with the equal addition of residents, shops, restaurants, and businesses. Let's make Charlestown a space where you want to spend a full day, or (stretch goal) a whole weekend, instead of just an afternoon.   | Slightly more housing than commercial  | Slightly more housing than commercial   | Slightly more housing than commercial  |  | Slightly more housing than commercial   | An equal amount of rental and home ownership units   | An equal amount of all three types  |
| 22  | Online          | 458041ae-bb59-44e7-8d2f-b8a7cd7bd1b6 | 7/28/22       | Some Preference for Scenario 2   | Significantly less housing than commercial   |   | An equal amount of housing and commercial  | Significantly less housing than commercial  | Significantly less housing than commercial   |  | Significantly less housing than commercial  | Slightly fewer rental units than home ownership units  | Both workforce and market rate  |

| Num | Paper or Online | GlobalID                             | Creation Date | Which Scenario's land use proposal aligns closest with your vision for Charlestown's future? | What is the appropriate ratio of residential to commercial development in the Sullivan Square area? Ground floor retail may be permitted in both housing and commercial use areas. | Please share as much as you can about the land uses you would most or least like to see, what land uses should be in specific areas, or any other feedback you would like to give:   | What is the appropriate ratio of residential to commercial development for the industrial area along the Mystic River? | What is the appropriate ratio of residential to commercial development for the land surrounding Bunker Hill Community College, including the parking lots south of Austin Street? | What is the appropriate ratio of residential to commercial development for the land surrounding Bunker Hill Community College? | What is the appropriate ratio of residential to commercial development for the Lost Village? | What is the appropriate ratio of residential to commercial development for parking lots south of Austin Street? | Considering rental units versus home ownership units, what mix of housing types do you want to see added in Charlestown? | What housing price-points should be prioritized for new housing added in Charlestown? |
|-----|-----------------|--------------------------------------|---------------|--|--|--|--|---|--|--|---|--|---|
| 23  | Online          | 0eff72e6-4909-44f8-8be0-67880eff9674 | 7/29/22       | Strong Preference for Scenario 2   | An equal amount of housing and commercial  | <p>I like seeing industrial areas kept that way as often as possible, especially around Sullivan Square, though I would hope they would be greener than industrial uses of past. Though I prefer scenario 1, I still would like to see the extension of Doherty Park across Medford Street.</p> <p>Housing is important, especially more affordable homeownership. This type of housing has been sorely missing in Charlestown's inventory. As there is no current public funding available for workforce housing, it would be helpful if new market-rate developments were required to self-fund units for this income level beyond IDP low income requirement</p> <p>I'm very confused by statements about BHCC continuing to use their current site or parking lots on other side of Austin Street, as this has not been discussed before (unless I missed it). Is this their option? How would this impact the disposition plans for parking lots?</p> | Slightly less housing than commercial  | Slightly more housing than commercial   | Slightly more housing than commercial  |  | Slightly more housing than commercial   | Slightly fewer rental ownership units  | An equal amount of all three types  |
| 24  | Online          | e0a3444d-6ae5-4216-8afe-8721b8807d91 | 8/1/22        | Some Preference for Scenario 2   | An equal amount of housing and commercial  | You need to fix the T if we expect people to actually use that and limit traffic. Also we need more schools.   | Slightly less housing than commercial  | An equal amount of housing and commercial   | An equal amount of housing and commercial  |  | An equal amount of housing and commercial   | Slightly fewer rental units than home ownership units  | An equal amount of all three types  |
| 25  | Online          | 824e6172-7781-4fb3-882e-f532d69241e5 | 8/1/22        | Some Preference for Scenario 1   | Slightly more housing than commercial  |  | Slightly more housing than commercial  | An equal amount of housing and commercial   | An equal amount of housing and commercial  |  | An equal amount of housing and commercial   | Slightly fewer rental units than home ownership units  | An equal amount of all three types  |
| 26  | Online          | 7dcada6a-d513-479d-8e7d-252dcb8c4a41 | 8/1/22        | Some Preference for Scenario 1   | Slightly less housing than commercial  |  | Slightly more housing than commercial  | Significantly less housing than commercial  | Significantly less housing than commercial   |  | Significantly less housing than commercial  | Slightly more rental units than home ownership units   | Both workforce and market rate  |
| 27  | Online          | 945212a5-8961-4a3c-85f3-649151fb2d1a | 8/1/22        | Some Preference for Scenario 1   | An equal amount of housing and commercial  |  | Slightly more housing than commercial  | An equal amount of housing and commercial   | An equal amount of housing and commercial  |  | An equal amount of housing and commercial   | Slightly fewer rental units than home ownership units  | Both deeply affordable and work   |
| 28  | Online          | 9b6781b0-38b2-494b-84b2-084e0708c176 | 8/1/22        | Strong Preference for Scenario 2   | An equal amount of housing and commercial  |  | Slightly more housing than commercial  | Significantly less housing than commercial  | Significantly less housing than commercial   |  | Significantly less housing than commercial  | Slightly fewer rental ownership units  | An equal amount of all three types  |

| Num | Paper or Online | GlobalID                             | Creation Date | Which Scenario's land use proposal aligns closest with your vision for Charlestown's future? | What is the appropriate ratio of residential to commercial development in the Sullivan Square area? Ground floor retail may be permitted in both housing and commercial use areas. | Please share as much as you can about the land uses you would most or least like to see, what land uses should be in specific areas, or any other feedback you would like to give:   | What is the appropriate ratio of residential to commercial development for the industrial area along the Mystic River? | What is the appropriate ratio of residential to commercial development for the land surrounding Bunker Hill Community College, including the parking lots south of Austin Street? | What is the appropriate ratio of residential to commercial development for the land surrounding Bunker Hill Community College? | What is the appropriate ratio of residential to commercial development for the Lost Village? | What is the appropriate ratio of residential to commercial development for parking lots south of Austin Street? | Considering rental units versus home ownership units, what mix of housing types do you want to see added in Charlestown? | What housing price-points should be prioritized for new housing added in Charlestown? |
|-----|-----------------|--------------------------------------|---------------|--|--|--|--|---|--|--|---|--|---|
| 29  | Online          | fa20ca41-b772-493f-8191-a5d916375801 | 8/2/22        | Strong Preference for Scenario 2   | An equal amount of housing and commercial  | Making use of the Bunker Hill college area and the industrial area by the Mystic makes a lot of sense and would greatly improve the neighborhood. I have a concern that the architecture would just turn into a bunch of ugly high rise condo buildings, therefore I think the design of these buildings really needs to take Charlestown as a neighborhood into consideration for how these areas are built. Mixing areas with commercial and residential has potential to create a nice hub of activity, whereas areas that are only residential would be quieter and not so much a destination area for anyone who doesn't live there. Charlestown would greatly benefit from more restaurants. I also prefer Scenario 2 for the addition of extra green space, esp. along the Mystic - this area is very industrial and needs green space. I also think the "Bunker Hill Mall" on Austin Street is a significant waste of space. | An equal amount of housing and commercial  | Slightly more housing than commercial   | Slightly more housing than commercial  |  | Slightly more housing than commercial   | Slightly fewer rental units than home ownership units  | An equal amount of all three types  |
| 30  | Online          | 6962bc70-bcb3-433f-8b28-227532be90a6 | 8/2/22        | Some Preference for Scenario 2   | An equal amount of housing and commercial  |  | An equal amount of housing and commercial  | An equal amount of housing and commercial   | An equal amount of housing and commercial  |  | An equal amount of housing and commercial   | Slightly more rental units than home ownership units   | Both deeply affordable housing  |
| 31  | Online          | 826a04be-7d06-4ef3-8f59-e2e0ca14b270 | 8/2/22        | Some Preference for Scenario 2   | Slightly more housing than commercial  | Please require more family housing, 2+3 bedroom units that can be used by families long term. The area adjacent the highway/I should try to focus on large scale commercial/residential development to try and hide the highway. Focus on families in Charlestown, this is where everyone comes to start their life, but the housing here doesn't allow for families to stay here. They outgrow their homes and move to the suburbs.   | Significantly more housing than commercial   | Slightly less housing than commercial   | Slightly less housing than commercial  |  | Slightly less housing than commercial   | An equal amount of rental and home ownership units   | Both workforce and market rate  |
| 32  | Online          | f6df06d1-8ea4-49ec-8c3e-15c8c3e6b1a2 | 8/3/22        | Some Preference for Scenario 2   | Slightly less housing than commercial  | We do not need to be adding much more housing in Charlestown. We need a more affordable grocery store, more green space, more affordable shops. The housing that we do need to add also needs to be affordable for low-income workers and average workers. We do not need new waterfront housing for the wealthy. We need more community centers and spaces. We need commercial spaces that will positively impact the residents, not just bring people in to work M-F.  | Significantly less housing than commercial   | Slightly less housing than commercial   | Slightly less housing than commercial  |  | Slightly less housing than commercial   | An equal amount of rental and home ownership units   | Both deeply affordable and work   |
| 33  | Online          | 32c002a6-46ed-4796-8130-c90ad7101ec1 | 8/3/22        | Strong Preference for Scenario 2   | Significantly more housing than commercial   |  | Significantly more housing than commercial   | Significantly more housing than commercial  | Significantly more housing than commercial   |  | Significantly more housing than commercial  | An equal amount of rental and home ownership units   | An equal amount of all three types  |
| 34  | Online          | b98b5b38-e432-45f8-817c-c039b4a16a23 | 8/3/22        | Some Preference for Scenario 2   | Slightly more housing than commercial  |  | Slightly more housing than commercial  | Slightly less housing than commercial   | Slightly less housing than commercial  |  | Slightly less housing than commercial   | An equal amount of rental and home ownership units   | An equal amount of all three types  |

| Num | Paper or Online | GlobalID                             | Creation Date | Which Scenario's land use proposal aligns closest with your vision for Charlestown's future? | What is the appropriate ratio of residential to commercial development in the Sullivan Square area? Ground floor retail may be permitted in both housing and commercial use areas. | Please share as much as you can about the land uses you would most or least like to see, what land uses should be in specific areas, or any other feedback you would like to give:   | What is the appropriate ratio of residential to commercial development for the industrial area along the Mystic River? | What is the appropriate ratio of residential to commercial development for the land surrounding Bunker Hill Community College, including the parking lots south of Austin Street? | What is the appropriate ratio of residential to commercial development for the land surrounding Bunker Hill Community College? | What is the appropriate ratio of residential to commercial development for the Lost Village? | What is the appropriate ratio of residential to commercial development for parking lots south of Austin Street? | Considering rental units versus home ownership units, what mix of housing types do you want to see added in Charlestown? | What housing price-points should be prioritized for new housing added in Charlestown? |
|-----|-----------------|--------------------------------------|---------------|--|--|--|--|---|--|--|---|--|---|
| 35  | Online          | ed99e68c-9043-405c-815f-b959db7ae534 | 8/3/22        | Strong Preference for Scenario 2   | An equal amount of housing and commercial  | Community building should be the priority. We need to incentivize people to stay in Charlestown for the long haul. Having more rental units is not the solution to that. People purchasing a 800 sq ft condo for \$650k+ also does not incentivize them to raise a family here. I have seen countless families move out of the community as their children get older and their families grow in size. We need more affordable townhouses/family homes. We do not want Charlestown becoming a transient community.<br><br>In the question "Considering rental units versus home ownership units, what mix of housing types do you want to see added in Charlestown?" there was no option for Significantly more home ownership units than rental units. | Slightly more housing than commercial  | Slightly less housing than commercial   | Slightly less housing than commercial  |  | Slightly less housing than commercial   | Slightly fewer rental units than home ownership units  | Both workforce and market rate  |
| 36  | Online          | 4f9e7a24-edae-436e-84a9-116d15840bd9 | 8/3/22        | Strong Preference for Scenario 2   | An equal amount of housing and commercial  |  | An equal amount of housing and commercial  | An equal amount of housing and commercial   | An equal amount of housing and commercial  |  | An equal amount of housing and commercial   | Slightly more rental units than home ownership units   | Both deeply affordable and work   |
| 37  | Online          | 26321f62-38df-4094-8ff6-17a25a8f9d5b | 8/3/22        | No Preference  | An equal amount of housing and commercial  | Questions above fail to ask anything about preserving existing uses that are not consistent with the plan. For example, industrial uses along the highway. For the past 10 + years industrial areas in the seaport, south boston, dorchester, east boston etc have been 'up zoned' for development diminishing the amount of industrial spaces for blue color jobs and many many small businesses. Industrial rents have skyrocketed b/c of the drastic reduction in industrial spaces in the City impacting small businesses mostly. Other abutting communities too have experienced these changes. Preserving existing uses between BHCC and the highway should be considered so as to not displace these jobs or any existing small businesses.     | Slightly less housing than commercial  | Significantly less housing than commercial  | Significantly less housing than commercial   |  | Significantly less housing than commercial  |  | An equal amount of all three types  |
| 38  | Online          | 5dc84f31-bcd4-4536-8f51-7d5cd03f3647 | 8/3/22        | Strong Preference for Scenario 2   | Slightly more housing than commercial  |  | Slightly less housing than commercial  | Slightly more housing than commercial   | Slightly more housing than commercial  |  | Slightly more housing than commercial   | An equal amount of rental and home ownership units   | An equal amount of all three types  |
| 39  | Online          | e859518c-1675-4776-8c0b-abc49a1e5120 | 8/4/22        | Strong Preference for Scenario 2   | Significantly more housing than commercial   |  | An equal amount of housing and commercial  | Slightly more housing than commercial   | Slightly more housing than commercial  |  | Slightly more housing than commercial   | An equal amount of rental and home ownership units   | Both deeply affordable and work   |
| 40  | Online          | e04fee09-fe4b-4efe-8f0e-7031e033b2b2 | 8/4/22        | Strong Preference for Scenario 2   | An equal amount of housing and commercial  |  | An equal amount of housing and commercial  | An equal amount of housing and commercial   | An equal amount of housing and commercial  |  | An equal amount of housing and commercial   | Slightly fewer rental units than home ownership units  | Both workforce and market rate  |
| 41  | Online          | f7c61c6f-f776-4ec5-87d4-c8dc1bdbb7b2 | 8/5/22        | Strong Preference for Scenario 2   | Significantly more housing than commercial   | Market rate housing is sorely needed in Charlestown and across the greater Boston area.  | Significantly more housing than commercial   | Significantly more housing than commercial  | Significantly more housing than commercial   |  | Significantly more housing than commercial  | Significantly fewer rental units than home ownership units   | Market rate housing only  |
| 42  | Online          | da05bd5d-4832-4461-83c8-4a23345071ff | 8/5/22        | Strong Preference for Scenario 2   | Slightly less housing than commercial  |  | Significantly more housing than commercial   | An equal amount of housing and commercial   | An equal amount of housing and commercial  |  | An equal amount of housing and commercial   | An equal amount of rental and home ownership units   | Both workforce and market rate  |

| Num | Paper or Online | GlobalID                             | Creation Date | Which Scenario's land use proposal aligns closest with your vision for Charlestown's future? | What is the appropriate ratio of residential to commercial development in the Sullivan Square area? Ground floor retail may be permitted in both housing and commercial use areas. | Please share as much as you can about the land uses you would most or least like to see, what land uses should be in specific areas, or any other feedback you would like to give:   | What is the appropriate ratio of residential to commercial development for the industrial area along the Mystic River? | What is the appropriate ratio of residential to commercial development for the land surrounding Bunker Hill Community College, including the parking lots south of Austin Street? | What is the appropriate ratio of residential to commercial development for the land surrounding Bunker Hill Community College? | What is the appropriate ratio of residential to commercial development for the Lost Village? | What is the appropriate ratio of residential to commercial development for parking lots south of Austin Street? | Considering rental units versus home ownership units, what mix of housing types do you want to see added in Charlestown? | What housing price-points should be prioritized for new housing added in Charlestown? |
|-----|-----------------|--------------------------------------|---------------|--|--|--|--|---|--|--|---|--|---|
| 43  | Online          | bba20666-981f491e-8ce3-6d39682d6f99  | 8/7/22        | Strong Preference for Scenario 2   | Slightly less housing than commercial  |  | Slightly less housing than commercial  | Slightly less housing than commercial   | Slightly less housing than commercial  |  | Slightly less housing than commercial   | Slightly fewer rental units than home ownership units  | Both workforce and market rate  |
| 44  | Online          | 8abe1f26-1d33-4005-82b2-0c2a2bd6c968 | 8/8/22        | Strong Preference for Scenario 2   | Significantly more housing than commercial   | Housing is desperately needed in the neighborhood, city, and area and if forced to choose, I would prioritize housing of all types (market and different levels of affordability). But I also think it makes sense to make zoning flexible to allow for the highest and best use of the land available. I support any measures to create a 24/7 Charlestown without areas that are "dead" at night or areas that are devoid of retail, etc. It would be nice to have some focus on air quality, especially next to I-93 and the industrial areas. Whether commercial or residential, occupants should be assured of safe and clean air.  | Significantly more housing than commercial   | Significantly more housing than commercial  | Significantly more housing than commercial   |  | Significantly more housing than commercial  | An equal amount of rental and home ownership units   | An equal amount of all three types  |
| 45  | Online          | b84e6d17-b8bf42e6-8d37-21bed108784d  | 8/8/22        | Some Preference for Scenario 2   | An equal amount of housing and commercial  | I do not see a future for industrial development along the Mystic. Opening up the waterfront to the community with a mix of housing and commercial is appropriate. Charlestown already has many deeply affordable housing units—new housing should, prioritize workforce and market rate housing.  | Slightly more housing than commercial  | An equal amount of housing and commercial   | An equal amount of housing and commercial  |  | An equal amount of housing and commercial   | An equal amount of rental and home ownership units   | Both workforce and market rate  |
| 46  | Online          | 438f4f67-9105-4a8e-8a25-11b1fe0f0eca | 8/11/22       | Strong Preference for Scenario 2   | Slightly more housing than commercial  |  | Slightly more housing than commercial  | Slightly more housing than commercial   | Slightly more housing than commercial  |  | Slightly more housing than commercial   | Significantly fewer rental units than home ownership units   | An equal amount of all three types  |
| 47  | Online          | 0dbcba6f-3577-4700-8dbe-1e4ad2e67ff4 | 8/14/22       | No Preference  | Slightly more housing than commercial  | I've been in touch with some of you about envisioning Charlestown having the first and only vibrant and intentional maker district for the city of Boston. I see the Rutherford area as most appropriate: take all that future empty retail ground floor space and designate it as maker spaces (artists, light manufacturing viewable by the public, crafts, trades) required to have retail staffing/public access. I would propose that some of the deeply affordable housing be allocated to artists/tradespeople who run the maker spaces. It's critical that there be a real density and public engagement so that the business that have a retail component can be viable. Rutherford seems to offer that possibility, in both scenarios. BTW, I like both the commercial buffer zone and the 24/7 ideas. IF there was any one thing I wanted to mush together it was those ideas in this PLAN. | An equal amount of housing and commercial  | An equal amount of housing and commercial   | An equal amount of housing and commercial  |  | An equal amount of housing and commercial   | An equal amount of rental and home ownership units   | Both workforce and market rate  |
| 48  | Online          | 69f16785-1c62-4ce0-85b8-a5da48c02309 | 8/15/22       | Strong Preference for Scenario 2   | Significantly less housing than commercial   |  | An equal amount of housing and commercial  | Slightly less housing than commercial   | Slightly less housing than commercial  |  | Slightly less housing than commercial   | Slightly fewer rental units than home ownership units  | Both workforce and market rate  |
| 49  | Online          | 54dca4bc-5b4f4322-876b-cbf1218a0f1b  | 8/15/22       | Some Preference for Scenario 1   | Slightly more housing than commercial  | Planning for new housing has to consider the impact of additional cars and parking availability. The assumption that most residents will use public transportation will likely still mean that residents will have cars that need parking spaces during the week. Please account for realistic parking ratios when zoning for new residential.   | An equal amount of housing and commercial  | Significantly less housing than commercial  | Significantly less housing than commercial   |  | Significantly less housing than commercial  | Slightly more rental units than home ownership units   | An equal amount of all three types  |

| Num | Paper or Online | GlobalID                             | Creation Date | Which Scenario's land use proposal aligns closest with your vision for Charlestown's future? | What is the appropriate ratio of residential to commercial development in the Sullivan Square area? Ground floor retail may be permitted in both housing and commercial use areas. | Please share as much as you can about the land uses you would most or least like to see, what land uses should be in specific areas, or any other feedback you would like to give:   | What is the appropriate ratio of residential to commercial development for the industrial area along the Mystic River? | What is the appropriate ratio of residential to commercial development for the land surrounding Bunker Hill Community College, including the parking lots south of Austin Street? | What is the appropriate ratio of residential to commercial development for the land surrounding Bunker Hill Community College? | What is the appropriate ratio of residential to commercial development for the Lost Village? | What is the appropriate ratio of residential to commercial development for parking lots south of Austin Street? | Considering rental units versus home ownership units, what mix of housing types do you want to see added in Charlestown? | What housing price-points should be prioritized for new housing added in Charlestown? |
|-----|-----------------|--------------------------------------|---------------|--|--|--|--|---|--|--|---|--|---|
| 50  | Online          | 5e950b65-8ace-4508-81a9-888b2b642c60 | 8/16/22       | Strong Preference for Scenario 2   | Slightly more housing than commercial  |  | An equal amount of housing and commercial  | Slightly more housing than commercial   | Slightly more housing than commercial  |  | Slightly more housing than commercial   | An equal amount of rental and home ownership units   | Both workforce and market rate  |
| 51  | Online          | 6bd52137-3d0b-4a63-81f5-af0e0cda49c0 | 8/16/22       | Strong Preference for Scenario 2   | Significantly more housing than commercial   |  | Significantly more housing than commercial   | An equal amount of housing and commercial   | An equal amount of housing and commercial  |  | An equal amount of housing and commercial   |  |   |
| 52  | Online          | 2bc1d0a4-43dc-4751-8d92-38fa4efa5ec4 | 8/17/22       | No Preference  | An equal amount of housing and commercial  | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Mixed use zoning is good, I'm glad to see so much of it!</li> <li>- We need affordable housing but mostly we just need as much housing as possible of any type. Especially in areas that are newly opened up for residential use, there's no risk of displacement, so if developers want to build lots and lots of market rate housing, we should let them.</li> <li>- Industrial zones are unpleasant but they need to go somewhere for a functioning city and Charlestown handles them well, I don't see any reason to minimize the amount of industrial zoning here.</li> <li>- Other zoning things I think are important are allowing density by right (instead of having to fight through zoning appeals for every building), and no minimum parking requirements (let developers build only the parking they think they need).</li> </ul> | Slightly more housing than commercial  | An equal amount of housing and commercial   | An equal amount of housing and commercial  |  | An equal amount of housing and commercial   | Significantly fewer rental units than home ownership units   | An equal amount of all three types  |
| 53  | Online          | 528d06ce-a83f-4cf4-8d8b-670469a63571 | 8/19/22       | No Preference  | An equal amount of housing and commercial  |  | An equal amount of housing and commercial  | Slightly less housing than commercial   | Slightly less housing than commercial  |  | Slightly less housing than commercial   | An equal amount of rental and home ownership units   | An equal amount of all three types  |
| 54  | Online          | 68b2dd6b-5649-4d5d-88b6-ee2c31488a9f | 8/22/22       | Strong Preference for Scenario 2   | Significantly more housing than commercial   | I think it is important to provide deeply affordable housing, but it's OK for it to be a mix! I prefer more housing built ASAP than creating affordability thresholds that are unattainable. I would like to see an AFFORDABLE HOUSING ZONING OVERLAY (see Cambridge!) that allows for higher density and heights for buildings that are 100% affordable housing. Make it easier for developers to have some predictability over the zoning process and the development timelines, and we will get more housing faster.  | Significantly more housing than commercial   | Slightly more housing than commercial   | Slightly more housing than commercial  |  | Slightly more housing than commercial   | Slightly more rental units than home ownership units   | An equal amount of all three types  |
| 55  | Online          | ee1e6bc5-35ba-4213-8155-e895ede19a92 | 8/22/22       | No Preference  | Slightly less housing than commercial  | land use planning while ignoring existing property lines and lots is a waste. Land uses will likely be determined by what is feasible to be built on an existing site.   | Significantly more housing than commercial   | An equal amount of housing and commercial   | An equal amount of housing and commercial  |  | An equal amount of housing and commercial   |  | Both workforce and market rate  |
| 56  | Online          | 6e8a01a2-04bb-4c12-8c41-96ecf91ae3c3 | 8/23/22       | Strong Preference for Scenario 2   | Significantly more housing than commercial   | Prioritize deeply affordable and "middle-income" units everywhere possible. Please don't allow the commercial zones to be dominated by life science developments. Find developers who will help create jobs and economic mobility opportunities for local residents making less than \$75,000/year. And incentivize the creation of more health clinics within beautiful community centers! :D   | Slightly more housing than commercial  | Significantly more housing than commercial  | Significantly more housing than commercial   |  | Significantly more housing than commercial  | Slightly more rental units than home ownership units   | Both deeply affordable and work   |



| Num | Paper or Online | GlobalID                             | Creation Date | Which Scenario's land use proposal aligns closest with your vision for Charlestown's future? | What is the appropriate ratio of residential to commercial development in the Sullivan Square area? Ground floor retail may be permitted in both housing and commercial use areas. | Please share as much as you can about the land uses you would most or least like to see, what land uses should be in specific areas, or any other feedback you would like to give:   | What is the appropriate ratio of residential to commercial development for the industrial area along the Mystic River? | What is the appropriate ratio of residential to commercial development for the land surrounding Bunker Hill Community College, including the parking lots south of Austin Street? | What is the appropriate ratio of residential to commercial development for the land surrounding Bunker Hill Community College? | What is the appropriate ratio of residential to commercial development for the Lost Village? | What is the appropriate ratio of residential to commercial development for parking lots south of Austin Street? | Considering rental units versus home ownership units, what mix of housing types do you want to see added in Charlestown? | What housing price-points should be prioritized for new housing added in Charlestown? |
|-----|-----------------|--------------------------------------|---------------|--|--|--|--|---|--|--|---|--|---|
| 57  | Online          | a8d53cbf-3775-4813-8f76-4bb761124786 | 8/24/22       | Strong Preference for Scenario 2   | An equal amount of housing and commercial  | The proposed residential use (light yellow shaded) is too strict. Small corner bars & restaurants, flower shops, coffee shops and retail stores should be allowed to operate anywhere along the peninsula. Other quaint Boston neighborhoods allow for this, and it's what makes some of the most famous cities on earth so popular - neighborhoods with small retail embedded (think Greenwich Village/West Village, NYC, neighborhoods of San Francisco, Paris and London) The demographic profile of Charlestown residents can and will support businesses like this. | An equal amount of housing and commercial  | An equal amount of housing and commercial   | An equal amount of housing and commercial  |  | An equal amount of housing and commercial   | Significantly fewer rental units than home ownership units   | Both workforce and market rate  |
| 58  | Online          | c3bead56-c8c3-4106-807c-5a206ec538ea | 8/24/22       | Some Preference for Scenario 1   | An equal amount of housing and commercial  | Higher end hotel and restaurants along waterfront, with condominiums and parking, similar to Boston's Seaport would be preferred   | An equal amount of housing and commercial  | An equal amount of housing and commercial   | An equal amount of housing and commercial  |  | An equal amount of housing and commercial   | Significantly fewer rental units than home ownership units   | Market rate housing only  |
| 59  | Online          | bb926a63-c976-4132-8e48-8f99ddedeb55 | 8/24/22       | Some Preference for Scenario 2   | Slightly less housing than commercial  | I don't like that all we're seeing is residential towers of 10+ stories. It doesn't fit into the area and I would like to see more mid-rise buildings like ones along rutherford ave. I don't like when the buildings are significantly different in height next to each other (example 2 story house next to a 6 story building).   | Significantly more housing than commercial   | Significantly more housing than commercial  | Significantly more housing than commercial   |  | Significantly more housing than commercial  | Slightly more rental units than home ownership units   | Both workforce and market rate  |
| 60  | Online          | 953dfe41-da11-48d5-886b-ace343325ec  | 8/25/22       | Strong Preference for Scenario 2   | Slightly more housing than commercial  | I would not want to live by the industrial use areas in the upper left of the map.   | Slightly more housing than commercial  | Slightly more housing than commercial   | Slightly more housing than commercial  |  | Slightly more housing than commercial   | An equal amount of rental and home ownership units   | Both workforce and market rate  |
| 61  | Online          | 4bb2e870-5cac-454a-872d-a16a98ce6a04 | 8/25/22       | Strong Preference for Scenario 2   | An equal amount of housing and commercial  | I believe a healthy mix of commercial office/lab and commercial residential is needed in the areas directly abutting the MBTA stops. High density in these areas should be the priority to improve the social and economic opportunities in Charlestown --- and increase the property tax base.  | An equal amount of housing and commercial  | An equal amount of housing and commercial   | An equal amount of housing and commercial  |  | An equal amount of housing and commercial   | An equal amount of rental and home ownership units   | Both workforce and market rate  |
| 62  | Online          | 30f42ee4-af4c-4e1d-8c1d-8faf48c9d9b7 | 8/25/22       | Some Preference for Scenario 1   | Slightly more housing than commercial  |  | Significantly more housing than commercial   | An equal amount of housing and commercial   | An equal amount of housing and commercial  |  | An equal amount of housing and commercial   | Slightly fewer rental units than home ownership units  | Deeply affordable housing only  |
| 63  | Online          | 129b53d9-a032-4f38-8bbf-fc97859d5ad5 | 8/29/22       | Strong Preference for Scenario 2   | Slightly less housing than commercial  | We really don't want to see the development on Medford Street along the Mystic turn into a 9am - 5pm primarily lab space development. We'd love if the focus shifted to creating a 24/7 area with more restaurants and shops, daycare options, grocery options, gyms, market rate housing, and entertainment options.  | An equal amount of housing and commercial  | Slightly more housing than commercial   | Slightly more housing than commercial  |  | Slightly more housing than commercial   | Slightly fewer rental units than home ownership units  | Market rate housing only  |
| 64  | Online          | c9b2bb80-6a95-42df-8572-9b3e07a7346b | 8/30/22       | No Preference  | Slightly more housing than commercial  |  | Slightly more housing than commercial  | Slightly more housing than commercial   | Slightly more housing than commercial  |  | Slightly more housing than commercial   | Slightly fewer rental units than home ownership units  | An equal amount of all three types  |
| 65  | Online          | 089228db-7224-418b-812c-2f77246bad2e | 8/30/22       | Strong Preference for Scenario 2   | Significantly less housing than commercial   |  | Slightly more housing than commercial  | An equal amount of housing and commercial   | An equal amount of housing and commercial  |  | An equal amount of housing and commercial   | Slightly more rental units than home ownership units   | Both workforce and market rate  |

| Num | Paper or Online | GlobalID                             | Creation Date | Which Scenario's land use proposal aligns closest with your vision for Charlestown's future? | What is the appropriate ratio of residential to commercial development in the Sullivan Square area? Ground floor retail may be permitted in both housing and commercial use areas. | Please share as much as you can about the land uses you would most or least like to see, what land uses should be in specific areas, or any other feedback you would like to give:  | What is the appropriate ratio of residential to commercial development for the industrial area along the Mystic River? | What is the appropriate ratio of residential to commercial development for the land surrounding Bunker Hill Community College, including the parking lots south of Austin Street? | What is the appropriate ratio of residential to commercial development for the land surrounding Bunker Hill Community College? | What is the appropriate ratio of residential to commercial development for the Lost Village? | What is the appropriate ratio of residential to commercial development for parking lots south of Austin Street? | Considering rental units versus home ownership units, what mix of housing types do you want to see added in Charlestown? | What housing price-points should be prioritized for new housing added in Charlestown? |
|-----|-----------------|--------------------------------------|---------------|--|--|---|--|---|--|--|---|--|---|
| 66  | Online          | ec19148c-20cb-4cb6-82de-039c6ecfd499 | 9/2/22        | Strong Preference for Scenario 2   | Slightly less housing than commercial  | I have significant concerns about adding density and creating another Seaport failure or Kendall Square area. Both lack any charm or preservation. Charlestown also does not have the infrastructure or public services such as fire, police, health facilities, etc., to support a huge uptick in residents. To suggest that those who live in new housing near T stops will only take and T, not have cars, etc, is a naive assumption. The T could not handle the pre-pandemic volume during rush hour. Trains were packed and filled by the time they arrived at Community College. You had to go out of town in the opposite direction to get on a train coming into Boston. Ask those who actually live here what it is like. | Significantly less housing than commercial   | Slightly less housing than commercial   | Slightly less housing than commercial  |  | Slightly less housing than commercial   | Significantly fewer rental units than home ownership units   | Market rate housing only  |
| 67  | Online          | a69dae94-e78c-474b-8b07-2e54c7074a97 | 9/7/22        | Some Preference for Scenario 2   | An equal amount of housing and commercial  | More units the better! These are transit rich areas with little existing population, perfect places for lots of homes. Limit parking as much as possible and build as many homes as possible. The number of units should not be limited arbitrarily.  | Significantly more housing than commercial   | Slightly more housing than commercial   | Slightly more housing than commercial  |  | Slightly more housing than commercial   | Slightly more rental units than home ownership units   | An equal amount of all three types  |
| 68  | Paper           |                                      |               | Some Preference for Scenario 1   | An equal amount of housing and commercial  |   | Slightly less housing than commercial  | An equal amount of housing and commercial   | An equal amount of housing and commercial  |  | An equal amount of housing and commercial   | An equal amount of rental and home ownership units   | An equal amount of all three types  |
| 69  | Paper           |                                      | 8/30/22       | Strong Preference for Scenario 1   | Significantly more housing than commercial   | Put density by the highway! Stop the air pollution - particulates matter! So much commercial in Assembly, people working from home. Commercial not as needed. We also need a lot of open space. Put density on teamsters + duffy lots to reduce large scale of roads and Rutherford   | Significantly more housing than commercial   |   |  |  |   | An equal amount of rental and home ownership units   | An equal amount of all three types  |
| 70  | Paper           |                                      | 8/27/22       | Strong Preference for Scenario 2   |  | No development! Too many people+ traffic!   |  |   |  |  |   | Significantly less rental than home ownership units  | An equal amount of all three types  |
| 71  | Paper           |                                      | 8/10/22       |  | Slightly more housing than commercial  |   | Slightly more housing than commercial  |   | An equal amount of housing and commercial  | An equal amount of housing and commercial  | Slightly less housing than commercial   |  |   |
| 72  | Paper           |                                      | 8/30/22       |  | An equal amount of housing and commercial  |   | An equal amount of housing and commercial  |   | An equal amount of housing and commercial  | An equal amount of housing and commercial  | An equal amount of housing and commercial   |  |   |
| 73  | Paper           |                                      | 8/30/22       |  | Slightly more housing than commercial  |   | An equal amount of housing and commercial  |   | An equal amount of housing and commercial  | An equal amount of housing and commercial  | Slightly less housing than commercial   |  |   |
| 74  | Paper           |                                      | 8/10/22       |  | Slightly less housing than commercial  |   | Significantly more housing than commercial   |   | Significantly more housing than commercial   | Slightly more housing than commercial  | Significantly more housing than commercial  |  |   |
| 75  | Paper           |                                      | 8/10/22       |  | Slightly less housing than commercial  |   | An equal amount of housing and commercial  |   | Slightly more housing than commercial  |  | Slightly more housing than commercial   |  |   |
| 76  | Paper           |                                      | 8/10/22       |  | An equal amount of housing and commercial  |   | Significantly more housing than commercial   |   | Slightly more housing than commercial  | Slightly more housing than commercial  | Slightly more housing than commercial   |  |   |
| 77  | Paper           |                                      | 8/10/22       |  | Significantly more housing than commercial   |   | Slightly more housing than commercial  |   | An equal amount of housing and commercial  | An equal amount of housing and commercial  | An equal amount of housing and commercial   |  |   |
| 78  | Paper           |                                      | 8/27/22       |  |  |   |  |   | Significantly more housing than commercial   |  |   |  |   |
| 79  | Paper           |                                      | 8/27/22       |  | An equal amount of housing and commercial  |   | An equal amount of housing and commercial  |   | An equal amount of housing and commercial  | An equal amount of housing and commercial  | An equal amount of housing and commercial   |  |   |
| 80  | Paper           |                                      | 8/27/22       |  |  |   |  |   | Slightly more housing than commercial  | Slightly more housing than commercial  | Slightly more housing than commercial   |  |   |

| Num | Paper or Online | GlobalID | Creation Date | Which Scenario's land use proposal aligns closest with your vision for Charlestown's future? | What is the appropriate ratio of residential to commercial development in the Sullivan Square area? Ground floor retail may be permitted in both housing and commercial use areas. | Please share as much as you can about the land uses you would most or least like to see, what land uses should be in specific areas, or any other feedback you would like to give: | What is the appropriate ratio of residential to commercial development for the industrial area along the Mystic River? | What is the appropriate ratio of residential to commercial development for the land surrounding Bunker Hill Community College, including the parking lots south of Austin Street? | What is the appropriate ratio of residential to commercial development for the land surrounding Bunker Hill Community College? | What is the appropriate ratio of residential to commercial development for the Lost Village? | What is the appropriate ratio of residential to commercial development for parking lots south of Austin Street? | Considering rental units versus home ownership units, what mix of housing types do you want to see added in Charlestown? | What housing price-points should be prioritized for new housing added in Charlestown? |
|-----|-----------------|----------|---------------|--|--|--|--|---|--|--|---|--|---|
| 81  | Paper           |          | 8/27/22       |  | Slightly less housing than commercial  |  | Significantly more housing than commercial   |   | Significantly less housing than commercial   | Slightly more housing than commercial  | Significantly more housing than commercial  |  |   |
| 82  | Paper           |          | 8/27/22       |  |  |  | Significantly less housing than commercial   |   | An equal amount of housing and commercial  |  | Significantly less housing than commercial  |  |   |
| 83  | Paper           |          | 8/27/22       |  | An equal amount of housing and commercial  |  | An equal amount of housing and commercial  |   | An equal amount of housing and commercial  | Slightly more housing than commercial  | Slightly more housing than commercial   |  |   |
| 84  | Paper           |          | 8/27/22       |  | An equal amount of housing and commercial  |  | An equal amount of housing and commercial  |   | Slightly more housing than commercial  | An equal amount of housing and commercial  | Slightly more housing than commercial   |  |   |
| 85  | Paper           |          | 8/27/22       |  | Significantly more housing than commercial   |  | Significantly more housing than commercial   |   | Significantly more housing than commercial   | Significantly more housing than commercial   | Significantly more housing than commercial  |  |   |
| 86  | Paper           |          | 8/30/22       |  | An equal amount of housing and commercial  |  | Significantly more housing than commercial   |   | An equal amount of housing and commercial  | An equal amount of housing and commercial  | An equal amount of housing and commercial   |  |   |
| 87  | Paper           |          | 8/30/22       |  | An equal amount of housing and commercial  |  | An equal amount of housing and commercial  |   | An equal amount of housing and commercial  | An equal amount of housing and commercial  | An equal amount of housing and commercial   |  |   |
| 88  | Paper           |          | 8/30/22       |  | Significantly more housing than commercial   |  | Significantly more housing than commercial   |   | Slightly more housing than commercial  | Significantly more housing than commercial   | An equal amount of housing and commercial   |  |   |
| 89  | Paper           |          | 8/30/22       |  | An equal amount of housing and commercial  |  | Slightly more housing than commercial  |   | Slightly more housing than commercial  | Significantly more housing than commercial   | Significantly more housing than commercial  |  |   |
| 90  | Paper           |          | 8/30/22       |  | Significantly less housing than commercial   |  | An equal amount of housing and commercial  |   | Significantly more housing than commercial   | Slightly less housing than commercial  | Significantly more housing than commercial  |  |   |
| 91  | Paper           |          | 8/30/22       |  | Slightly more housing than commercial  |  | Slightly more housing than commercial  |   | An equal amount of housing and commercial  | Slightly more housing than commercial  | Slightly more housing than commercial   |  |   |
| 92  | Paper           |          | 8/30/22       |  | An equal amount of housing and commercial  |  | An equal amount of housing and commercial  |   | Slightly more housing than commercial  | Slightly more housing than commercial  | Slightly less housing than commercial   |  |   |
| 93  | Paper           |          | 8/30/22       |  | An equal amount of housing and commercial  |  | Slightly more housing than commercial  |   | An equal amount of housing and commercial  | Significantly more housing than commercial   | Slightly more housing than commercial   |  |   |
| 94  | Paper           |          | 8/30/22       |  | Slightly more housing than commercial  |  | An equal amount of housing and commercial  |   | Slightly less housing than commercial  |  | Significantly less housing than commercial  |  |   |
| 95  | Paper           |          | 8/30/22       |  | An equal amount of housing and commercial  |  | Slightly more housing than commercial  |   | Slightly more housing than commercial  | An equal amount of housing and commercial  | Slightly more housing than commercial   |  |   |
| 96  | Paper           |          | 8/30/22       |  | An equal amount of housing and commercial  |  | An equal amount of housing and commercial  |   | Slightly more housing than commercial  | Slightly more housing than commercial  | Slightly more housing than commercial   |  |   |
| 97  | Paper           |          | 8/30/22       |  | Slightly more housing than commercial  |  | An equal amount of housing and commercial  |   | Slightly more housing than commercial  | Slightly more housing than commercial  | Significantly more housing than commercial  |  |   |
| 98  | Paper           |          | 8/30/22       |  | An equal amount of housing and commercial  |  | An equal amount of housing and commercial  |   | An equal amount of housing and commercial  | Slightly more housing than commercial  | Slightly less housing than commercial   |  |   |
| 99  | Paper           |          | 8/30/22       |  | Significantly less housing than commercial   |  | Slightly more housing than commercial  |   | Slightly less housing than commercial  |  |   |  |   |
| 100 | Paper           |          | 8/30/22       |  | An equal amount of housing and commercial  |  | Slightly more housing than commercial  |   | Slightly more housing than commercial  | An equal amount of housing and commercial  | Slightly more housing than commercial   |  |   |

| Num | Paper or Online | GlobalID                             | Creation Date | Which Scenario's land use proposal aligns closest with your vision for Charlestown's future? | What is the appropriate ratio of residential to commercial development in the Sullivan Square area? Ground floor retail may be permitted in both housing and commercial use areas. | Please share as much as you can about the land uses you would most or least like to see, what land uses should be in specific areas, or any other feedback you would like to give.  | What is the appropriate ratio of residential to commercial development for the industrial area along the Mystic River? | What is the appropriate ratio of residential to commercial development for the land surrounding Bunker Hill Community College, including the parking lots south of Austin Street? | What is the appropriate ratio of residential to commercial development for the land surrounding Bunker Hill Community College? | What is the appropriate ratio of residential to commercial development for the Lost Village? | What is the appropriate ratio of residential to commercial development for parking lots south of Austin Street? | Considering rental units versus home ownership units, what mix of housing types do you want to see added in Charlestown? | What housing price-points should be prioritized for new housing added in Charlestown? |
|-----|-----------------|--------------------------------------|---------------|--|--|---|--|---|--|--|---|--|---|
| 101 | Online          | 1f6dbf7f-347e-4333-82c4-d333a66dd241 | 9/7/22        | Strong Preference for Scenario 2   | An equal amount of housing and commercial  | I question whether there is such a need and demand for commercial and lab space. We really need to retain the character of this town and I worry about how these new developments will change the area. Housing should be accessible to all and not all market rate. For developments along Mystic River, they should not be tall and block views and access to the water.  | Significantly more housing than commercial   | An equal amount of housing and commercial   | An equal amount of housing and commercial  |  |   | Slightly fewer rental units than home ownership units  | Both workforce and market rate  |
| 102 | Online          | 19b24120-24a4-4b23-8998-d5a9d4a6d033 | 9/8/22        | Strong Preference for Scenario 2   | Slightly more housing than commercial  |   | Slightly more housing than commercial  | An equal amount of housing and commercial   | An equal amount of housing and commercial  |  |   | Slightly fewer rental units than home ownership units  | An equal amount of all three types  |
| 103 | Online          | de892c87-36a9-4d56-82af-253531d7c4eb | 9/8/22        | Strong Preference for Scenario 2   | Slightly less housing than commercial  | The population density of Charlestown is very high, while the amount of commercial and mixed-used property is proportionately low. Going forward, I would like to see this evened out somewhat, both as a quality-of-life issue and a tax revenue issue.  | Slightly less housing than commercial  | Significantly less housing than commercial  | Significantly less housing than commercial   |  |   | An equal amount of rental and home ownership units   | Both workforce and market rate  |
| 104 | Online          | ab37d30c-9602-4b61-8606-ac7638d1b151 | 9/11/22       | Some Preference for Scenario 1   | Slightly less housing than commercial  | Rutherford Ave is REALLY close to I93. While I admire your intention to put housing along it - I think this will only be pleasant if you have a LARGE commercial buffer. Nobody wants to live right next to a major highway. I think tall commercial buildings that block the highway will go a long way for supporting residential in the rest of the community. I also think Charlestown needs more commercial to support local businesses. If someone is considering opening a restaurant - they will want dinner demand from locals but also lunch demand for offices. We could use more of the latter, because goodness knows we could use more restaurants. | Slightly more housing than commercial  | Significantly less housing than commercial  | Significantly less housing than commercial   |  |   | Significantly fewer rental units than home ownership units   | Both workforce and market rate  |
| 105 | Online          | 792c0f65-7e95-4c40-8155-762dca9dff4a | 9/13/22       | Strong Preference for Scenario 2   | An equal amount of housing and commercial  | Allow tall buildings next to 93! There is no reason to block tall buildings next to the highway - they block noise and pollution from neighborhoods.  | Slightly more housing than commercial  | Significantly less housing than commercial  | Significantly less housing than commercial   |  |   | Significantly fewer rental units than home ownership units   | Both workforce and market rate  |
| 106 | Online          | bd108ce6-4d13-47d4-836e-c5a6ab93c98b | 9/13/22       | Strong Preference for Scenario 2   | Slightly more housing than commercial  |   | Slightly less housing than commercial  | Significantly more housing than commercial  | Significantly more housing than commercial   |  |   | An equal amount of rental and home ownership units   | An equal amount of all three types  |
| 107 | Online          | 04e68982-bc5e-445c-8a14-8d681c9d9df4 | 9/14/22       | Strong Preference for Scenario 2   | An equal amount of housing and commercial  | We need more locally owned small businesses spaces and workforce affordable ownership opportunities. Those of us that grew up here do not have many ownership opportunities due to high market rents and housing costs. We have more residents than our amenities can serve at this point and we all know despite living near the station new residents will still use cars contributing to even more traffic and congestion in areas like Rutherford Ave and Sullivan sq. Most importantly our youth need parks and field space  | An equal amount of housing and commercial  | Significantly more housing than commercial  | Significantly more housing than commercial   |  |   | Significantly fewer rental units than home ownership units   | Both deeply affordable and work   |
| 108 | Online          | 939d90fe-187a-4997-8c57-3b3049afa02b | 9/14/22       | Strong Preference for Scenario 2   | Significantly more housing than commercial   | I would like to see mostly residential development near T stations. Bunker Hill Street and Medford Street both need more retail development to serve residents on that side of the neighborhood.  | An equal amount of housing and commercial  | Significantly more housing than commercial  | Significantly more housing than commercial   |  |   | Significantly fewer rental units than home ownership units   | An equal amount of all three types  |
| 109 | Online          | f021bc8d-bc6b-46eb-8320-c5213e3ef92c | 9/14/22       | Strong Preference for Scenario 2   | Significantly more housing than commercial   |   | Slightly more housing than commercial  | An equal amount of housing and commercial   | An equal amount of housing and commercial  |  |   | An equal amount of rental and home ownership units   | Both workforce and market rate  |

| Num | Paper or Online | GlobalID                             | Creation Date | Which Scenario's land use proposal aligns closest with your vision for Charlestown's future? | What is the appropriate ratio of residential to commercial development in the Sullivan Square area? Ground floor retail may be permitted in both housing and commercial use areas. | Please share as much as you can about the land uses you would most or least like to see, what land uses should be in specific areas, or any other feedback you would like to give:  | What is the appropriate ratio of residential to commercial development for the industrial area along the Mystic River? | What is the appropriate ratio of residential to commercial development for the land surrounding Bunker Hill Community College, including the parking lots south of Austin Street? | What is the appropriate ratio of residential to commercial development for the land surrounding Bunker Hill Community College? | What is the appropriate ratio of residential to commercial development for the Lost Village? | What is the appropriate ratio of residential to commercial development for parking lots south of Austin Street? | Considering rental units versus home ownership units, what mix of housing types do you want to see added in Charlestown? | What housing price-points should be prioritized for new housing added in Charlestown? |
|-----|-----------------|--------------------------------------|---------------|--|--|---|--|---|--|--|---|--|---|
| 110 | Online          | d88c9f11-ea08-48f5-880b-e81504cdfbad | 9/14/22       | Strong Preference for Scenario 2   | Slightly more housing than commercial  |   | Slightly more housing than commercial  | An equal amount of housing and commercial   | An equal amount of housing and commercial  |  |   | Significantly fewer rental units than home ownership units   | Market rate housing only  |
| 111 | Online          | d2700af7-6b4f-419e-87a2-bebdc9a7ac8a | 9/14/22       | Strong Preference for Scenario 2   | Slightly more housing than commercial  |   | Significantly more housing than commercial   | Slightly more housing than commercial   | Slightly more housing than commercial  |  |   | An equal amount of rental and home ownership units   | Both deeply affordable and work   |
| 112 | Online          | a4e075a3-4f65-42c8-8186-82744e984fa0 | 9/14/22       | Some Preference for Scenario 2   | An equal amount of housing and commercial  |   | An equal amount of housing and commercial  | An equal amount of housing and commercial   | An equal amount of housing and commercial  |  |   | An equal amount of rental and home ownership units   |   |
| 113 | Online          | b4825ff3-6d11-4268-8c6c-fc2b901a4c62 | 9/14/22       | Strong Preference for Scenario 2   | Slightly less housing than commercial  |   | Slightly less housing than commercial  | An equal amount of housing and commercial   | An equal amount of housing and commercial  |  |   | Significantly fewer rental units than home ownership units   | Market rate housing only  |
| 114 | Online          | b55fc1d1-b542-4a61-85ab-1aaf02ccc1ba | 9/14/22       | Strong Preference for Scenario 2   | An equal amount of housing and commercial  |   | An equal amount of housing and commercial  | An equal amount of housing and commercial   | An equal amount of housing and commercial  |  |   | Significantly fewer rental units than home ownership units   | Both workforce and market rate  |
| 115 | Online          | c075a7f0-647f-414e-84cf-0f01cf182d1f | 9/14/22       | Strong Preference for Scenario 2   | Slightly more housing than commercial  |   | An equal amount of housing and commercial  | Slightly more housing than commercial   | Slightly more housing than commercial  |  |   | An equal amount of rental and home ownership units   | An equal amount of all three types  |
| 116 | Online          | 8a9cf20b-7ca6-4800-8cf7-acd58b52e8d6 | 9/14/22       | Strong Preference for Scenario 2   | An equal amount of housing and commercial  |   | Slightly less housing than commercial  | An equal amount of housing and commercial   | An equal amount of housing and commercial  |  |   | Slightly fewer rental units than home ownership units  | An equal amount of all three types  |
| 117 | Online          | 52a1322d-3327-4669-81b4-d271136ba0f8 | 9/14/22       | Strong Preference for Scenario 2   | An equal amount of housing and commercial  |   | An equal amount of housing and commercial  | An equal amount of housing and commercial   | An equal amount of housing and commercial  |  |   | An equal amount of rental and home ownership units   | An equal amount of all three types  |
| 118 | Online          | fa4bdb78-c1df-48a5-87cb-13f833ea646b | 9/14/22       | Strong Preference for Scenario 1   | Slightly more housing than commercial  |   | Significantly less housing than commercial   | An equal amount of housing and commercial   | An equal amount of housing and commercial  |  |   | An equal amount of rental and home ownership units   | Both workforce and market rate  |
| 119 | Online          | 36d3fe3b-3bb2-40f8-803f-185db61408fd | 9/14/22       | Strong Preference for Scenario 2   | An equal amount of housing and commercial  | Frankly, residential density shouldn't be significantly increased without substantial transportation improvements. The Charlestown bridge project has no end in sight, the orange line was just shut for a month and additional closures are expected and the new bus routes proposed by the T will reduce options to get downtown. The focus should be on forcing wealthy suburban towns to to more development - multi-family, affordable and workforce housing. Boston and it's neighborhoods already contribute significantly more affordable housing than 90 percent of the towns around 128. It's offensive to me that the city's answer is to continue building with little to no planning and certainly little discussion of the impact this development has on existing residents. | An equal amount of housing and commercial  | Significantly less housing than commercial  | Significantly less housing than commercial   |  |   | Significantly fewer rental units than home ownership units   | Market rate housing only  |

| Num | Paper or Online | GlobalID                             | Creation Date | Which Scenario's land use proposal aligns closest with your vision for Charlestown's future? | What is the appropriate ratio of residential to commercial development in the Sullivan Square area? Ground floor retail may be permitted in both housing and commercial use areas. | Please share as much as you can about the land uses you would most or least like to see, what land uses should be in specific areas, or any other feedback you would like to give:  | What is the appropriate ratio of residential to commercial development for the industrial area along the Mystic River? | What is the appropriate ratio of residential to commercial development for the land surrounding Bunker Hill Community College, including the parking lots south of Austin Street? | What is the appropriate ratio of residential to commercial development for the land surrounding Bunker Hill Community College? | What is the appropriate ratio of residential to commercial development for the Lost Village? | What is the appropriate ratio of residential to commercial development for parking lots south of Austin Street? | Considering rental units versus home ownership units, what mix of housing types do you want to see added in Charlestown? | What housing price-points should be prioritized for new housing added in Charlestown? |
|-----|-----------------|--------------------------------------|---------------|--|--|---|--|---|--|--|---|--|---|
| 120 | Online          | aeadbce4-fc12-45d8-809d-83047dfc80a2 | 9/14/22       | Strong Preference for Scenario 2   | Slightly more housing than commercial  | Can we stop calling it "affordable housing" please? It's all affordable to someone, otherwise it would be empty. Anyway...Charlestown is a residential community and should stay that way. If we prioritize business development over housing, we will create the double-whammy of more people looking to live here (to be close to work) without more places for them to live. The price of housing will go up even more. Plus, based on the last 2 years, who is confident that large office buildings are going to be needed in 20-30 years? | Slightly more housing than commercial  | Significantly more housing than commercial  | Significantly more housing than commercial   |  |   |  |   |
| 121 | Online          | 90b04837-5af9-4ae3-8fc0-f3eb23d2d48d | 9/14/22       | Strong Preference for Scenario 2   | An equal amount of housing and commercial  |   | An equal amount of housing and commercial  | Slightly less housing than commercial   | Slightly less housing than commercial  |  |   | Slightly fewer rental units than home ownership units  | Both workforce and market rate  |
| 122 | Online          | 3dc4f648-b96c-4961-8129-556b42e73395 | 9/14/22       | Strong Preference for Scenario 2   | An equal amount of housing and commercial  |   | An equal amount of housing and commercial  | An equal amount of housing and commercial   | An equal amount of housing and commercial  |  |   | Significantly fewer rental units than home ownership units   | Both workforce and market rate  |
| 123 | Paper           |                                      |               | Some Preference for Scenario 1   | An equal amount of housing and commercial  |   | Slightly less housing than commercial  | An equal amount of housing and commercial   | An equal amount of housing and commercial  |  | An equal amount of housing and commercial   | An equal amount of rental and home ownership units   | An equal amount of all three types  |
| 124 | Paper           |                                      | 9/15/22       | Some Preference for Scenario 2   | Slightly less housing than commercial  |   | An equal amount of housing and commercial  | Significantly more housing than commercial  | Significantly more housing than commercial   |  | Significantly more housing than commercial  | Significantly fewer rental units than home ownership units   | Both workforce and market rate  |
| 125 | Online          | 3c579e60-915a-4fd5-8a5c-98ae537fac70 | 9/14/22       | No Preference  | An equal amount of housing and commercial  | Public parking lot should be build near Mishuam, where Clown residents can rent monthly parking spots. There is not enough street parking in Charlestown. We need parking garages.  | An equal amount of housing and commercial  | An equal amount of housing and commercial   | An equal amount of housing and commercial  |  | An equal amount of housing and commercial   | An equal amount of rental and home ownership units   | Market rate housing only  |
| 126 | Online          | 34772149-47ab-4998-88ef-d7160918956c | 9/14/22       | Strong Preference for Scenario 2   | An equal amount of housing and commercial  |   | An equal amount of housing and commercial  | Significantly more housing than commercial  | Significantly more housing than commercial   |  | Significantly more housing than commercial  |  | Both workforce and market rate  |
| 127 | Online          | 74d2070d-2b91-4c4a-80f3-85df00990f37 | 9/15/22       | Strong Preference for Scenario 2   | Slightly more housing than commercial  |   | Slightly more housing than commercial  | Slightly more housing than commercial   | Slightly more housing than commercial  |  | Slightly more housing than commercial   | Slightly fewer rental units than home ownership units  | An equal amount of all three types  |
| 128 | Online          | 2c303d3e-fb6f-44c8-8647-c23230ac2a44 | 9/15/22       | Some Preference for Scenario 1   | Slightly more housing than commercial  |   | Slightly less housing than commercial  | Significantly less housing than commercial  | Significantly less housing than commercial   |  | Significantly less housing than commercial  | Significantly fewer rental units than home ownership units   | Both workforce and market rate  |
| 129 | Online          | 71d18193-4e50-4891-8255-b0ed7148c3bd | 9/15/22       | Strong Preference for Scenario 2   | An equal amount of housing and commercial  |   | An equal amount of housing and commercial  | An equal amount of housing and commercial   | An equal amount of housing and commercial  |  | An equal amount of housing and commercial   | Significantly fewer rental units than home ownership units   | Market rate housing only  |
| 130 | Online          | b96786ff-9af7-4882-81a6-91bc075c5e3  | 9/15/22       | Strong Preference for Scenario 2   | Slightly more housing than commercial  | There is so much development happening and Charlestown is getting more and more crowded. If we could keep the density of development down, it would make a difference. The more there can be green space or areas to breath, the better.  | Slightly more housing than commercial  | Slightly more housing than commercial   | Slightly more housing than commercial  |  | Slightly more housing than commercial   | Slightly fewer rental units than home ownership units  | An equal amount of all three types  |
| 131 | Online          | 5349390c-847e-49f0-8764-ccd8614ed750 | 9/15/22       | Strong Preference for Scenario 2   | An equal amount of housing and commercial  |   | Slightly more housing than commercial  | Slightly more housing than commercial   | Slightly more housing than commercial  |  | Slightly more housing than commercial   |  |   |

| Num | Paper or Online | GlobalID                             | Creation Date | Which Scenario's land use proposal aligns closest with your vision for Charlestown's future? | What is the appropriate ratio of residential to commercial development in the Sullivan Square area? Ground floor retail may be permitted in both housing and commercial use areas. | Please share as much as you can about the land uses you would most or least like to see, what land uses should be in specific areas, or any other feedback you would like to give: | What is the appropriate ratio of residential to commercial development for the industrial area along the Mystic River? | What is the appropriate ratio of residential to commercial development for the land surrounding Bunker Hill Community College, including the parking lots south of Austin Street? | What is the appropriate ratio of residential to commercial development for the land surrounding Bunker Hill Community College? | What is the appropriate ratio of residential to commercial development for the Lost Village? | What is the appropriate ratio of residential to commercial development for parking lots south of Austin Street? | Considering rental units versus home ownership units, what mix of housing types do you want to see added in Charlestown? | What housing price-points should be prioritized for new housing added in Charlestown? |
|-----|-----------------|--------------------------------------|---------------|--|--|--|--|---|--|--|---|--|---|
| 132 | Online          | c0e69174-4ea6-4e37-80a2-247dcdcfdc67 | 9/15/22       | Some Preference for Scenario 2   | Slightly more housing than commercial  |  | Significantly more housing than commercial   | Slightly less housing than commercial   | Slightly less housing than commercial  |  | Slightly less housing than commercial   | An equal amount of rental and home ownership units   | An equal amount of all three types  |
| 133 | Online          | 2498ed33-2d58-4f37-832a-055c1228ca58 | 9/15/22       | Strong Preference for Scenario 2   | An equal amount of housing and commercial  |  | An equal amount of housing and commercial  | An equal amount of housing and commercial   | An equal amount of housing and commercial  |  | An equal amount of housing and commercial   | Slightly fewer rental units than home ownership units  | An equal amount of all three types  |
| 134 | Online          | 448ec64b-f787-44ba-8f24-0658fb145f27 | 9/15/22       | No Preference  | An equal amount of housing and commercial  |  | Slightly more housing than commercial  | Significantly more housing than commercial  | Significantly more housing than commercial   |  | Significantly more housing than commercial  | Slightly fewer rental units than home ownership units  | Both workforce and market rate  |
| 135 | Online          | 3cac2345-f38d-4a3f-8a18-2be3500ca37a | 9/15/22       | Strong Preference for Scenario 2   | Significantly more housing than commercial   |  | Significantly more housing than commercial   | Significantly more housing than commercial  | Significantly more housing than commercial   |  | Significantly more housing than commercial  | An equal amount of rental and home ownership units   | An equal amount of all three types  |
| 136 | Online          | c7821e50-ba4d-4f41-8ca8-db2d12fe49d7 | 9/15/22       | Strong Preference for Scenario 2   | Slightly more housing than commercial  |  | An equal amount of housing and commercial  | Slightly less housing than commercial   | Slightly less housing than commercial  |  | Slightly less housing than commercial   | Slightly fewer rental units than home ownership units  | An equal amount of all three types  |
| 137 | Online          | e1dc383f-b969-4f29-847b-7dd9439b0e9d | 9/15/22       | Strong Preference for Scenario 2   | An equal amount of housing and commercial  |  | An equal amount of housing and commercial  | An equal amount of housing and commercial   | An equal amount of housing and commercial  |  | An equal amount of housing and commercial   | An equal amount of rental and home ownership units   | An equal amount of all three types  |
| 138 | Online          | 4eee7769-fb66-4c3f-8125-d39e36a9fd89 | 9/15/22       | Strong Preference for Scenario 2   | An equal amount of housing and commercial  |  | An equal amount of housing and commercial  | Significantly less housing than commercial  | Significantly less housing than commercial   |  | Significantly less housing than commercial  | Significantly fewer rental units than home ownership units   | Both workforce and market rate  |
| 139 | Online          | 0dca4ef7-1622-49e9-8dd1-09ec01aadff4 | 9/15/22       | Strong Preference for Scenario 2   | Significantly more housing than commercial   |  | An equal amount of housing and commercial  | Slightly more housing than commercial   | Slightly more housing than commercial  |  | Slightly more housing than commercial   | Significantly fewer rental units than home ownership units   | An equal amount of all three types  |
| 140 | Online          | 02e6e4fd-7a26-4c5f-8286-aff47804d101 | 9/15/22       | Some Preference for Scenario 2   | Slightly more housing than commercial  |  | Slightly more housing than commercial  | Slightly more housing than commercial   | Slightly more housing than commercial  |  | Slightly more housing than commercial   | An equal amount of rental and home ownership units   | An equal amount of all three types  |
| 141 | Online          | 9c8c2b39-94eb-4961-8481-55d9d153cf42 | 9/15/22       | Strong Preference for Scenario 2   | An equal amount of housing and commercial  |  | An equal amount of housing and commercial  | Slightly less housing than commercial   | Slightly less housing than commercial  |  | Slightly less housing than commercial   | Significantly fewer rental units than home ownership units   | Market rate housing only  |
| 142 | Online          | 20d941bf-b4dd-4e03-8568-7e622009ee63 | 9/16/22       | Some Preference for Scenario 2   | An equal amount of housing and commercial  |  | Significantly more housing than commercial   | Significantly more housing than commercial  | Significantly more housing than commercial   |  | Significantly more housing than commercial  | Significantly fewer rental units than home ownership units   | Market rate housing only  |
| 143 | Online          | bf4ff817-407d-4f08-8aee-24040b025dc6 | 9/16/22       | Strong Preference for Scenario 2   | Significantly more housing than commercial   | No more luxury condos!! Its gotten out of control.   | Significantly more housing than commercial   | Significantly more housing than commercial  | Significantly more housing than commercial   |  | Significantly more housing than commercial  | Slightly fewer rental units than home ownership units  | An equal amount of all three types  |
| 144 | Online          | a8d186dd-41da-456d-872a-4566ddf4a8b9 | 9/16/22       | Strong Preference for Scenario 2   | An equal amount of housing and commercial  |  | An equal amount of housing and commercial  | An equal amount of housing and commercial   | An equal amount of housing and commercial  |  | An equal amount of housing and commercial   | An equal amount of rental and home ownership units   | An equal amount of all three types  |
| 145 | Online          | 2102e2c3-d7bf-4e86-825b-af8e83a7a059 | 9/16/22       | Some Preference for Scenario 1   | Significantly more housing than commercial   |  | Slightly less housing than commercial  | Significantly more housing than commercial  | Significantly more housing than commercial   |  | Significantly more housing than commercial  | An equal amount of rental and home ownership units   | Both deeply affordable housing  |

| Num | Paper or Online | GlobalID                             | Creation Date | Which Scenario's land use proposal aligns closest with your vision for Charlestown's future? | What is the appropriate ratio of residential to commercial development in the Sullivan Square area? Ground floor retail may be permitted in both housing and commercial use areas. | Please share as much as you can about the land uses you would most or least like to see, what land uses should be in specific areas, or any other feedback you would like to give:   | What is the appropriate ratio of residential to commercial development for the industrial area along the Mystic River? | What is the appropriate ratio of residential to commercial development for the land surrounding Bunker Hill Community College, including the parking lots south of Austin Street? | What is the appropriate ratio of residential to commercial development for the land surrounding Bunker Hill Community College? | What is the appropriate ratio of residential to commercial development for the Lost Village? | What is the appropriate ratio of residential to commercial development for parking lots south of Austin Street? | Considering rental units versus home ownership units, what mix of housing types do you want to see added in Charlestown? | What housing price-points should be prioritized for new housing added in Charlestown? |
|-----|-----------------|--------------------------------------|---------------|--|--|--|--|---|--|--|---|--|---|
| 146 | Online          | 1bcdef76-d788-4a7c-8be8-100b31b4a376 | 9/17/22       | Strong Preference for Scenario 2   | Slightly less housing than commercial  |  | Significantly less housing than commercial   | Significantly less housing than commercial  | Significantly less housing than commercial   |  | Significantly less housing than commercial  | An equal amount of rental and home ownership units   | An equal amount of all three types  |
| 147 | Online          | 10002adc-b408-4b89-8463-cb4e3457a193 | 9/17/22       | Some Preference for Scenario 2   | Slightly less housing than commercial  |  | Slightly more housing than commercial  | An equal amount of housing and commercial   | An equal amount of housing and commercial  |  | An equal amount of housing and commercial   | Slightly fewer rental units than home ownership units  | Both workforce and market rate  |
| 148 | Online          | 8cf46c7f-1fcc-43ff-823a-951e134b7ebd | 9/20/22       | Some Preference for Scenario 2   | Slightly less housing than commercial  | More housing is great- particularly ownership over rental, so that the people that come are committed to Charlestown's long term success. There should, however be sufficient parking for new residents - stand-alone. Parking overflow to already established areas would be disastrous to the community. | Slightly more housing than commercial  | Significantly less housing than commercial  | Significantly less housing than commercial   | Significantly less housing than commercial   | Significantly less housing than commercial  | Significantly fewer rental units than home ownership units   | Both deeply affordable housing  |